Agenda

PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR DOCKET TUESDAY, March 13, 2018 at 5:30 P.M. and WEDNESDAY, March 14 at 5:30 P.M. CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

** Items I, II & III to occur on March 13, 2018

**Items IV to occur on March 14, 2018

I. Commission Pre-Meeting (Agenda discussion(s))

Beginning: 4:30 p.m.

Location: City Hall, 2nd Floor, NDS Conference

II. Commission Regular Meeting

Beginning: 5:30 p.m.

Location: City Hall, 2nd Floor, Council Chambers

- A. COMMISSIONERS' REPORTS
- B. UNIVERSITY REPORT
- C. CHAIR'S REPORT
- D. DEPARTMENT OF NDS
- E. MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC NOT ON THE FORMAL AGENDA
- F. CONSENT AGENDA

(Items removed from the consent agenda will be considered at the end of the regular agenda)

- 1. Minutes January 9, 2018 Pre- meeting and Regular meeting
- 2. Minutes November 28, 2017 Work Session
- 3. Minutes January 3, 2018 Work Session
- 4. Minutes January 23, 2018 Work Session

III. JOINT MEETING OF COMMISSION/ COUNCIL

Beginning: 6:00 p.m.

Continuing: until all public hearings are completed Format: (i) Staff Report, (ii) Applicant, (iii) Hearing

- 1. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Funding—1st Year Action Plan, FY 18-
- 19: The Planning Commission and City Council are considering projects to be undertaken in the 1st Year Action Plan of the multi-year Consolidated Plan utilizing CDBG & HOME funds for the City of Charlottesville. In Fiscal Year 18-19 it is expected that the City of Charlottesville will receive about \$388,000 in Community Development Block Grant funds and about \$57,100 in HOME funds from the Department of Housing and Urban Development HUD. CDBG funds will be used in the City to address neighborhood improvements in Belmont and Ridge Street, economic development activities, housing activities, and public service projects that benefit low and moderate income citizens. HOME funds will be used to support the housing needs of low and moderate income citizens through down payment assistance and homeowner rehabilitation. Report prepared by Tierra Howard, Grants Coordinator.
- **2. SP18-00001 901 River Road SUP Request -** Robert High Development, LLC, contract purchaser, and landowner River Road Plaza, LLC, have submitted an application seeking approval of a Special Use Permit (SUP) request for the property located at 901 River Road with road frontage on River Road and Belleview Avenue. The proposal requests to allow for a self-storage company, pursuant to City Code Section 34-480. The property is further identified on City Real Property Tax Map 49 Parcel 98 ("Subject Property"). The Subject Property is zoned IC (Industrial Corridor District). The site is approximately 2.203 acres or 95,963 square feet. The Comprehensive Plan designates the land use of the Subject Property as Business and Technology.

Information pertaining to request may be viewed online at http://www.charlottesville.org/departments-and-services/departments-h-z/neighborhood-development-services or obtained from the Department of Neighborhood Development Services, 2nd Floor of City Hall, 610 East Main Street. Persons interested in this SUP application may contact NDS Planner Heather Newmyer by e-mail (newmyerh@charlottesville.org) or by telephone (434-970-3968).

- **3. ZM17-00003 0 Monticello Road** Henningsen Kestner Architects, on behalf of Richard Spurzem, the owner of the property, has submitted a rezoning petition for 0 Monticello Road, also identified on City Real Property Tax Map 61 as Parcel 265.A ("Subject Property"). The petition proposes a change in zoning from M-I Industrial (current zoning) to R1-S Low-Density Residential (proposed zoning). The Subject Property has frontage on Monticello Road, and contains approximately 0.0895 acres or 3,899 square feet. The general usage of the proposed R-1S zoning classification is low-density residential areas characterized by small-lot development. The general usage specified in the Comprehensive Plan for the Subject Property is High-Density Residential. The Comprehensive Plan specifies density greater than 15 units per acre. Information pertaining to request may be viewed online at http://www.charlottesville.org/departments-and-services/departments-h-z/neighborhood-development-services or obtained from the Department of Neighborhood Development Services, 2nd Floor of City Hall, 610 East Main Street. Persons interested in this rezoning petition may contact Carrie Rainey by email (raineyc@charlottesville.org) of by telephone (434-970-3453).
- 4. SP17-00003 0 Carlton Road Stony Point Design/Build, LLC, as the owner of the Subject Property, has submitted an application seeking approval of a Special Use Permit (SUP) request to allow for multi-family residential use up to 21 dwelling units per acre per City Code Section 34-480 and a reduction of the minimum required front yard setback from 20-feet to 0-feet per City Code Section 34-162(a) at 0 Carlton Road, also identified on City Real Property Tax Map 57 Parcels 123.69, 123.701, 123.71 and Tax Map 61 Parcel 2.2 ("Subject Property"). The Subject Property has frontage on Carlton Road and Monticello Road. The site is zoned M-I Industrial. The property is approximately 0.623 acres or 27,138 square feet. A residential density of 19.26 units per acre is proposed (up to 21 DUA by SUP can be requested) for a total of 12 units. The Land Use Plan calls for High-Density Residential. The Comprehensive Plan specifies density greater than 15 units per acre. Information pertaining to request may be viewed online at http://www.charlottesville.org/departments-and-services/departments-h-z/neighborhood-development-services or obtained from the Department of Neighborhood Development Services, 2nd Floor of City Hall, 610 East Main Street. Persons interested in this rezoning petition may contact Carrie Rainey by email (rainey by email (<a h

5. 1206 Carlton Avenue

- **a. ZM-17-00004 1206 Carlton Avenue** Justin Shimp (Shimp Engineering) on behalf of Chris Hulett (owners of 1206 Carlton Ave) has submitted a rezoning petition for 1206 Carlton Avenue (Subject Property). The rezoning petition proposes a change in zoning from the existing R-2 Two-family Residential to R-3 Multi-family with no proffered development conditions. The Subject Property is further identified on City Real Property Tax Map 57 Parcels 127. The Subject Property is approximately 0.26 acres. The Land Use Plan calls for Low Density Residential. The Comprehensive Plan specifies density no greater than 15 units per acre. Information pertaining to request may be viewed online at http://www.charlottesville.org/departments-and-services/departments-h-z/neighborhood-development-services or obtained from the Department of Neighborhood Development Services, 2nd Floor of City Hall, 610 East Main Street. Persons interested in this rezoning petition my contact Matt Alfele, City Planner by email at (alfelem@charlottesville.org) or by telephone (434-970-3636).
- **b. SP17-00008 1206 Carlton Avenue** Justin Shimp (Shimp Engineering) on behalf of Chris Hulett (owners of 1206 Carlton Ave) has submitted an application seeking approval of a Special Use permit (SUP) for 1206 Carlton Avenue (Subject Property). The SUP application proposes increasing the density from a By-Right 21 Dwelling Units per Acres (DUA) to 24 DUA (per City Code Section 34-420) and adjusting the southeastern side setback from 10' to 8' (per City Code Section 34-162(a)). The applicant is requesting a rezoning (see petition ZM-17-00004) and a SUP to build a 6 unit apartment.

The Subject Properties are further identified on City Real Property Tax Map 57 Parcels 127. The Subject Property is further identified on City Real Property Tax Map 57 Parcels 127. The Subject Property is approximately 0.26 acres. The Land Use Plan calls for Low Density Residential. The Comprehensive Plan specifies density no greater than 15 units per acre. Information pertaining to request may be viewed online at http://www.charlottesville.org/departments-and-services/departments-h-z/neighborhood-development-services or obtained from the Department of Neighborhood Development Services, 2nd Floor of City Hall, 610 East Main Street. Persons interested in this rezoning petition my contact Matt Alfele, City Planner by email at (alfelem@charlottesville.org) or by telephone (434-970-3636).

6. Hogwaller Farm DEFERRED BY APPLICANT ON MARCH, 6, 2018

a. ZM-18-00001 – Hogwaller Farm – Justin Shimp (Shimp Engineering) on behalf of Charles Hurt and Shirley Fisher (owners) has submitted a rezoning petition for Tax Map 61 Parcels 79.17, 79.18, & 79.19, 918 Nassau Street, and a portion of Tax Map 61 Parcel 79 (Subject Properties). The rezoning petition proposes a change in zoning from the existing R-2 Two-family Residential to HW Highway Corridor with no proffered development conditions. The Subject Property is further identified on City Real Property Tax Map 61 Parcels 79, 79.17, 79.18, 79.19, & 79.201. The Subject Properties is approximately 1.16 acres. The Land Use Plan calls for Low Density Residential. The Comprehensive Plan specifies density no greater than 15 units per acre. Information pertaining to request may be viewed online at http://www.charlottesville.org/departments-and-services/departments-h-z/neighborhood-development-services or obtained from the Department of Neighborhood Development Services, 2nd Floor of City Hall, 610 East Main Street. Persons interested in this rezoning petition my contact Matt Alfele, City Planner by email at (alfelem@charlottesville.org) or by telephone (434-970-3636).

b. SP18-00004 - Hogwaller Farm - Justin Shimp (Shimp Engineering) on behalf of Charles Hurt and Shirley Fisher (owners) has submitted an application seeking approval of a Special Use permit (SUP) for Tax Map 61 Parcels 79, 79.16, 79.17, 79.18, & 79.19, 918 Nassau Street (Subject Properties). The SUP application proposes a density of 24 Dwelling Units Acres (DUA) per City Code Section 34-740. The applicant is requesting a rezoning (see petition ZM-18-00001) and a SUP for the proposed development of (18) one-bedroom and (12) two-bedroom units split between (2) three-story buildings for a total of (30) dwelling units. The development is being proposed as an urban farm and will accommodate a 1,280 square foot greenhouse and a 600 square foot retail farm store. Additional parking, farm sheds, and agricultural fields supporting the development are proposed on an adjacent 7.52 acre county parcel. The Subject Properties are further identified on City Real Property Tax Map 61 Parcels 79, 79.16, 79.17, 79.18, 79.19, & 79.20. The Subject Properties are approximately 1.26 acres and has road frontage on Nassau Street. The Land Use Plan calls for Low Density Residential. The Comprehensive Plan specifies density no greater than 15 units per acre. Information pertaining to request may be viewed online at http://www.charlottesville.org/departments-and-services/departments-h-z/neighborhood-developmentservices or obtained from the Department of Neighborhood Development Services, 2nd Floor of City Hall, 610 East Main Street. Persons interested in this rezoning petition my contact Matt Alfele, City Planner by email at (alfelem@charlottesville.org) or by telephone (434-970-3636).

PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR DOCKET Continued WEDNESDAY, March 14 at 5:30 P.M. CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

Commission Pre-Meeting (Agenda discussion(s))

Beginning: 5:00 p.m.

Location: City Hall, 2nd Floor, NDS Conference

IV. COMMISSION'S ACTION ITEMS

Continuing: until all action items are concluded – beginning at 5:30 P.M.

- 1. Site Plan 1011 East Jefferson Street Site Plan
- 2 Entrance Corridor Review Board (ERB) 912 East High Street
- 3. Dairy Central 946 Grady Avenue
 - a. Preliminary Discussion
 - b. ERB Recommendation on SUP request
- 4. Preliminary Discussion 140 Emmet Street North
- 5. Hydraulic/29 Transportation Plan Presentation

V. FUTURE MEETING SCHEDULE/ADJOURN

Thursday, March 22, 2018 – 5:00 PM	Work Session	Joint Work Session with City Council on
		Hydraulic 29 Transportation Plan
Tuesday, March 27, 2018 – 5:00 PM	Work Session	Comprehensive Plan
Tuesday, April 10, 2018 – 4:30 PM	Pre- Meeting	
Tuesday, April 10, 2018 – 5:30 PM	Regular	Comprehensive Plan Amendment –
	Meeting	Hydraulic/29 – Land Use and
		Transportation Plans and inclusion as
		Urban Development Area
		<u>Presentation</u> - Ivy Corridor Preliminary
		Development Plan
		Rezoning and SUP – Hogwaller Farm

Anticipated Items on Future Agendas

Site Plan - Sunrise Park PUD Phase IV

Subdivision - Paynes Mill

Entrance Corridor - 916, 920 East High Street, 325 10th Street NE (10th & High), Seminole Square shopping center

Zoning Text Amendments – Mixed Use definition (initiation), Parking Exempt zone revisions.

<u>SUP</u> –MACAA (1021 Park Street), 1233 Cedars Court, Cleveland Avenue, 1817 Nassau, Brookwood SUP

Persons with Disabilities may request reasonable accommodations by contacting ada@charlottesville.org or (434)970-3182

PLEASE NOTE: THIS AGENDA IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE PRIOR TO THE MEETING.

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u>: We are including suggested time frames on Agenda items. These times are subject to change at any time during the meeting.

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE





APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF A PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN

PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING

DATE OF MEETING: March 14, 2018

Project Planner: Carrie Rainey

Date of Staff Report: March 1, 2018

Development: 1011 E Jefferson Street (Tax Map 54 Parcel 127)

Applicant: David Mitchell, Great Eastern Management

Applicant's Representative(s): Scott Collins, Collins Engineering

Current Property Owner: Jefferson Medical Building Limited Partnership

Applicable City Code Provisions: 34-800 – 34-827 (Site Plans)

Zoning District: B-1 Commercial

Reason for Planning Commission Review: Preliminary site plans associated with a property which has a Special Use Permit (SUP) are subject to review by the Planning Commission.


Vicinity Map



Context Map 1



Context Map 2- Zoning Classifications



KEY - Yellow: R1-S, Light Orange: R-2, Orange: R-3, Pink: B-1, Red: B-2, Purple: DN or HS, Grey: M-I

Standard of Review

Approval of a site plan is a ministerial function, as to which the Planning Commission has little or no discretion. When an applicant has submitted a site plan that complies with the requirements of the City's Site Plan Ordinance, then approval of the plan must be granted. In the event the Planning Commission determines there are grounds upon which to deny approval of a site plan, the motion must clearly identify the deficiencies in the plan, that are the basis for the denial, by reference to specific City Code sections and requirements. Further, upon disapproval of a site plan, the Planning Commission must identify the modifications or corrections that would permit approval of the plan.

Summary

Scott Collins of Collins Engineering, LLC, acting as agent for Jefferson Medical Building Limited Partnership and Great Eastern Management, is requesting approval of a preliminary site plan to construct a mixed-use building with up to 127 residential units at 1011 E Jefferson (TMP 54-127). City Council approved a Special Use Permit (SP16-00001) with conditions for additional residential density on July 5, 2017.

The preliminary site plan (Attachment 1) shows a deviation from driveway layout shown in the conceptual plan presented in conjunction with the Special Use Permit (Attachment 4). The previously shown modification to the building has been removed.

