Human Rights Commission Regular Meeting Meeting Minutes June 18, 2020 Virtual/Electronic Meeting 6:00 pm

1. WELCOME

- a. CALL TO ORDER
 - i. Shantell Bingham called the meeting to order at 6:07 pm
- b. ROLL CALL
 - i. Shantell Bingham
 - ii. Sue Lewis
 - iii. Oliva Gabbay
 - iv. Ann Smith
 - v. Lyndele Von Schill
 - vi. Robert Woodside
 - vii. Andy Orban
 - viii. Ernest Chambers
 - ix. Kathryn Laughon
 - x. Jeanette Abi-Nader
- c. MISSION (recited by Shantell): Act as a strong advocate to justice and equal opportunity by providing citywide leadership and guidance in the area of civil rights.

2. MATTERS BY THE PUBLIC

- a. PUBLIC COMMENT
 - i. Walt Heinecke
 - 1. Recommends that HRC put a focus on employment and housing discrimination.
 - Suggests harder push to establish the office as a Fair Employment Practices Agency (FEPA) and a Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP).
 - 3. Suggests a year-long study on employment and race, especially following the high unemployment due to COVID-19.
 - 4. Notes that there is discrimination in rental housing.
 - Notes that several social justice organizations sent a letter to City Council outlining suggested changes to the operations of the HRC/OHR.
 - a. Includes recommendations for a OHR Manager/HRC Manager with FEPA and FHAP experience.
- b. COMMISSION RESPONSE TO MATTERS BY THE PUBLIC
 - i. Question raised about the letter from social justice organizations.
 - 1. No signatures from any representatives from the organizations.
 - a. Mr. Heinecke notes that he consulted the Executive Directors of each organization listed.
 - b. Commissioner asked for a list of those leaders

3. MINUTES

- a. Rob Woodside put forth a motion to approve the following meeting minutes.
 - i. Retreat meeting minutes 2/1/2020
 - ii. Work session meeting minutes 2/5/2020
 - iii. Regular meeting minutes 2/20/2020
 - iv. Ad Hoc Committee meeting minutes 6/11/2020
- b. Jeanette Abi-Nader seconded the motion

- c. Vote
- i. In favor: 8
- ii. Opposed: 0
- iii. Abstained: 1

4. BUSINESS MATTERS

- a. OHR STAFF REPORT
 - i. Todd Niemeier submitted a staff report that was included in the agenda packet.
 - ii. Noted that OHR service data was entered through the month of February and that the office saw an average of 10 incoming contacts per day
 - 1. CORRECTION: This was data for January and not February.
 - iii. Question about open investigation
 - 1. Investigation is complete and has been submitted to the City Attorney's Office
 - 2. According to the City Attorney's Office interpretation of the Human Rights Ordinance, a City staff person must make the final determination on the case.
 - a. Previously the HRC discussed the Chair and Vice Chair serving as the administrative judges and then recusing themselves if there was an appeal.
 - b. The City Attorney's Office felt that the HRC is exclusively an appellate body.
 - c. The case is now pending action by the City Manager's Office for a determination.
 - 3. Commission asks how long it may take to get a final determination and when the investigative report was submitted
 - a. Todd notes that it has been a few weeks since submission and that he will continue to follow up with Allyson Davies as to the status of the case.
- b. CHAIR UPDATE
 - i. Meeting with Kyna Thomas on effective work sessions with City Council
 - 1. Shantell received an email from Kyna Thomas confirming the joint work session with City Council on July 28, 2020.
 - a. She forwarded that email to the HRC.
 - 2. Shantell met with Kyna to discuss ways to prepare
 - a. Kyna suggested coming with an agenda and sharing it with Council ahead of time.
 - 3. The ad hoc
 - ii. COVID-19 response by the City
 - 1. Notes that this is an outstanding agenda item from the canceled March
 - meeting relating to meeting protocols for the HRC during the pandemic. iii. Commissioners ask about meeting with Council
 - 1. Time is 3pm to 6pm.
 - 2. Will most likely be a virtual meeting She will confirm with Kyna.