The preliminary site plan proposes a driveway that is set further back from the northern property line than the driveway proposed in the conceptual plan associated with the Special Use Permit. The driveway entrance locations on 10th Street NE and 11th Street NE proposed on the preliminary site plan are in the same location as those proposed on the conceptual plan associated with the Special Use Permit. However, the majority of proposed driveway on the preliminary site plan is located further south (farther from the property line).

Site Plan Compliance

Site plans are reviewed for compliance with City codes and standards. An overview of site plan requirements and the location of those items on the site plan are outlined below.

Site Plan Requirements

A. Compliance with applicable zoning district regulation
B-1 Commercial District ("B-1") (per Sections 34-440 - 34-480)

The property is zoned B-1 Commercial District. The project complies with all requirements of the B-1 Commercial District.

B. Compliance with the City's Erosion and Sediment Control ordinance, Chapter 10

The applicant's erosion and sediment control plan will be submitted and reviewed during final site plan submission. The applicant will be required to comply with staff comments.

C. Compliance with General Standard for site plans (Sections 34-800 - 34-827)

- 1. General site plan information, including but not limited to project, property, zoning, site, and traffic information: **Found on Sheet 1.**
- 2. Existing condition and adjacent property information: Found on Sheet 2.
- 3. Phasing plan: The project will be constructed in one phase per Sheet 1.
- 4. Topography and grading: Found on Sheet 3.
- 5. Existing landscape and trees: **Found on Sheet 2.**
- 6. The name and location of all water features: N/A.
- 7. One hundred-year flood plain limits: N/A.
- Existing and proposed streets and associated traffic information:
 Reference to Traffic Impact Analysis noted on Sheet 1 (see Attachment
 3). No new roads are proposed.
- Location and size of existing water and sewer infrastructure: Found on Sheet 2.
- 10. Proposed layout for water and sanitary sewer facilities and storm drain facilities: **Found of Sheets 3 and 5.**
- 11. Location of other existing and proposed utilities and utility easements: **Found on Sheet 3.**
- 12. Location of existing and proposed ingress to and egress from the property, showing the distance to the centerline of the nearest existing street intersection: **Found on Sheet 3.**
- 13. Location and dimensions of all existing and proposed improvements: Found on Sheets 3, 4, 5, 6.
- 14. All areas intended to be dedicated or reserved for public use: Found on Sheet 3 (right-of-way to be dedicated behind the sidewalk on 10th Street NE and E Jefferson Street).
- 15. Landscape plan: Found on Sheet 3.
- 16. Where deemed appropriate by the director due to intensity of development:
 - a. Estimated traffic generation figures for the site based upon current ITE rates: **Found in the Traffic Impact Analysis.**
 - b. Estimated vehicles per day: Found in the Traffic Impact Analysis.

D. Additional information to be shown on the site plan as deemed necessary by the director or Commission in order to provide sufficient information for the director or Commission to adequately review the site plan.

The Special Use Permit granted by City Council on July 5, 2017 includes the following conditions, which are provided on **Sheet 1** of the preliminary site plan.

- 1. A maximum of 180 bedrooms shall be allowed on the subject property. No owner or operator of the multifamily dwelling shall enter into lease agreements with tenants on a bedroom-by-bedroom basis. Up to 50% of the residential units may be two-bedroom units. All residential units will be either one or two-bedroom units. Found on Sheet 1.
- 2. The applicant has notified the City that it has elected to provide affordable housing units to satisfy the requirements of City Code Sec. 34-12. Each of the required affordable housing units shall be provided either on-site or off-site, on land within the adjacent Downtown or Downtown North Mixed Use Corridor zoning Districts.

 Condition to be resolved at final site plan approval.
- 3. No demolition of existing building(s) or improvements shall be commenced prior to the approval of a final site plan and approval of a permit authorizing land-disturbing activities pursuant to City Code Sec. 10-9. Land disturbance associated with demolition shall be planned and taken into account within the stormwater management plan for the development, as part of a common plan of development for the Subject Property. Condition to be resolved at final site plan approval.
- 4. The design, height, and other characteristics of the development shall remain, in all material aspects, as described within the Application Materials. Any change in use of the proposed building, and any substantial change of the proposed development, shall require a modification of this SUP—specifically including, but without limitation, any change to the following matters depicted and/or represented within the Application Materials, as supplemented through June 12, 2017:
 - a) The provision of two (2) open air courtyards in the front and rear of the building, with the front courtyard visible from E Jefferson Street; <u>Found on</u> <u>Sheets 1 and 3.</u>
 - b) The provision of three (3) plazas: one along the entire 10th Street NE frontage; one, at the corner of 10th Street NE and E Jefferson Streets; and one, at the corner of 11th Street NE and East Jefferson Streets; Found on Sheets 1 and 3.
 - c) The provision of direct pedestrian access from East Jefferson Street to the on-site means of access to the building; <u>Found on Sheet 3.</u>

- d) The entire eastern half of the building, as measured along the E Jefferson Street frontage, shall be a maximum of three (3) stories in height; <u>Found on Sheets 1, 3, and 4.</u>
- e) A building setback of at least 30 feet, along no less than 30% of the building's 10th Street NE and 11th Street NE frontages. **Found on Sheets 3 and 4.**
- f) A building setback at least 30 feet along no less than 25% of the site's E Jefferson Street frontage, and a setback of at least 20 feet along the building's remaining frontage along E Jefferson Street. Found on Sheets 3 and 4.
- g) Stepbacks:
 - A stepback at least 10 feet from the required minimum 20 foot setback above the second (2nd) story of the building, along 100% of the building's 11th Street N.E. frontage, Found on Sheets 1 and 4.
 - ii. A stepback of at least 25 feet from the required minimum five (5) foot setback above the second story of the building, along 100% of the eastern half of the building's E Jefferson Street frontage. <u>Found on</u> <u>Sheets 1 and 4.</u>
- h) No more than 15,000 square feet of commercial space shall be allowed on the Subject Property. **Found on Sheet 1.**
- 5. All street trees shall be a minimum of three (3) inch caliper at planting. Regardless of canopy size, street trees shall be spaced no more than 25 feet apart on the 10th Street NE and 11th Street NE frontages, and no more than 35 feet apart on the E Jefferson Street frontage. Found on Sheets 1 and 3.
- 6. The landowner shall provide the following pedestrian facilities, along with a dedication of land or suitable permanent easements:
 - a) Construction of sidewalk on 10th Street NE along the entire frontage of the Subject Property, minimum seven (7) feet in width. If the sidewalk cannot be constructed within existing public right-of-way, then a reduction of two (2) feet shall be applied to the building setbacks and stepbacks required for 10th Street NE by Z.O. Sec. 34-457 and condition (4), above. **Found on Sheet 3.**
 - b) Construction of curb extensions into (i) the intersection of 10th Street NE and E Jefferson Street adjacent to the Subject Property on both sides of the staggered intersection, and (ii) the intersection of 11th Street NE and E Jefferson Streets adjacent to the Subject Property, all as shown in the site plan dated June 9, 2017. Curb extensions shall include ADA-compliant perpendicular curb ramps aligned with each pedestrian crosswalk. A receiving ADA-compliant curb ramp shall be installed as necessary on the opposite end of each pedestrian crosswalk. Found on Sheet 3.
 - c) Install high visibility crosswalks at all pedestrian crossings at both the 10th Street NE and E Jefferson Street and 11th Street NE and E Jefferson Street intersections, as shown in the provided site plan dated June 9, 2017. <u>Found on Sheet 3.</u>

- d) Extend concrete sidewalk across all driveway/alley entrances in full width and at a maximum two (2) percent cross slope, as shown in the site plan dated June 9, 2017. **Found on Sheet 3.**
- e) If such is approved by the City, relocation of the existing two way stop located at the intersection of 11th Street NE and Little High Street, in order to stop traffic traveling on Little High Street, to an alternate location designated by the City Traffic Engineer. Condition to be resolved at final site plan approval per Condition 6g below.
- f) Construction of curb extensions and high visibility crosswalks at the intersection of 11th Street NE and Little High Street. Curb extensions shall include ADA-compliant perpendicular curb ramps aligned with each pedestrian crosswalk. An ADA-compliant receiving curb ramp shall be installed as necessary on the opposite end of each pedestrian crosswalk.

 Condition to be resolved at final site plan approval per Condition 6g below.
- g) All of the items referenced in (a)-(f) above shall be shown on the final site plan for the development, and any dedications of land or conveyances of public easements shall be provided prior to final site plan approval. The Traffic Engineer is authorized to modify the dimensions of the facilities referenced in (a) through (f), above, as necessary to leave adequate right-of-way available for future construction of bicycle lanes on 10th Street NE. Any such modification shall be shown within the final site plan for the development. Final construction plans for the public facilities referenced in (a)-(f), above will be submitted to the City's Traffic Engineer for approval, prior to commencement of construction.
- 7. All outdoor lighting and light fixtures shall be full cut-off luminaires. Spillover light from luminaires onto public roads and onto property adjacent property shall not exceed one-half (½) foot candle. A spillover shall be measured horizontally and vertically at the property line or edge of right-of-way or easement, whichever is closer to the light source. **Found on Sheet 6.**
- 8. There shall be no vehicular access to the Subject Property from the existing alley connecting the rear of the Subject Property to Little High Street. No more than one (1) vehicular access point ("curb cut") shall be allowed on 11th Street NE, unless additional any access point(s) on 11th Street NE are determined by the City Traffic Engineer to be necessary for the public safety. **Found on Sheet 3.**
- 9. Bicycle storage will be provided on-site, to the standards set forth within City Code Sec. 34-881(2) of the Charlottesville City Code (*Bicycle Storage Facilities*), or the most current Bicycle Storage Facilities code applicable to this multifamily dwelling at time of development. **Found on Sheets 1, 3, and 4.**
- 10. Low impact development techniques such as rain gardens and permeable pavers shall be constructed/ installed as part of the development, and the nature, location

and specifications for all such LID techniques shall be shown on the final site plan. **Found on Sheet 3.**

- 11. The redevelopment of the subject property shall include the installation of solar energy systems sufficient, at a minimum, to offset the electrical usage in the common areas of the development. **Condition to be resolved at final site plan approval.**
- 12. For every 1,500 square feet of commercial space, there shall be a reduction of one (1) dwelling unit from the maximum number of dwelling units (127) allowed under this special use permit. Found on Sheet 1.
- E. Compliance with Additional Standards for Specific Uses (Sections 34-930 34-938)

 No improvements regulated by these sections are proposed.

Public Comments Received

Staff has received correspondence from members of the public concerned with the modification to the rear of the building (northern side of the property) and maintenance of the maximum three story height set for the eastern half of the building.

Recommendation


Staff recommends approval of the preliminary site plan.

Attachments

- 1. Preliminary Site Plan dated January 11, 2018
- 2. Special Use Permit Resolution dated July 5, 2017
- 3. Traffic Impact Analysis dated May 22, 2017
- 4. Conceptual Site Plan Associated with SUP dated June 9, 2017

1011 E. JEFFERSON STREET APARTMENTS

PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA



APPROVING A SPECIAL USE PERMIT TO AUTHORIZE A MULTIFAMILY DWELLING 87 DWELLING UNITS PER ACRE

GENERAL NOTES:

PO BOX 5526

TMP 540127000

LOCATION OF PROJECT:

EXISTING ZONING: EXISTING USE:

PROPOSED USE:

PROPOSED DENSITY:

SETBACKS:

MAXIMUM HEIGHT:

GROSS FLOOR AREA:

AFFORDABLE UNITS:

SITE PHASING:

FLOODPLAIN:

STREAM BUFFER:

USGS DATUM:

CRITICAL SLOPES

AREAS PUBLIC USE:

INGRESS AND EGRESS:

OPEN SPACE:

LANDSCAPING:

LIGHTING PLAN:

EXISTING VEGETATION:

STREET CLOSURE:

PARKING REQUIREMENTS:

UTILITIES:

TOTAL LAND DISTURBANCE:

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

SPECIAL USE PERMIT:

TOTAL ACREAGE OF SITE:

CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22905

HENNINGSEN & KESTNER, INC.

1011 E. JEFFERSON STREET

CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22902

1108 EAST HIGH STREET TELEPHONE: (434) 971-7202

JEFFERSON MEDICAL BUILDING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

TOTAL ACREAGE: 1.4583 ACRES

INCLUDED WITHIN THE BUILDING.

FRONT: 20' MINIMUM (3 FRONT SIDES)

PROJECT TO BE DEVELOPED IN (1) PHASE

SIDE: NONE REQUIRED (ADJACENT TO EXISTING B-1 PROPERTY) REAR: NONE REQUIRED (ADJACENT TO EXISTING B-1 PROPERTY)

THE SITE.

130.000 +/- SF

NAD 83 (1994)

11TH STREET.

SITE TRIP GENERATION AND LAND USE ITE CODE 7TH EDITION:

1.97 ACRES

1011 E. JEFFERSON STREET, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22902

CONDITIONS. PLEASE SEE THIS SHEET FOR THE SUP CONDITIONS.

NOTE: 1-21 DUA CURRENTLY ALLOWED FOR RESIDENTIAL BY-RIGHT ON THE PROPERTY

BEDROOM UNITS) AND A MAXIMUM OF 15,000 SF OF COMMERCIAL USES. THE TOTAL

1.4583 ACRES x 87 DUA = MAX. OF 126 UNITS TO BE ALLOWED WITH THIS SPECIAL USE

MAXIMUM OF 180 BEDROOMS (50% OF THE DWELLING UNITS SHALL BE 2 BEDROOM UNITS)

THE AFOREMENTIONED STATE COMPLIANCE, LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT TECHNIQUES WILL BE

CONDITIONS OF THE SPECIAL USE PERMIT. THE ENTIRE EASTERN HALF OF THE BUILDING, AS

34-12, AND THESE UNITS SHALL EITHER BE PROVIDED ONSITE OR OFFSITE.

MAP#51003C0288D, PANEL #0288D DATED FEBRUARY 4, 2005.

THE SITE WILL BE SERVED BY PUBLIC WATER AND SEWER.

THE CORNER OF 11TH STREET, NE AND E. JEFFERSON STREET.

SHEET), BUT NO MORE THAN 35 FEET APART ON ALL FRONTAGES.

DRAINS TO THE EXISTING MOORES CREEK STREAM AND WATERSHED.

LANDSCAPING AND TREES AROUND THE EXISTING BUILDING AND PARKING LOT

PARKING REQUIRED (LARGEST POSSIBLE, ASSUMES 15,000 sf OF COMMERCIAL):

TOTAL PARKING SPACES REQUIRED: 169 SPACES & 63 BICYCLE RACKS

MAXIMUM 15,000 sf * 3.5 SPACES/1,000 sf OF COMMERCIAL SPACE = 53 SPACES

REDUCTION IN APARTMENT UNITS FOR MAXIMUM COMMERCIAL SPACE = -10 SPACES BICYCLE PARKING = 1 BIKE SPACE PER 2 RESIDENTIAL UNITS: (126) RESIDENTIAL UNITS

= 63 TOTAL BICYCLE PARKING SPACES REQUIRED

PARKING TOTAL PROVIDED (WITHIN GARAGE): 177 SPACES + 65 BICYCLE RACKS

BICYCLE PARKING RACKS: STORAGE AND RACKS TO ACCOMMODATE 65 RACKS MIN.

Buildings Walkways Parking Lot Total Buildings Walkways Parking Lot Total

10,675 4,875 28,600 44,150 36,325 6,875 3,275 46,475

A TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURE PERMIT IS REQUIRED FOR CLOSURE OF SIDEWALKS, PARKING

SPACES, AND ROADWAYS AND IS SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE CITY TRAFFIC ENGINEER.

ONE UNDERGROUND DETENTION FACILITY & A NUTRIENT CREDIT

1011 EAST JEFFERSON STREET (PREDOMINANT HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUI

THE MAINTENANCE PROGRAM FOR THE UNDERGROUND DETENTION

ENSURING THE INTEGRITY OF THE WEIR PLATE, TRASH RACK AND

R DAMAGED. OWNER SHALL CLEAN & REPAIR THE FACILITY AS

ONSITE MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS.

SYSTEM SHALL BE CLEANING IT ANNUALLY OF TRASH AND DEBRIS AND

DUTFALL PIPES ARE OPERATING AS INTENDED AND ARE NOT CLOGGED

NECESSARY IMMEDIATELY. FOR THE NUTRIENT CREDITS, THERE ARE NO

30 BIKE RACKS ON THE LOWER LEVEL, 30 BIKE RACKS ON THE UPPER LEVEL,

Proposed, Onsite Impervious Areas, sf

& 5 BIKE RACKS ALONG THE ENTRANCE TO THE BUILDING AT 10TH STREET.

65 BIKE RACKS PROVIDED FOR THIS PROJECT:

MAXIMUM 126 APARTMENT UNITS (1 & 2 BEDROOM) x 1 SPACE PER UNIT = 126 SPACES

SEE TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

PAVED PARKING & CIRCULATION: (2) DECK PARKING LEVELS, EACH LEVEL 32,000 SF

TYPE OF BMP INSTALLED:

GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION

WATERBODY THE BMP IS

DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED

OWNER's SIGNATURE AGREEING

Existing, Onsite Impervious Areas, sf

IBMP OWNERSHIP INFORMATION: IFFFERSON MEDICAL BUILDING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

No. OF ACRES TREATED BY BMPs: 1.97 ac. (WATER QUALITY) & 1.12 ac. (WATER QUANTITY)

CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22902

PO BOX 5526

JLTIMATELY DISCHARGING INTO: RIVANNA RIVER WATERSHED

THERE ARE NO FLOODPLAIN LIMITS WITHIN THE SUBJECT PROPERTY PER FEMA

NONE THAT MEET THE CONDITIONS OF THE CITY ORDINANCE SECTION 34-1120.

CURRENTLY, THERE IS NO LAND ON THIS PROPERTY THAT IS PROPOSED FOR PUBLIC USE.

FIRE PROTECTION. THE CALCULATED NEEDED FIRE FLOW FOR THIS BUILDING IS 3,000 gpm.

THE SITE SHALL PROVIDE (2) OPEN AIR COURTYARDS IN THE FRONT AND REAR OF THE

PROTECTED PROPERTIES. THE SHIELD SHALL BLOCK DIRECT ILLUMINATION OF PROTECTED

IMPLEMENTED. BRICK PAVERS, LANDSCAPED/PERVIOUS COMMON AREAS INTENDED FOR

QUALITY WILL BE ACHIEVED THROUGH THE BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE OF PURCHASING

200 GARRETT STREET, SUITE k

TELEPHONE: (434) 293-3719

WHEREAS, Jefferson Medical Building Limited Partnership ("Applicant"), is the owns of certain property located at 1101 Bast Jefferson Street, identified on City Tax Map 54 as Parcel 127 (Tax Map Parcel Id. # 540127000) and containing approximately 1.46 acres ("Subject Property"), pursuant to City Code Sec. 34-480, has requested City Council to approve a special use permit to authorize the development of the Subject Property as a multifamily dwelling containing up to 87 dwelling units per sore (the proposed "Special Use"). The Subject Property is within the City's B-1 (Commercial) zoning district, with frontage on 10th Street, N.B., East

WHEREAS, the requested Special Use is generally described within the Applicant's application materials submitted in connection with SP16-00001, including: (i) the original plication materials dated September 15 and 19, 2016; (ii) a supplemental narrative dated June 2, 2017, and (iii) a revised proposed site plan dated June 9, 2017, submitted to NDS on June 12,

WHEREAS, the existing building at the Subject Property is proposed to be demolished and removed to allow for establishment of the Special Use and related buildings and

WHEREAS, the Flanning Commission reviewed the original application materials dated September 16 and 19, 2016, and the City's Staff Report pertaining thereto, and following a joint public hearing, duly advertised and conducted by the Planning Commission and City Council on October 11, 2016, the Commission voted to recommend that City Council should deny the

WHEREAS, upon consideration of: the comments received during the joint public hearing, the Flanning Commission's recommendation, the Staff Report, updated through July 5, 2017, and supplemental materials provided by the Applicant (dated June 9 and 12, 2017) as well as the factors set forth within Sec. 34-157 of the City's Zoning Ordinance, this Council finds and determines that granting the requested special use permit subject to suitable conditions would serve the public necessity, convenience, general welfare or good zoning practice; now, therefore

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that, pursuant to City Code Sec. 34-480, a special use permit is hereby approved and granted to authorize a mily dwelling containing not more than 87 dwelling units per acre (approximately 127.02 units, maximum), subject to the following conditions:

1. A maximum of 180 bedrooms shall be allowed on the subject property. No owner or

operator of the multifamily dwelling shall enter into lease agreements with tenants on a droom-by-bedroom basis. Up to 50% of the residential units may be two-bedroom units. All residential units will be either one or two-bedroom units.

The applicant has notified the City that it has elected to provide affordable housing units to satisfy the requirements of City Code Sec. 34-12. Each of the required affordable housing units shall be provided either on-site or off-site, on land within the adjacent Downtown or owntown North Mixed Use Corridor zoning Districts.

3. No demolition of sxisting building(s) or improvements shall be commenced prior to the

approval of a final site plan and approval of a permit authorizing land-disturbing activities bursuant to City Code Sec. 10-9. Land disturbance associated with demolition shall be planned and taken into account within the stormwater management plan for the development, as part of a common plan of development for the Subject Property.

4. The design, height, and other pharacteristics of the development shall remain, in all material aspects, as described within the Application Materials. Any change in use of the proposed building, and any substantial change of the proposed development, shall require a following matters depicted and/or represented within the Application Materials, as

 a. The provision of two (2) open air courtyards in the front and rear of the building, with the front courtyard visible from E Jefferson Street; b. The provision of three (3) plazas: one along the entire 10th Street NE frontage; one, at the corner of 10th Street NE and B Jefferson Streets; and one, at the corner of 11th Street

c. The provision of direct pedestrian access from Bast Jefferson Street to the on-site means of access to the building;

d. The entire eastern half of the building, as measured along the E Jefferson Street frontage, shall be a maximum of these (3) atories in height; e. A building setback of at least 30 feet, along no less than 30% of the building's 10th Street NE and 11th Street NE frontages.

f. A building setback at least 30 feet along no less than 25% of the site's E Jefferson Street frontage, and a setback of at least 20 feet along the building's remaining frontage

(i) A stepback at least 10 feet from the required minimum 20 foot setback above he second (2nd) story of the building, along 100% of the building's 11th Street

(ii) A stepback of at least 25 feet from the required minimum five (5) foot setback above the second story of the building, along 100% of the castern half of the building's B Jefferson Street frontage.

h. No more than 15,000 square feet of commercial space shall be allowed on the Subject

5. All street trees shall be a minimum of three (3) inch caliper at planting. Regardless of canony size, street trees shall be spaced no more than 25 feet spart on the 10th Street VE and 11th Street NE frontages, and no more than 35 feet apart on the E Jefferson

a. Construction of sidewalk on 10th Street NE along the entire frontage of the Subject Property, minimum seven (7) feet in width. If the sidewalk cannot be constructed with existing public right-of-way, then a reduction of two (2) feet shall be applied to the building setbacks and stepbacks required for 10th Street NE by Z.O. Sec. 34-457 and

The landowner shall provide the following pedestrian facilities, along with a dedication of

b. Construction of surb extensions into (i) the intersection of 10th Street NB and E Jefferson Street adjacent to the Subject Property on both sides of the staggered intersection, and (ii) the intersection of 11th Street NE and E Jefferson Streets adjacent to the Subject Property, all as shown in the site plan dated June 9, 2017. Curb extensions shall include ADA-compliant perpendicular curb ramps aligned with each pedestrian prosswalk. A receiving ADA-compliant curb ramp shall be installed as necessary on the

c. Install high visibility prosswalks at all pedestrian prossings at both the 10th Street NE and B Jefferson Street and 11th Street NB and E Jefferson Street intersections, as shown in the provided site plan dated June 9, 2017.

d. Extend concrete sidewalk across all driveway/alley entrances in full width and at a maximum two (2) percent cross slope, as shown in the site plan dated June 9, 2017

e. If such is approved by the City, relocation of the existing two way stop located at the intersection of 11^{th} Street NE and Little High Street, in order to stop traffic traveling on Little High Street, to an alternate location designated by the City Traffic Engineer. f. Construction of our bextensions and high visibility crosswalks at the intersection of $11^{\rm lt}$. Street NE and Little High Street. Curb extensions shall include ADA-compliant

receiving curb ramp shall be installed as necessary on the opposite and of each padestrian

g. All of the items referenced in (a)-(f) above shall be shown on the final site plan for the development, and any dedications of land or conveyances of public easements shall be provided prior to final site plan approval. The Traffic Engineer is authorized to modify the dimensions of the facilities referenced in (a) through (f), above, as necessary to leave NB. Any such modification shall be shown within the final site plan for the development Final construction plans for the public facilities referenced in (a)-(f), above will be submitted to the City's Traffic Engineer for approval, prior to commencement of

7. All outdoor lighting and light fixtures shall be full out-off luminaires. Spillover light from luminaires onto public roads and onto property adjacent property shall not exceed one-half (4) foot candle. A spillover shall be measured horizontally and vertically at the property line or edge of right-of-way or easement, whichever is closer to the light source.


8. There shall be no vehicular access to the Subject Property from the existing alloy connecting the rear of the Subject Property to Little High Street. No more than one (1) vehicular access point ("ourb out") shall be allowed on 11th Street NE, unless additional any access point(s) on 11th Street NE are determined by the City Traffic Engineer to be necessary for the public safety.

9. Bicycle storage will be provided on-site, to the standards set forth within City Code Sec. Bicycle Storage Facilities code applicable to this multifamily dwelling at time of 10. Low impact development techniques such as rain gardens and permeable pavers shall be constructed/installed as part of the development, and the nature, location and specifications

for all such LID techniques shall be shown on the final site plan. 11. The redevelopment of the subject property shall include the installation of solar energy systems sufficient, at a minimum, to offset the electrical usage in the common areas of the

12. For every 1,500 square feet of commercial space, there shall be a reduction of one (1) dwelling unit from the maximum number of dwelling units (127) allowed under this special






	Sheet List Table						
Sheet Number	Sheet Title						
1	COVER						
2	EXISTING CONDITIONS & DEMOLITION PLA						
3	SITE, UTILITY & LANDSCAPING PLAN						
4	NOTES & DETAILS						
5	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN						
6	LIGHTING PLAN & DETAILS						

CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN ON PLANS IN AREAS OF CONSTRUCTION PRIOR TO STARTING WORK. CONTACT ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY IF LOCATION OR ELEVATION IS DIFFERENT FROM THAT SHOWN ON THE PLANS, IF THERE APPEARS TO BE A CONFLICT, AND UPON DISCOVERY OF ANY UTILITY NOT SHOWN ON THE PLANS. ANY SIDEWALK AND/OR CURB DAMAGE IDENTIFIED IN THE SITE VICINITY DUE TO PROJECT CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES AS DETERMINED BY THE CITY INSPECTOR SHALL

BE REPAIRED AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE. ALL SIGNING AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS SHALL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE MUTCD. A TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURE PERMIT IS REQUIRED FOR CLOSURE OF SIDEWALKS, PARKING SPACES AND ROADWAYS AND IS SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE CITY

SITE AND BUILDING CONSTRUCTION SHALL MEET 2006 IBC SECTION 3409 FOR ACCESSIBILITY AND VA USBC 103.3 FOR CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY.