5. WORK SESSION

- a. OHR/HRC STRUCTURE RECOMMENDATIONS
 - i. Review draft documents detailing qualities and recommendations for new OHR Manager/HRC Director
 - 1. Jeanette notes that the documents are in the Google Drive for comments.
 - 2. No one has commented to-date.
 - 3. The document does not yet incorporate recommendations from Walt Heinecke's letter.
 - ii. Recommendations and letter sent by Walt Heinecke on behalf of other social justice organizations

- One Commissioner expressed general support for the increased enforcement recommendations put forth in the letter, recognizing the complexities of implementation, and hoping for an OHR Manager/HRC Director with the skillset to help carry the work forward.
- Commissioner suggests that Council approved a weakened version of the Human Rights Ordinance, which currently restrains the authority of the HRC/OHR.
 - a. Notes that changes to the ordinance would likely be required in order to implement many of the recommendations.
- 3. Commissioner comments regarding the fifth paragraph on the first page, pertaining to the note that the HRC has not adequately fulfilled its role of conducting studies of systemic discrimination.
 - a. Notes that ad hoc and sub committees have addressed specific issues.
 - i. Foster care
 - 1. Could not influence state policy
 - ii. Police policy and procedures
 - 1. HRC has not yet submitted these recommendations
- Commissioner comments on the end of the above-mentioned paragraph, which states that the HRC/OHR have been ineffective and not producing the intended impact on community equity.
 - a. Counters that the office has done significant work since its creation.
- Commissioner comments regarding the "first in, first out" suggestion regarding HRC membership and the suggested reduction of the HRC back to 7 or 9 members.
 - a. Notes that there is value to having people on the HRC long term for consistency.
 - b. Agrees there should be a designated City Council liaison
- 6. Commissioner comments that if social justice organizations have concerns that they should attend the HRC meetings to discuss rather than submitting a letter.
- 7. Chair responds

b.

- a. Believes in strengthening the ordinance.
 - i. We may need structural changes to be stronger.
 - Is not opposed to a larger number of people on HRC.
 - i. Agrees that HRC can and should do as much as possible.
- 8. Commissioner notes that there was a period of time when many Commissioners had left the HRC.
 - a. The HRC was a very small group, while waiting for City Council to appoint new members.
 - b. This made effective work difficult.
- 9. Commissioner notes that switching from standing committees to ad hoc committees has slowed the work.
 - a. Previous standing committees worked on the following areas
 - i. Race
 - ii. LGBTQ+
 - iii. Disability
- 10. Commissioner seconds the following
 - a. There was a period of time when Council had not appointed new members.
 - i. Feels that Council should also look for subject matter

experts and consult with the HRC as to its needs when making appointments.

- b. "First in, first out" may not be the best policy.
- c. The number of people does not need to be reduced.
 - i. Notes that 12 people seems like a good size for a functioning HRC.
- 11. Commissioner suggests prioritizing subject matter expertise when making recommendations to Council for new appointees.
 - a. Does not support making requirements on appointees or reducing the number of people, as finding qualified applicants may be challenging.
- 12. Commissioner notes a few points to consider from the letter.
 - a. Regarding the suggested research in point 2
 - i. What types of research?
 - ii. Who would conduct the research?
 - 1. Do we need external experts?
 - 2. Can this work be done in-house?
 - b. Notes that point 6 in the letter suggests that the OHR report to the City Attorney's Office.
 - i. What are the pros and cons of being under the City Attorney's Office?
 - ii. Why wasn't that the case in the first place?
- 13. Other Commissioners respond to 12b.
 - a. The HRC has always had a liaison with the City Attorney's Office.
 - i. Allyson Davies used to attend the HRC meetings at the start of the HRC.
 - b. Is the suggestion to move the OHR into the City Attorney's Office?
 - i. Concerns expressed about privacy of people coming to the office if it is suggested that the physical office move.
 - c. The original intention of the OHR did not seem so legalistic as being directly under the City Attorney's Office.
 - i. Most Commissions report to City Councils and City Manager's Office.
 - ii. What is the advantage to moving the OHR under the City Attorney's Office?
 - d. Being connected to the City Attorney's Office is a recommendation regarding oversight, not regarding the physical location of the OHR
 - i. Is there a conflict when the OHR is overseen by City Manager's Office?
 - ii. Reporting to the City Attorney might separate the OHR from involvement in other City concerns.
- 14. Commissioner expresses support for more formal enforcement power, as suggested by the letter.
- 15. Commissioner notes that a lack of resources put toward the OHR and HRC is a limiting factor
 - a. Additional staff is needed, not just a person in Charlene's former position.
- 16. Shantell reads all points in the letter for the sake of review and for the purpose of allowing the public to hear the contents.
 - a. Point 1: Suggestion that new OHR Manager/HRC Director