<u>LEGEND</u>




EC-2 DITCH DEPTH OF EC-2 DITCH EARTH DITCH DRIVEWAY CULVERT BENCH MARK CLEARING LIMITS VDOT STANDARD STOP SIGN EXISTING CONTOUR PROPOSED CONTOUR PROPOSED SPOT ELEVATION TBC DENOTES TOP/BACK OF CURB T/B DENOTES TOP OF BOX


SIGNATURE PANEL

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT

0

1'' = 20'





GENERAL NOTES:

- <u>UTILITIES</u> 1. ANY DAMAGE TO EXISTING UTILITIES CAUSED BY CONTRACTOR OR ITS SUBCONTRACTORS SHALL BE CONTRACTOR'S SOLE RESPONSIBILITY AND REPAIRED AT CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE. . THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS DO NOT GUARANTEE THE EXISTENCE, NON-EXISTENCE OR LOCATION OF UTILITIES. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY THE EXISTENCE AND LOCATION OR THE NON-EXISTENCE OF UTILITIES. AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION OR CONSTRUCTION, CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY MISS UTILITY (1-800-552-7001) AND/OR THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY COMPANIES FOR GAS, WATER, SEWER, POWER, PHONE AND CABLE. CONTRACTOR SHALL TIMELY ARRANGE TO HAVE THE VARIOUS UTILITIES LOCATED, AND TO HAVE THEM REMOVED OR RELOCATED, OR TO DETERMINE THE METHOD OF PROTECTION ACCEPTABLE TO THE RESPECTIVE OWNER, IF THE METHOD OF
- PROTECTION IS NOT OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONDUCT ITS WORK IN THE VICINITY OF EXISTING UTILITIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY'S RULES AND REGULATIONS. NO BUILDING OR WALL FOUNDATION SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITHIN 10 FEET OF ANY STORM, SANITARY, WATER, OR GAS LINE. ANY COST INCURRED FOR REMOVING, RELOCATIONS OR PROTECTING UTILITIES SHALL BE BORNE BY CONTRACTOR UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE. CONTRACTOR SHALL EXCAVATE TO LOCATE BURIED UTILITIES FAR ENOUGH IN ADVANCE OF ITS WORK TO ALLOW FOR HORIZONTAL AND /OR VERTICAL ADJUSTMENTS TO ITS WORK AND/OR THE LITILITIES NO ADJUSTMENT IN COMPENSATION OR SCHEDULE WILL BE ALLOWED FOR DELAYS. RESULTING FROM CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO CONTACT AND COORDINATE WITH UTILITIES.
- . WHEN THE WORK CROSSES EXISTING UTILITIES, THE EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE ADEQUATELY SUPPORTED AND PROTECTED FROM DAMAGE DUE TO THE WORK. ALL METHODS FOR SUPPORTING AND MAINTAINING THE EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY COMPANY AND/OR THE ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR SHALL EXERCISE CARE TO INSURE THAT THE GRADE AND ALIGNMENT OF EXISTING UTILITIES ARE MAINTAINED AND THAT NO JOINTS OR CONNECTIONS ARE DISPLACED. BACKFILL SHALL BE CAREFULLY PLACED AND COMPACTED TO PREVENT FUTURE DAMAGE OR SETTLEMENT TO EXISTING UTILITIES. ANY UTILITIES REMOVED AS PART OF THE WORK, AND NOT INDICATED TO BE REMOVED OR ABANDONED, SHALL BE RESTORED USING MATERIALS AND INSTALLATION EQUAL TO THE UTILITY'S STANDARDS
- . CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY LANDOWNERS, TENANTS AND THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO THE INTERRUPTION OF ANY SERVICES. SERVICE INTERRUPTIONS SHALL BE KEPT TO A MINIMUM. . CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE WITH THE CITY TO LOCATE SIGNAL LOOP DETECTORS AND CONDUITS IN ORDER TO AVOID DAMAGE TO THEM. CONTRACTOR SHALL REIMBURSE THE CITY FOR REPAIRING ANY DAMAGE TO SIGNAL LOOP DETECTORS AND CONDUITS CAUSED BY CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO SO COORDINATE.
- . ALL RECTANGULAR WATER METER BOXES LOCATED IN SIDEWALKS SHALL BE REPLACED WITH ROUND ONES. THESE WILL BE FURNISHED BY THE CITY UPON ONE FULL WORKING DAY NOTIFICATION. THE ADJUSTMENT OF ALL MANHOLE TOPS, WATER VALVE BOXES, GAS VALVE BOXES AND WATER METER BOXES SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF CONTRACTOR. COSTS ARE TO BE INCLUDED UNDER THE VARIOUS UNIT BID ITEMS. NO SEPARATE PAYMENT WILL BE MADE.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE CITY UTILITIES DIVISION AT LEAST TWO FULL WORKING DAYS IN ADVANCE TO ARRANGE GAS SERVICE LINE ADJUSTMENTS TO BE PERFORMED BY THE CITY. B. ALL WATER METER, VALVES AND FIRE HYDRANT ADJUSTMENTS/RELOCATIONS SHALL BE PERFORMED BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- ALL FORMS SHALL BE INSPECTED BY THE ENGINEER BEFORE ANY CONCRETE IS PLACED. THE ENGINEER MAY REQUIRE CONTRACTOR, AT NO ADDITIONAL COST, TO REMOVE AND REPLACE CONCRETE PLACED PRIOR TO OR WITHOUT SUCH INSPECTION. D. ALL MATERIAL INSIDE FORMS SHALL BE CLEAN AND FREE OF ALL ROCKS AND OTHER LOOSE DEBRIS. SUB-BASE MATERIAL SHALL BE COMPACTED BY MECHANICAL MEANS.
- FAHRENHEIT (F) IN THE SHADE AND RISING 12. CONCRETE SHALL NOT BE PLACED UNTIL STEEL DOWELS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED IN EXISTING CONCRETE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STANDARDS. 13. 1/2" PREMOLDED EXPANSION JOINT MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED AT A MAXIMUM OF 30' INTERVALS ON NEW SIDEWALK, CURB, CURB & GUTTER, AT EACH END OF DRIVEWAY ENTRANCES, AT EACH END OF HANDICAP RAMPS, SOME POINT ON ENTRANCE WALKS AND STEPS ADJUSTMENTS, AND ALONG BUILDINGS AND WALLS WHERE NEW CONCRETE SIDEWALKS ARE

1. CONCRETE SHALL NOT BE PLACED UNLESS THE AIR TEMPERATURE IS AT LEAST 40 DEGREES

- 4. ALL EXISTING CURBS, CURB & GUTTER, SIDEWALK AND STEPS TO BE REMOVED SHALL BE TAKEN OUT TO THE NEAREST JOINT. DEMOLITION AND DISPOSAL COST TO BE INCLUDED IN OTHER UNIT BID ITEMS. NO SEPARATE PAYMENT WILL BE MADE FOR THIS WORK 5. ALL EXISTING GRANITE CURB SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE. IT SHALL BE REMOVED AND DELIVERED BY THE CONTRACTOR TO THE CITY'S PUBLIC WORKS COMPLEX. COST TO BE INCLUDED UNDER THE VARIOUS UNIT BID ITEMS. NO SEPARATE PAYMENT
- WILL BE MADE FOR THIS WORK. 6. STREET PAVEMENT STRUCTURE AND PATCHING SHALL BE EXTENDED FROM THE FRONT OF NEW CONCRETE TO THE EXISTING PROJECTION OF THE SOUND STREET EDGE AS DIRECTED BY THE '. DRIVEWAY ADJUSTMENTS ARE TO BE DONE IN GENTLE TRANSITIONS RATHER THAN ABRUPT
- BREAKS AT THE BACK OF WALKS. GRAVEL DRIVEWAYS ABOVE STREET GRADE SHALL BE PAVED FOR A MINIMUM DISTANCE OF 20' BEYOND THE BACK OF THE SIDEWALK OR CURB & GUTTER APRON WHERE APPLICABLE. 8. EXISTING ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT SHALL BE SAW CUT AND REMOVED AS PER THE SPECIFICATIONS. REMOVAL SHALL BE DONE IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO NOT TEAR, BULGE OR
- DISPLACE ADJACENT PAVEMENT. EDGES SHALL BE CLEAN AND VERTICAL, ALL CUTS SHALL BE PARALLEL OR PERPENDICULAR TO THE DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC. 19. DISPOSAL OF ALL EXCESS MATERIAL IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF CONTRACTOR.
- O. CONTRACTOR SHALL EXERCISE CARE, ESPECIALLY AT INTERSECTIONS AND GUTTER LINES, TO PROVIDE POSITIVE DRAINAGE. ANY AREAS WHERE WATER IS IMPOUNDED SHALL BE CORRECTED BY CONTRACTOR AT NO ADDITIONAL COST. POSITIVE DRAINAGE OF ALL ROADWAY AREAS TO THE STORM DRAIN INLETS OR OTHER ACCEPTABLE DRAINAGE CHANNELS AS NOTED ON THE PLANS IS 21. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN EXISTING STREAMS, DITCHES, DRAINAGE STRUCTURES, CULVERTS INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE WHICH MAY OCCUR AS A RESULT OF FAILING TO MAINTAIN
- 22. ALL PIPES, DI'S AND OTHER STRUCTURES SHALL BE INSPECTED BY THE ENGINEER BEFORE BEING BACKFILLED OR BURIED THE ENGINEER MAY REQUIRE CONTRACTOR AT NO ADDITIONAL COST, TO UNCOVER AND RE-COVER SUCH STRUCTURES IF THEY HAVE BEEN BACKFILLED OR
- BURIED WITHOUT SUCH INSPECTION 23. ALL CATCH BASINS ENCOMPASSED WITHIN NEW CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE CONVERTED TO DROP
- 24. CLASS I RIP RAP MODIFICATIONS ALLOWS FOR A REDUCTION IN STONE DEPTH FROM 2.0' TO A MINIMUM OF 1.0' AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER 25. REMOVED PIPE SHALL BE THE PROPERTY OF CONTRACTOR AND IF NOT SALVAGED FOR RE-USE.
- SHALL BE DISPOSED OF LAWFULLY 26. ALL STORM SEWER PIPE AND DROP INLETS SHALL BE CLEARED OF DEBRIS AND ERODED MATERIAL PRIOR TO FINAL ACCEPTANCE 27. ALL STORM SEWER PIPE JOINTS SHALL BE SEATED AND SEALED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
- MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS 8. ALL EXISTING ROOF DRAINS AND OTHER DRAINAGE CONDUIT TIED INTO EXISTING PIPE SHALL BE TIED INTO NEW PIPE. ALL EXISTING ROOF DRAINS AND OTHER DRAINAGE CONDUIT BLOCKED OR DISRUPTED FROM THEIR PRE-CONSTRUCTION.

PIPE TRENCH UNIVERSAL

STANDARD SUBGRADE - TYPIĆ.

- ALL SIGNAGE AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS SHALL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE MUTCD. IFC 505-THE BUILDING STREET NUMBER TO BE PLAINLY VISIBLE FROM THE STREET FOR
- EMERGENCY RESPONDERS. IFC 506.1-AN APPROVED KEY BOX SHALL BE MOUNTED TO THE SIDE OF THE FRONT OR MAIN ENTRANCE. THE CHARLOTTESVILLE FIRE DEPARTMENT CARRIES THE KNOX BOX MASTER KEY. A KNOX BOX KEY BOX CAN BE ORDERED BY GOING ONLINE TO WWW KNOXBOX COM. THE KNOX BOX ALLOWS ENTRY TO THE BUILDING WITHOUT
- DAMAGING THE LOCK AND DOOR SYSTEM. STRUCTURES WITH FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS SHALL INDICATE THE LOCATION OF ANY FIRE LINE TO THE BUILDING(S) AS WELL AS THE LOCATION OF FIRE DEPARTMENT

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

"A World Class City"

Department of Neighborhood Development Services

City Hall • P.O. Box 911

Charlottesville, Virginia 22902

Telephone 434-970-3182

Fax 434-970-3359

www.charlottesville.org

RE: Application of the City's definition of "building height" to specific circumstances

On behalf of a client of your firm, you have inquired as to how the City's current

properly be applied to specific circumstances. You've provided us with a drawing prepared by

a non-monolithic building. The drawing depicts a building situated on a level grade plane.

such building or structure. In ZO § 34-1200 the terms "building height" and "grade" are

As you are aware, ZO § 34-1100(a) states that "[t]he term "height," when applied to a

Building height means the vertical distance measured from the level of the grade

of the building footprint to the level of the highest point of the structure's roof

surface. This distance is calculated by measuring separately the average height of

each building wall, then averaging them together. The height is measured to the

level of a flat roof, to the deck line of a mansard roof, and to the average height

Grade means, with reference to a building or structure: the average level of the

ground adjacent to the exterior walls of the building. In a case where walls are

parallel to and not more than fifteen (15) feet from a sidewalk, the grade may be

Admittedly, the above-referenced instructions and definitions are very difficult to

Director, Neighborhood Development Services

Date: 2/3/2017

5' MIN. 12:1 M. E. 12:1 M.X. 2' MIN.

6 6

TYPE C

AT * , 2* HIGHER THAN EDGE OF PAYEMENT

PARALLEL & PERPENDICULAR CURB

50%-65% OF BASE DIAMET
TOP DIAMETER

TRUNCATED DOME

VARIABLE 4' MIN.

DETECTABLE WARNING

apply to specific circumstances. However, based on our review of the Height Study you

provided, we are of the opinion that the approach to the measurement of building height

illustrated within that document (the average methodology complies with the provisions of ZO § 34-1100(a) and the definitions of "building height" and "grade" set forth within

level between the eaves and ridge for gable, hip, or gambrel roofs.

Ashley Davies, Land Use Planner

measured at the sidewalk.

1 aprellow

Chief Deputy City Attorney

RAMPS MAY BE PLACED ON RADIAL OR TANGENTIAL SECTIONS PROVIDED THAT THE CURB OPENING IS PLACED WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THE CROSSWALK AND THAT THE SLOPE AT THE CONNECTION OF THE CURB OPENING IS PERPENDICULAR TO THE CURB.

TYPICAL CONCRETE SIDEWALK IS 4" THICK, WHEN THE RAMP IS PLACED IN THE CURB RETURN RADIUS IT SHALL BE 7" THICK.

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVIL

WHEN CURB RAMPS ARE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH A SHARED USE PATH, THE MINIMUM WIDTH SHALL BE THE WIDTH OF THE SHARED USE PATH.

Date: 2/3/2017

ZO § 34-1200).

321 E. Main Street, Suite 400

Charlottesville, VA 22902

Williams Mullen

February 3, 2017

- FIRE HYDRANTS, FIRE PUMP TEST HEADER, FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTIONS OR FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM CONTROL VALVES SHALL REMAIN CLEAR AND UNOBSTRUCTED BY LANDSCAPING PARKING OR OTHER OBJECTS. THE FIRE MARSHAL'S OFFICE NO LONGER ALLOWS ANY TYPE OF LANDSCAPING TO BE PLACED IN FRONT OF AND WITHIN 5 FEET OF FIRE HYDRANTS, FIRE PUMP TEST HEADERS, FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTIONS OR FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM CONTROL VALVES. AN APPROVED WATER SUPPLY FOR FIRE PROTECTION SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE AS
- SOON AS COMBUSTIBLE MATERIAL ARRIVES ON THE SITE. ALL PAVEMENT SHALL BE CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING FIRE APPARATUS WEIGHTING 75.000 LBS. IFC 1404.1-SMOKING TO BE ALLOWED IN ONLY DESIGNATED SPACES WITH
- PROPER RECEPTACLES. IFC 1404.2-WASTE DISPOSAL OF COMBUSTIBLE DEBRIS SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE BUILDING AT THE END OF EACH WORKDAY. IFC 1410.1-ACCESS TO THE BUILDING DURING DEMOLITION AND
- CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE MAINTAINED. 11. IFC 1404.6-CUTTING AND WELDING, OPERATIONS INVOLVING THE USE OF UTTING AND WELDING SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHAPTER 26, OF THE INTERNATIONAL FIRE CODE, ADDRESSING WELDING AND
- HOTWORK OPERATIONS 12. IFC 1414.1-FIRE EXTINGUISHERS SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH NOT LESS THAN ONE APPROVED PORTABLE FIRE EXTINGUISHER AT EACH STAIRWAY ON ALL FLOOR LEVELS WHERE COMBUSTIBLE MATERIALS HAVE ACCUMULATED.
- REQUIRED VEHICLE ACCESS FOR FIRE FIGHTING SHALL BE PROVIDED TO ALL CONSTRUCTION OR DEMOLITION SITES. VEHICLE ACCESS SHALL BE PROVIDED TO WITHIN 100 FEET OF TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTIONS VEHICLE ACCESS SHALL BE PROVIDED BY EITHER TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT ROADS, CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING VEHICLE LOADING UNDER ALL WEATHER CONDITIONS. VEHICLE ACCESS SHALL BE MAINTAINED UNTIL PERMANENT FIRE APPARATUS ACCESS ROADS ARE AVAILABLE.
- 14. OVERHEAD WIRING OR OTHER OBSTRUCTIONS SHALL BE HIGHER THAN 13 15. ALL SIGNS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE IX, SECTION 34-1020
- CITY CODE. 16. VSFPC 905.3.1 — A CLASS I STANDPIPE SYSTEM MUST BE INSTALLED IN ADDITION TO THE SPRINKLER SYSTEM SINCE THE FLOOR LEVEL OF THE HIGHEST STORY IS MORE THAN 30 FEET ABOVE THE LOWEST LEVEL OF FIRE DEPARTMENT VEHICLE ACCESS
- 17. VSFPC 903.5.2 A SECONDARY WATER SUPPLY TO THE BUILDING'S FIRE PUMP IS REQUIRED SINCE THE PROPOSED BUILDING HAS AN OCCUPIED FLOOR LOCATED MORE THAN 75' ABOVE THE LOWEST LEVEL OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT VEHICLE ACCESS.
- 18. VSFPC 3311.1 WHERE A BUILDING HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED TO A HEIGHT GREATER THAN 50 FEET OR FOUR (4) STORIES, AT LEAST ONE TEMPORARY LIGHTED STAIRWAY SHALL BE PROVIDED UNLESS ONE OR MORE OF THE PERMANENT STAIRWAYS ARE ERECTED AS THE CONSTRUCTION PROGRESSES
- 19. VSFPC 3313.1 BUILDINGS FOUR OR MORE STORIES IN HEIGHT SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH NOT LESS THAN ONE STANDPIPE FOR US DURING CONSTRUCTION. SUCH STANDPIPES SHALL BE INSTALLED WHEN THE PROGRESS OF CONSTRUCTION IS NOT MORE THAN 40 FEET IN HEIGHT ABOVE THE LOWEST LEVEL OF FIRE DEPARTMENT ACCESS. SUCH STANDPIPE SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT HOSE CONNECTIONS AT ACCESSIBLE LOCATIONS ADJACENT TO USABLE STAIRS. SUCH STANDPIPES SHALL BE EXTENDED AS CONSTRUCTION PROGRESSES. TO WITHIN ONE FLOOR OF THE HIGHEST POINT OF CONSTRUCTION HAVING
- SECURED DECKING OR FLOORING. 20. GUARDRAILS REQUIRED AT THE TOP OF ALL RETAINING WALLS WITH A GRADE DIFFERENCE EXCEEDING 30".
- HANDRAILS REQUIRED AT BOTH SIDES OF STAIRS. 22. 5' SIDE SETBACKS HAVE A RESTRICTIVE BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENT FOR % OPENINGS AND EXTERIOR WALL FIRE RATINGS. THESE CALCULATIONS

6" -

12"

ACCEPTABLE ALTERNATE IF CURB IS EXTRUDED

WILL BE SHOWN ON THE BUILDING AND ARCHITECTURAL PLANS. 23. A MINIMUM OF 98" HEIGHT CLEARANCE IS REQUIRED AT PARKING GARAGE DOORS AND CLEARANCE AT HANDICAP PARKING SPACES. THIS CLEARANCE WILL BE SHOWN ON THE BUILDING AND ARCHITECTURAL PLANS.

MINIMUM

REQUIREMENTS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF GAS MAINS, SERVICES, AND METERS GAS UNIT:

GAS MAINS WILL BE INSTALLED WHEN THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS ARE MET IN THE CONSTRUCTION AREA: GRADE IS WITHIN 6 INCHES OF FINAL GRADE OR BASE GRADE IN ROADWAYS. CURB AND GUTTER MUST BE INSTALLED IF GAS MAIN IS GOING TO BE INSTALLED IN OR NEAR THE


ALL SANITARY SEWERS, DRAINS, AND STORM SEWERS MUST BE INSTALLED. A MINIMUM BELOW GROUND PARALLEL SEPARATION IS REQUIRED OF 5 FEET FROM POWER, TELEPHONE, AND CABLE TV AND 10 FEET FROM SANITARY SEWER. GAS STUBS WILL BE INSTALLED FOR ALL ROAD CROSSINGS IF THE DEVELOPER HAS COMMITTED TO ALL GAS HOMES. OTHERWISE, THE DEVELOPER MAY INSTALL CONDUIT, AT THE DEVELOPER'S EXPENSE, FOR FUTURE ROAD CROSSINGS IN ORDER TO ELIMINATE DISTURBING ASPHALT WHEN SERVICES ARE INSTALLED. THE DEVELOPER SHALL FURNISH AS-BUILT DRAWINGS OF THE CONDUIT PLACEMENT OR PERMANENTLY MARK CONDUIT LOCATIONS. CONDUIT WILL BE FURNISHED BY THE GAS UNIT.


GAS SERVICES WILL BE INSTALLED WHEN THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS ARE MET:


RELIEF VENTED TO THE ATMOSPHERE.

- . GRADE IS WITHIN 6 INCHES BETWEEN THE GAS MAIN AND THE METER LOCATION. 2. OUTSIDE OF BUILDING (SIDING, BRICK, VENEER, ETC.) IS TO BE FINISHED AROUND THE METER
- 3. STREET ADDRESS, TOTAL GAS CONNECTED LOAD, AND CLOSING DATE (IF APPLICABLE) IS REPORTED TO 4. A MINIMUM NOTICE OF ____ WEEKS AFTER FINAL GRADE IS ESTABLISHED.
- GAS METERS CANNOT BE INSTALLED WITHIN 3 FEET FROM FRESH AIR INTAKES, ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT (A/C COMPRESSORS), WINDOWS AND DOORS THE OPEN AND SOURCES OF IGNITION. DELIVERED GAS PRESSURE TO THE CUSTOMER WILL BE 7 INCHES OF WATER COLUMN. HIGHER definition of "building height" set forth within § 34-1200 of the Zoning Ordinance ("ZO") would DELIVERED PRESSURE (PSIG) IS RESTRICTED TO COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS AND MUST BE REQUESTED IN WRITING (WITH APPROPRIATE JUSTIFICATION) AND IS SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE ningsen Kestner Architects, dated January 12, 2017, titled "1011 E. Jefferson Street Building GAS ENGINEER OR DESIGNEE. LIMITATIONS TO PSIG SERVICE INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, EXTERNAL Height Study" ("Height Study") illustrating a sample approach to the measurement of height for


FUEL LINES (AS IN ROOFTOP UNITS) AND APPROPRIATE APPLIANCE REGULATORS WITH AN INTERNAL







RACKS, TYP., TOTALING 65 ONSITE. SEE PARKING NOTES #2 & 3.




LOWER GARAGE PARKING PLAN, SCALE 1"=40'

Jurgapry 51, 2017 Charlottandille, Virginia


L. ALL SIGNING & PAVEMENT MARKINGS SHALL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE MUTCD. .. THE 65 PROPOSED BICYCLE RACKS SHOWN SHALL BE LOCATED NEAR ENTRANCES AND WITHIN THE GARAGE


LEVELS, AS SHOWN 3. THE 'U' SHAPED BICYCLE RACKS SHALL BE POSITIONED WITH A MINIMUM SPACING OF 30", AS SHOWN

4. PER BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS, THE MINIMUM HEIGHT CLEARANCE AT PARKING GARAGE DOORS & HANDICAP PARKING SPACES IS 98". THE CONTRACTOR & ARCHITECT SHALL ENSURE FINAL DESIGN & ASBUILT CONSTRUCTION ADHERES TO THIS, AND ALL, BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS.



CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE





CITY MIX CLASS


AS 6"(15"-21"DEPTH) SO THAT THE BOTTOM OF THE CURB WILL COINCIDE WITH THE TOP OF A COURSE OF THE PAVEMENT SUBSTRUCTURE . OTHERWISE THE DEPTH SHALL BE 18" AS SHOWN.


CURBING HAVING A RADIUS OF 300' OR LESS (ALONG FACI


RULED JOINTS REQUIRED EVERY 10' ON CENTER, 1/2" PREMOLDED EXPANSION JOINT FILLER 30' MAX. ON CENTER.


STANDARD CURBING


CONCRETE TO BE CITY MIX CLASS A 3500.























-3/4" TYPE "K" SOFT COPPER

VD0T #57 CRUSHED STONE

SERVICE LATERAL









0


4


No. 35791

0 162125 SCALE N/A

0

2






Existing Impervious Areas, sf (WATER QUANTITY)

				Public Streets	
	Buildings	Walkways	Parking Lot	& Sidewalks	Total
DA A & B	10,675	4,650	28,175	4,800	48,300
DA C		225	425	12,700	13,350

Existing Impervious Areas, sf (WATER QUALITY)

				i ubiic streets		
	Buildings	Walkways	Parking Lot	& Sidewalks	Total	
Within Limits of Disturbance	10.675	4 925	28 675	22 500	66 775	



Proposed Impervious Areas, sf (WATER QUANTITY)

SWM PLAN & CALCULATIONS WILL BE UPDATED.

		Patios &		Public Streets	
	Buildings	Walkways	Drive Aisles	& Sidewalks	Total
DA A	36,325	4,925	3,000		44,250
DA B		700	275	4,600	5 <i>,</i> 575
DA C		1,250		12,200	13,450

Proposed Impervious Areas, sf (WATER QUALITY)

				Public Streets	
	Buildings	Walkways	Drive Aisles	& Sidewalks	Total
ithin Limits of Disturbance	36,325	6,920	3,275	21,550	68,070

Ø60" WEIR PLATE DETAIL SCALE:1"=5"

TOP OF PIPE's INNER DIAMETER, ELEV =496.50 OVERFLOW WEIR IS HYDRAULICALLY -EQUIVALENT TO AN ORIFICE WITH AN EMERGENCY OVERFLOW APPROX. DIAMETER OF ≈ Ø26.53" SPILLWAY/WEIR ELEV.=495.25' REINFORCED 12 GA. STEEL PLATE MANUFACTURE / INSTALL ONE (1)-ELEV.=491.50 Ø₇-½" LOW-FLOW ORIFICE IN THE - INSTALL TRASH STEEL PLATE AT THE LOW POINT RACK ON ORIFICE OF THE DETENTION SYSTEM (INVERT ELEVATION 491.50')

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT NARRATIVE:

THE PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN COMPLIES WITH PART IIB REQUIREMENTS. THE STORMWATER RUNOFF RATES, VOLUMES, AND VELOCITIES RESULTING FROM THIS DEVELOPMENT WILL BE IMPROVED PRIOR TO ENTERING THE CITY'S STORM SEWER SYSTEM. PLEASE SEE THE ATTACHED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS PACKET FOR EVIDENCE OF THIS. SUMMARIES OF THIS COMPLIANCE CAN BE VIEWED ON THIS SHEET AS WELL.

CURRENTLY ALL OF THE EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREAS ON THIS SITE FLOW TO THE CITY STORM SEWER SYSTEM UNTREATED. THE PROPOSED PLAN WILL CHANGE THIS AND WILL CAPTURE THE MAJORITY OF THE PARCEL. THE PROPOSED PLAN INCREASES THE IMPERVIOUS FOOTPRINT BY 1,295 sf. THIS INCREASE IN IMPERVIOUS AREA IS OFFSET BY THE PROPOSED UNDERGROUND DETENTION SYSTEM. THE DETENTION SYSTEM PROVIDES A STORAGE VOLUME THAT IS USED TO ATTENUATE THE INCREASES IN RUNOFF. FURTHERMORE, THE PROPOSED DETENTION SYSTEM RESTRICTS THE PEAK 1-YEAR SCS 24-HOUR TR-55 DESIGN FLOW TO A LEVEL LESS THAN THE MAXIMUM ALLOWED PER 9 VAC 25-870-66. CHANNEL AND FLOOD PROTECTION HAVE BEEN PROVIDED. PLEASE SEE THE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS PACKET FOR EVIDENCE OF THIS.

STORMWATER QUALITY COMPLIANCE IS MET FOR THIS DEVELOPMENT THROUGH THE PURCHASING OF

NUTRIENT CREDITS. A TOTAL REQUIRED PHOSPHOROUS REMOVAL RATE OF 0.76 lbs/yr IS REQUIRED FOR THIS DEVELOPMENT.

ABOVE AND BEYOND THE AFOREMENTIONED COMPLIANCE, LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT TECHNIQUES WILL BE IMPLEMENTED. BRICK PAVERS, LANDSCAPED/PERVIOUS COMMON AREAS INTENDED FOR CONGREGATION AND SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEMS TO OFFSET THE ELECTRICAL USAGE IN THE COMMON AREAS ARE PROPOSED FOR THE SITE.

WATERSHED SUMMARY


			Pre-Development			Post-Development Post-Development				
	CN	Area, ac.	1-year Flow, cfs	2-year Flow, cfs	10-year Flow, cfs	CN	Area, ac.	1-year Flow, cfs	2-year Flow, cfs	10-year Flow, cfs
DA 'A'	02.1	1 20	4.22	F 26	0.75	95.8	1.12	2.44	4.11	7.09
DA 'B'	93.1	1.39	4.22	5.36	8.75	86.8	0.24	0.56	0.74	1.29
DA 'C'	91.5	0.42	1.19	1.53	2.54	90.5	0.45	1.22	1.58	2.66
	Total=	1.81	5.42	6.89	11.29	Total=	1.81	4.22	6.43	11.04

General Construction notes for Stormwater Management Plans

1. All dams and constructed fill to be within 95% of maximum dry density and 2% of optimum moisture content. All fill material to be approved by a geotechnical engineer. A geotechnical engineer is to be present during construction of dams.

2. Pipe and riser joints are to be watertight within stormwater Management

3. For temporary sediment traps or basins which are to be converted to permanent stormwater management facilities; conversion is not to take place until the site is stabilized, and permission has been obtained from the erosion control inspector.