have legal experience with Human and Civil Rights.

- i. Commissioner suggests that previous Manager/Director had these credentials, and the working relationship was strained.
 - Knowledge of and contact with people with those credentials is sufficient for compliance.
 - 2. Feels that stronger candidate will have experience with human rights issues rather than legal experience.
- ii. Commissioner suggests that fundamental changes to the OHR in terms of enforcement might require that legal background.
- iii. Another commissioner suggests that legal experience would be valuable for compliance.
- iv. Commissioner notes that we are going through a new set of suggestions without have reviewed the HRC's own suggestions to the job description, which were discussed during the ad hoc meeting on 6/11/2020.
 - 1. Feels like a side-by-side comparison would be helpful.
- v. Commissioner notes that just because HRC had a bad experience with a person with legal experience does not mean that all people with legal experience would be bad candidates.
- b. Point 2: Suggestion of one major study of discrimination per year accompanied by substantial policy recommendations.
 - i. Commissioner supports this idea, but HRC/OHR needs funding and resources to do this work.
 - ii. Another Commissioner notes that externally sourcing the research seems more feasible.
 - Notes that a shift toward studies and policies could detract from the community outreach component of the Manager/Director role.
- Point 3: Suggestion to set up workshare agreements with EEOC and HUD to expand investigations in employment and housing.
 - i. No Commissioner comment.
- d. Point 4: Suggestion of "first in, first out" format for Commissioner terms and reducing the number of Commissioners to 7 or 9.
 - i. Commissioners shared comments previously on this point.
- e. Point 5: Suggestion that 4 Commissioners have content expertise in housing, health, employment, and education and that 2 Commissioners be from historically marginalized communities affected by housing and employment discrimination.
 - i. Commissioner notes that the mandates may be difficult, but we can express to Council that HRC is interested in subject matter expertise.
 - 1. Mandating that 2 Commissioners be from a

specific population is not practical.