	T R.	CC 3579) LLI] 91	NSINIA NSINIA	
			ON PLAN		


APARTME MANAGEME

<u>Δ</u>

162125

0

AS SHOWN



RESOLUTION APPROVING A SPECIAL USE PERMIT TO AUTHORIZE A MULTIFAMILY DWELLING AT 1101 EAST JEFFERSON STREET CONTAINING UP TO 87 DWELLING UNITS PER ACRE

WHEREAS, Jefferson Medical Building Limited Partnership ("Applicant"), is the owner of certain property located at 1101 East Jefferson Street, identified on City Tax Map 54 as Parcel 127 (Tax Map Parcel Id. # 540127000) and containing approximately 1.46 acres ("Subject Property"), pursuant to City Code Sec. 34-480, has requested City Council to approve a special use permit to authorize the development of the Subject Property as a multifamily dwelling containing up to 87 dwelling units per acre (the proposed "Special Use"). The Subject Property is within the City's B-1 (Commercial) zoning district, with frontage on 10th Street, N.E., East Jefferson Street and 11th Street, N.E.; and

WHEREAS, the requested Special Use is generally described within the Applicant's application materials submitted in connection with SP16-00001, including: (i) the original application materials dated September 16 and 19, 2016; (ii) a supplemental narrative dated June 12, 2017, and (iii) a revised proposed site plan dated June 9, 2017, submitted to NDS on June 12, 2017 (collectively, the "Application Materials"); and

WHEREAS, the existing building at the Subject Property is proposed to be demolished and removed to allow for establishment of the Special Use and related buildings and improvements; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission reviewed the original application materials dated September 16 and 19, 2016, and the City's Staff Report pertaining thereto, and following a joint public hearing, duly advertised and conducted by the Planning Commission and City Council on October 11, 2016, the Commission voted to recommend that City Council should deny the requested Special Use; and

WHEREAS, upon consideration of: the comments received during the joint public hearing, the Planning Commission's recommendation, the Staff Report, updated through July 5, 2017, and supplemental materials provided by the Applicant (dated June 9 and 12, 2017) as well as the factors set forth within Sec. 34-157 of the City's Zoning Ordinance, this Council finds and determines that granting the requested special use permit subject to suitable conditions would serve the public necessity, convenience, general welfare or good zoning practice; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Charlottesville, Virginia that, pursuant to City Code Sec. 34-480, a special use permit is hereby approved and granted to authorize a multifamily dwelling containing not more than 87 dwelling units per acre (approximately 127.02 units, maximum), subject to the following conditions:

1. A maximum of 180 bedrooms shall be allowed on the subject property. No owner or

operator of the multifamily dwelling shall enter into lease agreements with tenants on a bedroom-by-bedroom basis. Up to 50% of the residential units may be two-bedroom units. All residential units will be either one or two-bedroom units.

- 2. The applicant has notified the City that it has elected to provide affordable housing units to satisfy the requirements of City Code Sec. 34-12. Each of the required affordable housing units shall be provided either on-site or off-site, on land within the adjacent Downtown or Downtown North Mixed Use Corridor zoning Districts.
- 3. No demolition of existing building(s) or improvements shall be commenced prior to the approval of a final site plan and approval of a permit authorizing land-disturbing activities pursuant to City Code Sec. 10-9. Land disturbance associated with demolition shall be planned and taken into account within the stormwater management plan for the development, as part of a common plan of development for the Subject Property.
- 4. The design, height, and other characteristics of the development shall remain, in all material aspects, as described within the Application Materials. Any change in use of the proposed building, and any substantial change of the proposed development, shall require a modification of this SUP—specifically including, but without limitation, any change to the following matters depicted and/or represented within the Application Materials, as supplemented through June 12, 2017:
 - a. The provision of two (2) open air courtyards in the front and rear of the building, with the front courtyard visible from E Jefferson Street;
 - b. The provision of three (3) plazas: one along the entire 10th Street NE frontage; one, at the corner of 10th Street NE and E Jefferson Streets; and one, at the corner of 11th Street NE and East Jefferson Streets;
 - c. The provision of direct pedestrian access from East Jefferson Street to the on-site means of access to the building;
 - d. The entire eastern half of the building, as measured along the E Jefferson Street frontage, shall be a maximum of three (3) stories in height;
 - e. A building setback of at least 30 feet, along no less than 30% of the building's 10th Street NE and 11th Street NE frontages.
 - f. A building setback at least 30 feet along no less than 25% of the site's E Jefferson Street frontage, and a setback of at least 20 feet along the building's remaining frontage along E Jefferson Street.

g. Stepbacks:

(i) A stepback at least 10 feet from the required minimum 20 foot setback above the second (2nd) story of the building, along 100% of the building's 11th Street N.E. frontage, and

- (ii) A stepback of at least 25 feet from the required minimum five (5) foot setback above the second story of the building, along 100% of the eastern half of the building's E Jefferson Street frontage.
- h. No more than 15,000 square feet of commercial space shall be allowed on the Subject Property.
- 5. All street trees shall be a minimum of three (3) inch caliper at planting. Regardless of canopy size, street trees shall be spaced no more than 25 feet apart on the 10th Street NE and 11th Street NE frontages, and no more than 35 feet apart on the E Jefferson Street frontage.
- 6. The landowner shall provide the following pedestrian facilities, along with a dedication of land or suitable permanent easements:
 - a. Construction of sidewalk on 10th Street NE along the entire frontage of the Subject Property, minimum seven (7) feet in width. If the sidewalk cannot be constructed within existing public right-of-way, then a reduction of two (2) feet shall be applied to the building setbacks and stepbacks required for 10th Street NE by Z.O. Sec. 34-457 and condition (4), above.
 - b. Construction of curb extensions into (i) the intersection of 10th Street NE and E Jefferson Street adjacent to the Subject Property on both sides of the staggered intersection, and (ii) the intersection of 11th Street NE and E Jefferson Streets adjacent to the Subject Property, all as shown in the site plan dated June 9, 2017. Curb extensions shall include ADA-compliant perpendicular curb ramps aligned with each pedestrian crosswalk. A receiving ADA-compliant curb ramp shall be installed as necessary on the opposite end of each pedestrian crosswalk.
 - c. Install high visibility crosswalks at all pedestrian crossings at both the 10th Street NE and E Jefferson Street and 11th Street NE and E Jefferson Street intersections, as shown in the provided site plan dated June 9, 2017.
 - d. Extend concrete sidewalk across all driveway/alley entrances in full width and at a maximum two (2) percent cross slope, as shown in the site plan dated June 9, 2017.
 - e. If such is approved by the City, relocation of the existing two way stop located at the intersection of 11th Street NE and Little High Street, in order to stop traffic traveling on Little High Street, to an alternate location designated by the City Traffic Engineer.
 - f. Construction of curb extensions and high visibility crosswalks at the intersection of 11th Street NE and Little High Street. Curb extensions shall include ADA-compliant perpendicular curb ramps aligned with each pedestrian crosswalk. An ADA-compliant receiving curb ramp shall be installed as necessary on the opposite end of each pedestrian crosswalk.

- g. All of the items referenced in (a)-(f) above shall be shown on the final site plan for the development, and any dedications of land or conveyances of public easements shall be provided prior to final site plan approval. The Traffic Engineer is authorized to modify the dimensions of the facilities referenced in (a) through (f), above, as necessary to leave adequate right-of-way available for future construction of bicycle lanes on 10th Street NE. Any such modification shall be shown within the final site plan for the development. Final construction plans for the public facilities referenced in (a)-(f), above will be submitted to the City's Traffic Engineer for approval, prior to commencement of construction.
- 7. All outdoor lighting and light fixtures shall be full cut-off luminaires. Spillover light from luminaires onto public roads and onto property adjacent property shall not exceed one-half (½) foot candle. A spillover shall be measured horizontally and vertically at the property line or edge of right-of-way or easement, whichever is closer to the light source.
- 8. There shall be no vehicular access to the Subject Property from the existing alley connecting the rear of the Subject Property to Little High Street. No more than one (1) vehicular access point ("curb cut") shall be allowed on 11th Street NE, unless additional any access point(s) on 11th Street NE are determined by the City Traffic Engineer to be necessary for the public safety.
- 9. Bicycle storage will be provided on-site, to the standards set forth within City Code Sec. 34-881(2) of the Charlottesville City Code (*Bicycle Storage Facilities*), or the most current Bicycle Storage Facilities code applicable to this multifamily dwelling at time of development.
- 10. Low impact development techniques such as rain gardens and permeable pavers shall be constructed/ installed as part of the development, and the nature, location and specifications for all such LID techniques shall be shown on the final site plan.
- 11. The redevelopment of the subject property shall include the installation of solar energy systems sufficient, at a minimum, to offset the electrical usage in the common areas of the development.
- 12. For every 1,500 square feet of commercial space, there shall be a reduction of one (1) dwelling unit from the maximum number of dwelling units (127) allowed under this special use permit.

Approved by Council

July 5, 2017

Clerk of Council



RAMEY KEMP & ASSOCIATES, INC.

4343 Cox Road Glen Allen, VA 23060

Phone: 804-217-8560 Fax: 804-217-8563

www.rameykemp.com

May 22, 2017

Mr. Brennen Duncan, P.E. City of Charlottesville 610 East Market Street Charlottesville, Virginia 22902 Phone: (434) 970-3182

Reference: East Jefferson Street Apartments – Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA)

Charlottesville, Virginia

Dear Mr. Duncan.

Ramey Kemp & Associates, Inc. (RKA) has performed a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to support the proposed redevelopment of the property on the north side of East Jefferson Street between 10th Street NE and 11th Street NE. The property currently has a 20,300 square foot (s.f.) medical office building, with two full-movement driveways on East Jefferson Street, and one full-movement driveway on 10th Street NE.

The proposed redevelopment includes replacing the medical office building with 126 apartment units, up to 8,000 s.f. of specialty retail space, and a 2,000 s.f. coffee / donut shop without a drive-through window. The proposed access plan includes removing both driveways on East Jefferson Street, and adding one new full-movement driveway on 11th Street NE. The plan includes constructing a two-level below-grade parking deck with 246 spaces. If approved, the redevelopment is expected to be complete in 2019. Figure 1 shows the site location and study intersections.

The purpose of this letter report is to provide the following:

- Trip generation calculations
- Trip generation study at City Walk Apartments
- Trip generation study at two local coffee shops
- Capacity analysis of study intersections
- Multi-way stop analysis for the intersection of Little High Street at 11th Street

Existing Roadway Conditions

10th Street NE is a two-lane local collector with an average daily traffic (ADT) volume of approximately 4,000 vehicles per day, and a posted speed limit of 25 mph across the property frontage.

East Jefferson Street is a two-lane local collector with an ADT volume of approximately 1,700 vehicles per day, and a posted speed limit of 25 mph across the property frontage.

Mr. Brennen Duncan, P.E. Page **2** of **12**

11th Street NE is a two-lane local collector with an ADT volume of approximately 1,500 vehicles per day, and a posted speed limit of 25 mph across the property frontage.

Existing Traffic Volumes

The existing 2016 AM peak hour (7:00 to 9:00 AM) and PM peak hour (4:00 to 6:00 PM) turning movement counts were conducted by RKA and Burns Service, Inc. at the following intersections during the week of September 12, 2016:

- 10th Street NE at East Jefferson Street
- 11th Street NE at East Jefferson Street
- East Jefferson Street at three existing medical office driveways

Burns Service, Inc. also performed a 14-hour (6:00 AM to 8:00 PM) turning movement count at the following intersection during the week of May 8, 2017:

■ Little High Street at 11th Street NE

The existing peak hour volumes were increased and balanced between the study intersections, and are shown in Figure 2. All of the traffic count data is enclosed for reference.

Background Traffic Growth

The existing medical office trips were removed from the existing driveways, but those trips were not subtracted from the main intersections. Additionally, based on a review of the 2012 and 2015 ADT's, the existing 2016 peak hour traffic volumes were grown by an annual rate of 3.0% for three years to estimate the 2019 no-build traffic volumes, which are shown in Figure 3.

Based on discussion with the City, we understand there are no approved developments near this site.



Trip Generation

The trip generation potential of the proposed redevelopment during a typical weekday, AM peak hour and PM peak hour was estimated using the methodologies published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) $Trip\ Generation\ Manual\ -\ 9^{th}\ Edition$. Table 1 shows the trip generation potential of the proposed redevelopment.

Table 1
ITE Trip Generation – 9th Edition – Weekday

Land Use (ITE Land Use Code)	Size	Averag Tra (vp	ffic	AM Peak Hour (vph)		PM Peak Hour (vph)	
		Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
	Propo	osed Uses					
Apartments (220)	126 units	419	419	13	51	51	28
Specialty Retail Center (826)	8,000 s.f.	190	190	4	2	18	23
Coffee / Donut Shop without Drive–Through Window (936)	2,000 s.f.	748	748	111	106	41	41
Subtotal		1,357	1,357	128	159	110	92
ITE Internal Capture – 8% A	M / 37% PM	-305	-305	-11	-11	-37	-37
Driveway Volume	es .	1,052	1,052	117	148	73	55
ITE Pass-By Trips Specialty Retail – 3- Coffee / Donut Shop – 49% A	4%	-50 -287	-50 -287	-0 -48	-0 -48	-4 -12	-4 -12
33% Adjustment for Pedestrian, Bicycle, and Tr		-347	-347	-38	-48	-24	-18
Net New External T	Net New External Trips		368	31	52	33	21
	ting Use						
Medical Office (720)	20,300 s.f.	366	366	39	10	20	52
Net Change in Externa	l Trips	+2	+2	-8	+42	+13	-31

^{*} ITE does not publish pass-by rates for coffee / donut shops. In this case, the pass-by rates for a fast-food restaurant were applied. It is reasonable to assume that the actual pass-by rates for coffee / donut shops are significantly higher, which would result in fewer new trips.



Note that the existing medical office trips were not subtracted out of the background traffic volumes at the study intersections.

Specialty retail space and coffee / donut shops attract pass-by trips, which are made by drivers who are already driving by the site today, and will visit these uses in the future because they are convenient. Table 1 shows the ITE pass-by trip adjustments that could be applied. In this case, the pass-by adjustments were not applied, which results in more new trips in the traffic projections.

Note that the trip generation of the coffee / donut shop is based on the ITE trip rates, which are significantly higher than expected with the proposed coffee shop because most of the shops surveyed by ITE are part of large chains, and located on major thoroughfares. The proposed shop will likely be locally-owned and focused on serving the neighborhood. To confirm, RKA counted two local coffee shops, and those results are presented later in this report.

Trip Generation Study at City Walk Apartments

A traffic count was conducted by Burns Service, Inc. at the intersection of Water Street at City Walk Way during the week of September 12, 2016. The purpose of the count was to determine an appropriate pedestrian reduction by comparing similar apartments in Charlottesville. Table 2 shows a comparison of the trip generation potential of City Walk Apartments based on the ITE trip rates, and the actual traffic counts.

Table 2
City Walk Apartments
Trip Generation Comparison – 9th Edition – Weekday

Land Use (ITE Land Use Code)	Size	Average Daily Traffic (vpd)		AM Pea (vp		PM Peak Hour (vph)	
, ,		Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
Apartments (220)	301 units	974	974	30	121	119	64
Actual Counts	301 units	-	ı	10	88	69	30
Commond to ITE		-	1	-67%	-27%	-42%	-53%
Compared to ITE	•	-	-	-35	1% ₀	-46	5%

The number of vehicle trips entering and exiting City Walk Apartments is approximately 35% lower than what ITE predicts during the AM peak hour, and approximately 46% lower during the PM peak hour. Therefore, the 33% adjustment shown in Table 1 for the proposed East Jefferson Street apartments is reasonable. However, in this case, the reduction was not applied, which results in more new trips in the traffic projections.



Trip Generation Study at Local Coffee Shops

An AM peak hour (7:00 to 9:00 AM) pedestrian count was conducted by Burns Service, Inc. at two local coffee shops during the week of April 24 to determine an appropriate trip generation rate for the proposed coffee shop. Shenandoah Joe's is a 3,200 s.f. coffee shop on Preston Avenue at 10th Street NW, and Milli Coffee Roasters is a 1,800 s.f. coffee shop located on Preston Avenue at McIntire Road. Table 3 shows a comparison of the trip generation potential of the local coffee / donut shops based on the ITE trip rates, and the actual traffic counts.

Table 3
Local Coffee Shops
Trip Generation Comparison – 9th Edition – Weekday

Location	Size	AM Peak Hour (vph)		
		Enter	Exit	
ITE Trip Generation for Coffee / Donut Shop without Drive-Through Window (936)	3,200 s.f.	177	170	
Shenandoah Joe's – Preston Avenue	3,200 s.f.	76	70	
ITE Trip Generation for High-Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant (932)	3,200 s.f.	19	16	
ITE Trip Generation for Coffee / Donut Shop without Drive-Through Window (936)	2,000 s.f.	111	106	
Proposed East Jefferson Coffee Shop	2,000 s.f.	41	39	
ITE Trip Generation for High-Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant (932)	2,000 s.f.	12	10	
ITE Trip Generation for Coffee / Donut Shop without Drive-Through Window (936)	1,800 s.f.	100	96	
Milli Coffee Roasters – Preston Avenue	1,800 s.f.	31	22	
ITE Trip Generation for High-Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant (932)	1,800 s.f.	11	9	

Based on the Shenandoah Joe and Milli Coffee Roasters data, the proposed coffee shop is expected to generate only 80 trips during the AM peak hour, which is approximately 63% lower than the 217 AM peak hour trips predicted by ITE. This analysis is based on the ITE trip rates, which result in significantly more trips than other local coffee shops.



Site Traffic Distribution

The following site traffic distribution was assumed for vehicle trips based on a review of the existing traffic volumes, the adjacent roadway network, and engineering judgement:

- 30% to / from the north on 10th Street
- 30% to / from the south on 10th Street
- 15% to / from the west on East Jefferson Street
- 15% to / from the north on 11th Street
- 5% to / from the south on 11th Street
- 5% to / from the east on East Jefferson Street

The following site traffic distribution was assumed for the pedestrian and bicycle trips:

- 55% to / from the west on East Jefferson Street
- 20% to / from the south on 10th Street
- 10% to / from the north on 10th Street
- 10% to / from the north on 11th Street
- 5% to / from the south on 11th Street

The vehicle trips are assumed to be medium and long-range trips, so a significant percentage of those trips are assigned to / from the US 250 Bypass. The pedestrian and bicycle trips are assumed to be short-range trips, which will be oriented toward the downtown area.

Figures 4 and 5 show the site trip distribution for vehicles and pedestrian / bicycles. Figure 6 shows the vehicle site trip assignment, and the build 2019 traffic volumes are shown in Figure 6.



Traffic Capacity Analysis

Traffic capacity analysis for the study intersections was performed using Synchro 9.1, which is a comprehensive software package that allows the user to model signalized and unsignalized intersections to determine levels-of-service based on the thresholds specified in the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM).

Table 4 summarizes the capacity analysis results for the unsignalized intersection of 10th Street NE at East Jefferson Street, and all of the Synchro output is enclosed for reference.

Table 4
Level-of-Service Summary for 10th Street NE at East Jefferson Street

	LANE	AM PEAK HOUR			PM PEAK HOUR			
CONDITION	GROUP	Lane LOS	Queue (ft)	Overall LOS (Delay)	Lane LOS	Queue (ft)	Overall LOS (Delay)	
Existing 2016 Traffic Conditions	EBL/T/R ¹ WBL/T/R ¹ NBL/T/R ² SBL/T/R ²	B B A A	10 13 0 3	N/A ³	C B A A	35 8 0 3	N/A ³	
No-Build 2019 Traffic Conditions	EBL/T/R ¹ WBL/T/R ¹ NBL/T/R ² SBL/T/R ²	B B A A	10 15 0 3	N/A ³	C B A A	48 10 0 3	N/A ³	
Build 2019 Traffic Conditions	EBL/T/R ¹ WBL/T/R ¹ NBL/T/R ² SBL/T/R ²	C B A A	20 13 0 3	N/A ³	C B A A	60 10 0 3	N/A ³	

- 1. Level of service for minor approach
- 2. Level of service for major street left-turn movement
- HCM methodology does not provide lane group or overall LOS, delay, and queue lengths for major street through
 movements or right turns at unsignalized intersections.

Capacity analysis indicates that all movements at this intersection are projected to operate with short delays (less than 25 seconds) during the AM and PM peak hours under all scenarios, with a queue length of three vehicles or less.

Note that the eastbound and westbound approaches are offset by 90 feet, and function as two three-leg intersections. Note that this intersection was modeled as one four-leg intersection, which results in longer delays and queues because a four-leg intersection has 32 traffic conflict points, but a three-leg intersection has only 9 traffic conflict points.

No improvements are warranted or recommended at this intersection.



Table 5 summarizes the capacity analysis results for the unsignalized intersection of 11th Street NE at East Jefferson Street, and all of the Synchro output is enclosed for reference.

Table 5
Level-of-Service Summary for 11th Street NE at East Jefferson Street

CONDITION	T A SITE	Al	M PEAK H	PN	M PEAK HOUR		
	LANE GROUP	Lane LOS	Queue (ft)	Overall LOS (Delay)	Lane LOS	Queue (ft)	Overall LOS (Delay)
Existing 2016 Traffic Conditions	EBL/T/R ¹ WBL/T/R ¹ NBL/T/R ² SBL/T/R ²	A B A A	5 5 3 0	N/A ³	B B A A	10 5 0	N/A ³
No-Build 2019 Traffic Conditions	EBL/T/R ¹ WBL/T/R ¹ NBL/T/R ² SBL/T/R ²	A B A A	8 5 3 0	N/A ³	B B A A	13 8 0 0	N/A ³
Build 2019 Traffic Conditions	EBL/T/R ¹ WBL/T/R ¹ NBL/T/R ² SBL/T/R ²	B B A A	8 8 3 3	N/A ³	B B A A	13 8 0 0	N/A ³

- 1. Level of service for minor approach
- 2. Level of service for major street left-turn movement
- HCM methodology does not provide lane group or overall LOS, delay, and queue lengths for major street through
 movements or right turns at unsignalized intersections.

Capacity analysis indicates that all movements at this intersection are projected to operate with short delays (less than 25 seconds) during the AM and PM peak hours under all scenarios, with a queue length of one vehicle or less.

No improvements are warranted or recommended at this intersection.



Table 6 summarizes the capacity analysis results for the unsignalized intersection of Little High Street at 11th Street NE, and all of the Synchro output is enclosed for reference.

Table 6
Level-of-Service Summary for Little High Street at 11th Street NE

CONDITION	LANIE	AM PEAK HOUR			PM PEAK HOUR		
	LANE GROUP	Lane LOS	Queue (ft)	Overall LOS (Delay)	Lane LOS	Queue (ft)	Overall LOS (Delay)
Existing 2016 Traffic Conditions	EBL/T/R ² WBL/T/R ² NBL/T/R ¹ SBL/T/R ¹	A A B B	0 0 5 15	N/A ³	A A B B	0 0 10 8	N/A ³
No-Build 2019 Traffic Conditions	EBL/T/R ² WBL/T/R ² NBL/T/R ¹ SBL/T/R ¹	A A B B	0 0 5 18	N/A ³	A A B B	0 0 10 10	N/A ³
Build 2019 Traffic Conditions with Stop control on Little High Street	EBL/T/R ¹ WBL/T/R ¹ NBL/T/R ² SBL/T/R ²	B B A A	15 13 0 0	N/A ³	B B A A	10 8 0 0	N/A ³

- 1. Level of service for minor approach
- 2. Level of service for major street left-turn movement
- 3. HCM methodology does not provide lane group or overall LOS, delay, and queue lengths for major street through movements or right turns at unsignalized intersections.

Capacity analysis indicates that all movements at this intersection are projected to operate with short delays (less than 25 seconds) during the AM and PM peak hours under all scenarios, with a queue length of one vehicle or less.

As described later in this report, we recommend switching the Stop control at this intersection to designate 11th Street as the major street, and Little High Street as the minor street. We also recommend installing bulbouts on the west side of the intersection to aid in traffic calming, and the shorten the crossing distance for pedestrians.



Table 7 summarizes the capacity analysis results for the unsignalized intersection of 10th Street NE at Site Driveway 1, and all of the Synchro output is enclosed for reference.

Table 7 Level-of-Service Summary for 10th Street NE at Site Driveway 1

CONDITION	LANIE	AM PEAK HOUR			PM PEAK HOUR		
	LANE GROUP	Lane LOS	Queue (ft)	Overall LOS (Delay)	Lane LOS	Queue (ft)	Overall LOS (Delay)
Build 2019 Traffic Conditions	WBL/R ¹ NBT/R SBL/T ²	B - A	25 - 3	N/A ³	B - A	8 - 3	N/A ³

- Level of service for minor approach
- Level of service for major street left-turn movement
- HCM methodology does not provide lane group or overall LOS, delay, and queue lengths for major street through movements or right turns at unsignalized intersections.

Capacity analysis indicates that all movements at this intersection are projected to operate with short delays (less than 25 seconds) during the AM and PM peak hours at build-out of the site, with a queue length of one vehicle or less.

No improvements are warranted or recommended at this intersection.

Table 8 summarizes the capacity analysis results for the unsignalized intersection of 11th Street NE at Site Driveway 2, and all of the Synchro output is enclosed for reference.

Table 8 Level-of-Service Summary for 11th Street NE at Site Driveway 2

CONDITION	LANE	AM PEAK HOUR			PM PEAK HOUR		
	GROUP	Lane LOS	Queue (ft)	Overall LOS (Delay)	Lane LOS	Queue (ft)	Overall LOS (Delay)
Build 2019 Traffic Conditions	EBL/R ¹ NBL/T ² SBT/R	A A -	3 0 -	N/A ³	A A -	3 0 -	N/A ³

- 1. Level of service for minor approach
- Level of service for major street left-turn movement
 HCM methodology does not provide lane group or overall LOS, delay, and queue lengths for major street through movements or right turns at unsignalized intersections.

Capacity analysis indicates that all movements at this intersection are projected to operate with short delays (less than 25 seconds) during the AM and PM peak hours at build-out of the site, with a queue length of one vehicle or less.

No improvements are warranted or recommended at this intersection.



Multi-Way Stop Warrant Analysis

A multi-way stop warrant analysis was performed for the intersection of Little High Street at 11th Street NE. Multi-way stop warrants are evaluated using the thresholds for intersection volume and collision history as outlined in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD). The following traffic volume thresholds must be met for at least 8 hours to warrant multi-way stop control:

- The approach volumes on the major street approaches must exceed 300 vehicles per hour, and
- The approach volumes on the minor street approaches must exceed 200 vehicles per hour

During the traffic count, the 8:00 to 9:00 AM hour was the busiest, and the total approach volume at the intersection was only 254 vehicles. This is just over half the threshold needed to meet one hour of the warrant, so the traffic volumes are well below the thresholds for multi-way stop control.

In order to meet the collision warrant for a multi-way stop, there must be five or more correctable collisions in a 12 month period at the intersection. Based on the data provided by the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV), there were no reported collisions at the intersection between January 2013 and December 2015, so that warrant is not met either.

We understand that there is concern about the speed of traffic on eastbound Little High Street. Based on the 14 hour volume data, 11th Street had a total approach volume of 966 vehicles, and Little High Street had a total approach volume of 882 vehicles. The proposed redevelopment is projected to add approximately 315 vehicles per day to this segment of 11th Street. Therefore, we recommend switching the Stop control at this intersection to designate 11th Street as the major street, and Little High Street as the minor street.

We also recommend installing bulbouts on the west side of the intersection to aid in traffic calming, and the shorten the crossing distance for pedestrians.

Note that this analysis includes several assumptions that overestimate the impact of the proposed redevelopment:

- The capacity analysis in this TIA assumes no reduction for the pedestrian, bicycle, and transit trips, even though a comparison of City Walk Apartments shows a 33% adjustment would be appropriate
- The existing medical office trips were not subtracted from the study intersections
- The trip generation of the coffee / donut shop results in a significantly higher number of trips because most of the shops surveyed by ITE are part of large chains, and located on major thoroughfares. The proposed shop will likely be locally-owned and focused on serving the neighborhood.
- The proposed specialty retail space and coffee / donut shop will attract pass-by trips, but no adjustment for pass-by trips was made in this analysis
- The intersection of 10th Street NE at East Jefferson Street was modeled as four-leg intersection instead of two three-leg intersections



Mr. Brennen Duncan, P.E. Page 12 of 12

Figure 8 shows the recommended lane configuration.

We appreciate your attention to this matter. Please contact me at (804) 217-8560 if you have any questions

about this report.

Sincerely yours,

Ramey Kemp & Associates, Inc.

CARL A. HULTGREN Lic. No. 049624


Carl Hultgren, P.E., PTOE Regional Manager

Enclosures: Figures, Synchro output, Traffic count data, Multi-Way Stop warrant

Copy to: Mr. David Mitchell, Southern Classic, Inc.