- ii. Another Commissioner supports the mandate recognizing that it is not an immediately feasible action but is something to strive for over time.
- iii. Another Commissioner also expresses support for a mandate.
- f. Point 6: Suggestion to move the OHR/HRC functions under the City Attorney's Office with direct oversight by Council.
 - i. Commissioners shared comments previously.
 - ii. Commissioner asks if Council provides direct oversight to the City Attorney's Office?
 - iii. Another Commissioner states a preference for the OHR Manager/HRC Director reporting to the City Attorney rather that the City Manager.
- g. Point 7: Suggestion to designate a Council liaison to the HRC.
 - i. General agreement on this.
- h. Point 8: Suggestion that HRC Chair report to Council every quarter regarding progress related to systemic studies of discrimination.
 - i. Notes that this seems related to Point 7.
 - ii. Commissioner suggests that quarterly reporting might be too frequently from a research standpoint. Twice per year might be more reasonable.
- i. Point 9: Suggestion to modify the Human Rights Ordinance to reflect the changes specified above.
 - i. Commissioner notes that if we do not agree with the suggested changes then we cannot modify the ordinance.
 - ii. Chair notes that not all suggestions require ordinance modification.
- 17. The letter will be posted to Google Drive for Commissioners to add further comments.
 - a. Commission will discuss the letter and the revised job
 - description at the next ad hoc committee.
- b. AD HOC COMMITTEE UPDATES
 - i. Ad Hoc Committee: Updated rules and procedures including Martha's Rules
 - 1. This committee did not meet during the pandemic.
 - 2. The meeting has not been rescheduled.
 - 3. Shantell chairs this committee and asks TN to send out a doodle poll.
 - ii. Ad Hoc Committee: Joint work session with City Council
 - 1. Jeanette chairs this committee
 - 2. Links to documents shared with the HRC
 - 3. Jeanette will send a doodle poll to TN to share with the group.\
 - 4. Suggestion to add the report to City Council to the agenda for the Council work session.
 - 5. Suggestion to add a short segment on the history of the HRC/OHR with new Councilors during the Council work session.
 - All materials to be sent to Council two weeks in advance of the meeting

 July 13th
 - iii. Ad Hoc Committee: Policy review
 - 1. No updates.
 - 2. Suggestion to share the police policy review information during the joint work session with Council.
 - a. HRC was holding off on this because the meeting with Chief

Brackney was never scheduled.

- b. Documents are in good shape to share but they do not include the Chief's input.
- iv. Ad Hoc Committee: Disability awareness PSAs
 - 1. No updates.

6. INDIVIDUAL COMMISSIONER UPDATES AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

- a. One Commissioner has been attending protests.
 - i. Council has been receiving thousands of emails with policy requests related to the protests.
- b. Jefferson African American Heritage Center hosting a virtual Juneteenth celebration
 i. Starts at 6:00pm
- c. Commissioner refers to a conversation with Lloyd Snook
 - i. Thinking about police presence and police issues
- d. Organizations created a mutual aid network in partnership with CACF and City
 - i. Distributed over \$2 million in aid
 - ii. As aid dwindles in July, it will be important to watch for evictions.
 - iii. PHAR is also concerned about this.
 - 1. PHAR shared a message to Council about evictions that encouraged the public to contact Council to put Care Act funds toward housing.
 - 2. What can the HRC do to advocate to Council on behalf of people who may be at risk for eviction?
 - a. Commissioner suggests that community matters requiring action by HRC be shared in advance with the entire HRC.
 - b. Commissioner suggests drafting a resolution with regard to housing discrimination and eviction.
 - c. Commissioner notes that HRC's mission is broader advocacy around human rights and that advocating for people's right to housing fits within the mission.
 - d. Staff notes that the HRC passed a previous resolution in support of the CSRAP.
 - i. This resolution could be similar.
 - From work with the Pathways and COVID Emergency Relief Fund, there is a clear concern for people who are struggling to pay rent.
- e. HRC could put out a statement
 - i. Advocating for recent legislative wins for LGBTQ+ rights.
 - ii. Catherine Spear has drafted a statement in support of Black Lives Matter.
 - 1. This is still under review but will be first to go out.
 - iii. HRC could also write something with regard to a hold on evictions.

7. MATTERS BY THE PUBLIC

- a. PUBLIC COMMENT
 - i. None.
- b. COMMISSION RESPONSE TO MATTERS BY THE PUBLIC
 - i. None.

8. NEXT STEPS

- a. Jeanette will send out a Doodle poll for the ad hoc committee meeting.
- b. Shantell will send Todd dates for a Doodle poll to schedule a meeting for the meeting to discuss updated rules and procedures.
- c. Commissioners will finish updating the Black Lives Matter statement
 i. HRC will share with Todd to share with Communications Department
- d. Begin a draft resolution regarding housing advocacy, specifically advocating for a hold on evictions.
- 9. ADJOURN 8:03 pm