Ms. Valerie Long, Williams Mullen Ms. Ashley Davies, Williams Mullen

Mr. Scott Collins, P.E., Collins Engineering






East Jefferson Street
Apartments
Charlottesville, Virginia

Site Location and Study Intersections

Scale: Not to Scale

Figure 1



LEGEND

X (Y) AM (PM) Peak Hour




East Jefferson Street
Apartments
Charlottesville, Virginia

Existing (2016)
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Scale: Not to Scale

Figure 2



LEGEND

X (Y) AM (PM) Peak Hour





East Jefferson Street
Apartments
Charlottesville, Virginia


No Build (2019) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Scale: Not to Scale

Figure 3







LEGEND

X (Y) AM (PM) Peak Hour




East Jefferson Street
Apartments
Charlottesville, Virginia

Site Trip Assignment for Vehicles

Scale: Not to Scale

Figure 6



LEGEND

X (Y) AM (PM) Peak Hour




East Jefferson Street
Apartments
Charlottesville, Virginia

Build (2019) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Scale: Not to Scale

Figure 7



Intersection	2.2											
Int Delay, s/veh	3.2											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	19	12	13	10	19	47	11	133	8	32	236	25
Future Vol, veh/h	19	12	13	10	19	47	11	133	8	32	236	25
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	21	13	15	11	21	53	12	149	9	36	265	28
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	567	534	279	544	544	154	293	0	0	158	0	0
Stage 1	351	351	_,,	179	179	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	216	183	_	365	365	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	_	_	4.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518		3.318	2.218	_	_	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	434	452	760	450	446	892	1269	_	_	1422	_	_
Stage 1	666	632	-	823	751	-	-	_	_		_	_
Stage 2	786	748	_	654	623	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	700	7 10		00 1	020			_	_		_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	381	434	760	418	428	892	1269	_	_	1422	_	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	381	434	-	418	428	-	-	_	_		_	_
Stage 1	659	613	_	815	743	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Stage 2	711	741	-	609	604	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.7			11.6			0.6			0.8		
HCM LOS	В			В			0.0			0.0		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NRR	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1269	INDI	NDIX	465 628	1422	JUI	JUIN					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.01	-		0.106 0.136		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.9	0	-	13.7 11.6	7.6	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS		0	-	13.7 11.0 B B	7.6 A	0 A	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	A	Α	-	0.4 0.5	0.1	А	-					
HOW YOU WILLE (J(VEN)	0	-	-	0.4 0.5	U. I	-	-					

Intersection	4.7											
Int Delay, s/veh	4.7											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	5	11	26	8	21	3	25	24	1	5	45	30
Future Vol, veh/h	5	11	26	8	21	3	25	24	1	5	45	30
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	7	15	36	11	29	4	34	33	1	7	62	41
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	214	199	82	223	218	34	103	0	0	34	0	0
Stage 1	96	96	-	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	118	103	_	121	116	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	_	_	4.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	_	_	2	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518		3.318	2.218	_	_	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	743	697	978	733	680	1039	1489	_	_	1578	_	_
Stage 1	911	815	-	904	811	1007	-	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 2	887	810	_	883	800	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	007	010		003	000			_	_			_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	700	678	978	680	661	1039	1489	_	_	1578		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	700	678	-	680	661	1037	1407		_	1370		
Stage 1	890	811	_	883	792							
Stage 2	832	791	-	831	796	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.6			10.6			3.7			0.5		
HCM LOS	9.0 A			В			3.1			0.5		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1489	-	-	841 689	1578	-	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.023	-	-	0.068 0.064		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.5	0	-	9.6 10.6	7.3	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	Α	Α	-	A B	Α	Α	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.2 0.2	0	-	-					

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	5.7												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NE	31	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4				4	.,,,,,		4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	15	15	58	11	36	11		3	26	3	6	70	3
Future Vol, veh/h	15	15	58	11	36	11		3	26	3	6	70	3
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Sto		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None		-		None	-	-	None
Storage Length	_	_	-	-	_	_		_	_	_	-	-	_
Veh in Median Storage, #	_	0	-	-	0	_		_	0	_	-	0	_
Grade, %	_	0	-	-	0	_		_	0	_	-	0	_
Peak Hour Factor	69	69	69	69	69	69		59	69	69	69	69	69
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	22	22	84	16	52	16		4	38	4	9	101	4
Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Mino	r1			Minor2		
Major/Minor	Major1		0						207	/ /		241	
Conflicting Flow All	68	0	0	106	0	0		52	207	64	220	241	60
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-)7	107	-	92	92	-
Stage 2	4 1 2	-	-	4.12	-	-		45 12	100	- / 22	128	149	- / 22
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.		6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.		5.52	-	6.12	5.52 5.52	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	2 210	-	-	- 2.210	-	-	6.7		5.52	2 210	6.12		2 210
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.5		.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1533	-	-	1485	-	-)1	690	1000	736	660	1005
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-		98	807	-	915	819	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	58	812	-	876	774	-
Platoon blocked, %	1500	-	-	1405	-	-		22	(70	1000	/00	/ 10	1005
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1533	-	-	1485	-	-)2	672	1000	688	643	1005
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-)2	672	-	688	643	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-		35	795	-	901	810	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.	39	803	-	818	762	-
Approach	EB			WB			N	ΙB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	1.3			1.4			10	.6			11.7		
HCM LOS								В			В		
Minor Lang/Major Muset	MDI n1	EDI	EDT	EDD WDI	\\\DT	WDD	CDI n1						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR WBL	WBT	WBR S							
Capacity (veh/h)	686	1533	-	- 1485	-	-	655						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.068		-	- 0.011	-	-	0.175						
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.6	7.4	0	- 7.5	0	-	11.7						
HCM Lane LOS	В	Α	Α	- A	Α	-	В						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0	-	- 0	-	-	0.6						

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	4.6											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	49	35	60	8	7	37	10	233	8	30	208	11
Future Vol, veh/h	49	35	60	8	7	37	10	233	8	30	208	11
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	54	38	66	9	8	41	11	256	9	33	229	12
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	608	588	235	635	589	260	241	0	0	265	0	0
Stage 1	301	301	-	282	282	-		-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	307	287	_	353	307	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	_	_	4.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518		3.318	2.218	_	_	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	408	421	804	391	421	779	1326	_	_	1299	_	_
Stage 1	708	665	-	725	678	_	-	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 2	703	674	_	664	661	_	-	_	_	-	_	_
Platoon blocked, %								_	-		_	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	370	405	804	323	405	779	1326	_	-	1299	_	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	370	405	-	323	405	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	701	646	-	718	671	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	652	667	-	557	642	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	15.8			11.9			0.3			0.9		
HCM LOS	C			В			0.0			0.7		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NRD	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1326	INDI	NUN	491 581	1299	JUI	אטוע					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.008	-	-	0.322 0.098		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	0	-	15.8 11.9	7.8	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	7.7 A	A	-	C B	7.0 A	A	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	1.4 0.3	0.1	Α.	-					
1101VI 73111 701116 Q(VCII)	U	-	-	1.4 0.3	0.1	-	-					

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	6											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	13	33	32	5	27	8	15	45	4	9	32	5
Future Vol., veh/h	13	33	32	5	27	8	15	45	4	9	32	5
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized			None .		-	None .	_	-	None	_	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	16	41	40	6	34	10	19	56	5	11	40	6
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	184	165	43	202	165	59	46	0	0	61	0	0
Stage 1	66	66	-	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	118	99	_	106	69	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	_	_	4.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	_	_		_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518		3.318	2.218	_	-	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	777	728	1027	756	728	1007	1562	_	-	1542	_	_
Stage 1	945	840	_	911	815	_	-	_	-	-	_	-
Stage 2	887	813	-	900	837	_	-	_	-	-	_	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		_	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	730	714	1027	684	714	1007	1562	-	-	1542	_	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	730	714	-	684	714	_	_	-	-	_	-	-
Stage 1	933	834	-	899	804	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	830	802	-	816	831	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10			10.1			1.7			1.4		
HCM LOS	В			В								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NRR I	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1562	- 1101	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	819 754	1542		-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.012	_	_	0.119 0.066		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	_	10 10.1	7.4	0	_					
HCM Lane LOS	7.5 A	A	_	B B	7. 4 A	A	_					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	_	0.4 0.2	0	-	_					
110.W 7001 70010 Q(VOII)	U			0.1 0.2	U							

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	6												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR		NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4				4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	10	42	3	3	39	11		9	54	3	9	40	13
Future Vol, veh/h	10	42	3	3	39	11		9	54	3	9	40	13
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80		80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	13	53	4	4	49	14		11	68	4	11	50	16
Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			M	linor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	63	0	0	56	0	0		175	149	54	178	144	56
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-		79	79	-	63	63	-
Stage 2	_	_	_	_	_	_		96	70	_	115	81	_
Critical Hdwy	4.12	_	_	4.12	_	_		7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	_	_	-	_	_		6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	_	_	_	_	_	_		6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	_	_	2.218	_	_		3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1540	_	_	1549	_	_	·	788	743	1013	784	747	1011
Stage 1	-	_	_	-	_	_		930	829	-	948	842	-
Stage 2	_	_	_	_	_	_		911	837	_	890	828	_
Platoon blocked, %		_	_		_	_			00.		0.0	020	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1540	_	_	1549	_	_		729	734	1013	720	738	1011
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	_	_	-	_	_		729	734	-	720	738	-
Stage 1	_	_	_	_	_	_		922	822	_	939	839	_
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-		840	834	-	807	821	-
Approach	EB			WB				NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	1.3			0.4				10.5			10.1		
HCM LOS	1.5			0.4				В			В		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR WBL	WBT	WRR	SBLn1						
Capacity (veh/h)	743	1540	-	- 1549	VVDI	VVDIC	779						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.111	0.008	-	- 0.002	-	-	0.099						
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.5	7.4	0	- 7.3	0	-	10.1						
HCM Lane LOS	10.5 B	7.4 A	A	- 7.3 - A	A	-	10.1 B						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.4	0	А	- A	A -	-	0.3						
HOW FOUT WITHE (VEH)	0.4	U	-	- 0	-	-	0.3						

Intersection	0.4											
Int Delay, s/veh	3.4											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	21	13	14	11	21	51	12	145	9	35	258	27
Future Vol, veh/h	21	13	14	11	21	51	12	145	9	35	258	27
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	24	15	16	12	24	57	13	163	10	39	290	30
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	619	584	305	594	594	168	320	0	0	173	0	0
Stage 1	384	384	-	195	195	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	235	200	_	399	399	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	_	_	4.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518		3.318	2.218	_	_	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	401	423	735	417	418	876	1240	_	_	1404	_	_
Stage 1	639	611	-	807	739	-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 2	768	736	_	627	602	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	700	700		027	002			_	_		_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	345	404	735	383	399	876	1240	_	_	1404	_	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	345	404	-	383	399	-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 1	631	590	_	797	730	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Stage 2	686	727	-	578	582	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	14.6			12.2			0.6			0.8		
HCM LOS	В			В			0.0			0.0		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NIRD	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
	1240	INDI	NDK	428 595	1404	וטנ	אועכ					
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	428 595 0.126 0.157		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	0.011 7.9	-	-	14.6 12.2	7.6	-	-					
		0	-			0	-					
HCM 05th %tile O(vob)	A	Α	-		A	А	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.4 0.6	0.1	-	-					

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	5											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	5	16	36	9	23	3	27	27	1	5	49	33
Future Vol, veh/h	5	16	36	9	23	3	27	27	1	5	49	33
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	7	22	49	12	32	4	37	37	1	7	67	45
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	232	215	90	251	238	38	112	0	0	38	0	0
Stage 1	103	103	-	112	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	129	112	_	139	126	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	_	_	4.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	- 0.22	6.12	5.52	0.22	1.12	_	_	1.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518		3.318	2.218	_	_	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	723	683	968	702	663	1034	1478	_	_	1572	_	_
Stage 1	903	810	-	893	803	-		_	_		_	_
Stage 2	875	803	_	864	792	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	070	000		001	, , _			_	_		_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	677	662	968	634	643	1034	1478	_	_	1572	_	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	677	662	-	634	643	-		_	_	-	_	_
Stage 1	880	806	_	870	782	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Stage 2	815	782	-	794	788	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.8			10.9			3.7			0.4		
HCM LOS	Α.			В			3.7			0.4		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NDD I	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
		INDI	NDK			וטט	אוטכ					
Capacity (veh/h)	1478	-	-	829 662		-	-					
HCM Captrol Doloy (c)	0.025	-	-	0.094 0.072		-	-					
HCM Long LOS	7.5	0	-	9.8 10.9	7.3	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	A	A	-	A B	A	А	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.3 0.2	0	-	-					

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	5.7											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBI	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	16	62	12	38	12			3	5	74	3
Future Vol, veh/h	16	16	62	12	38	12		3 29	3	5	74	3
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	_	None			None	-	-	None
Storage Length	_	_	_	-	_	_			_	-	-	_
Veh in Median Storage, #	_	0	_	-	0	_		- 0	_	-	0	_
Grade, %	_	0	_	-	0	_		- 0	_	-	0	_
Peak Hour Factor	69	69	69	69	69	69	60		69	69	69	69
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2		2 2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	23	23	90	17	55	17		42	4	7	107	4
Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor [*]	ı		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	72	0	0	113	0	0	268		68	237	258	64
· ·	12	U	U	113	U	U	114		- 00	99	256 99	04
Stage 1 Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	154		-	138	159	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12		6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	6.12		0.22	6.12	5.52	0.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12		-	6.12	5.52	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218		_	2.218			3.518			3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1528	_	_	1476	_	_	68!		995	717	646	1000
Stage 1	1320	-	-	1470	-	-	89		773	907	813	1000
Stage 2			_				848		_	865	766	
Platoon blocked, %			_				040	007		003	700	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1528		_	1476			580	659	995	665	628	1000
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1320		_	1470			580		773	665	628	1000
Stage 1			_				87		_	892	803	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	72:		-	802	754	-
Assessed				14/5						05		
Approach	EB			WB			NE 10.4			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	1.3			1.4			10.8			11.9		
HCM LOS							E	3		В		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1					
Capacity (veh/h)	671	1528	-	- 1476	-	-	639					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.076		-	- 0.012	-	-	0.186					
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.8	7.4	0	- 7.5	0	-	11.9					
HCM Lane LOS	В	Α	Α	- A	Α	-	В					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0	-	- 0	-	-	0.7					

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	5.2											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	LDL	4	LDIX	VVDL	4	WDIX	NDL	4	NDIX	JDL	4	JUIN
Traffic Vol, veh/h	54	43	66	9	8	40	11	255	9	33	227	12
Future Vol, veh/h	54	43	66	9	8	40	11	255	9	33	227	12
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	- -	- -	None	-	- -	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	_	0	_	_	0	_	_	0	_	_	0	_
Grade, %	_	0	_	_	0	_	_	0	_	_	0	_
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	59	47	73	10	9	44	12	280	10	36	249	13
WWITE FIOW	37	77	73	10	,	77	12	200	10	30	247	13
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	665	643	256	697	644	285	263	0	0	290	0	0
Stage 1	329	329	_	309	309	_	-	_	-	-	_	-
Stage 2	336	314	_	388	335	_	-	_	-	-	_	-
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	_	-	4.12	_	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	-	_	-	-	_	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	-	_	-	-	_	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	374	392	783	356	391	754	1301	_	-	1272	_	-
Stage 1	684	646	_	701	660	_	-	_	-	-	_	-
Stage 2	678	656	-	636	643	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	334	375	783	282	374	754	1301	-	-	1272	_	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	334	375	_	282	374	_	-	_	-	-	_	-
Stage 1	676	625	_	693	653	_	-	_	-	-	_	-
Stage 2	623	649	-	516	622	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	ΓD			WB			NB			CD		
Approach	EB									SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	18.1			12.6			0.3			1		
HCM LOS	С			В								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1301			452 536	1272	-	_					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.009	_	_	0.396 0.117		_	_					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.8	0	_	18.1 12.6	7.9	0	_					
HCM Lane LOS	Α	A	_	C B	Α	Ā	_					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	_	1.9 0.4	0.1	-	_					
/ 0 11 / 0 110 2 (1011)	O			, 0.1	0.1							

Intersection	/ 0											
Int Delay, s/veh	6.2											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	14	36	35	5	36	9	16	47	4	10	34	5
Future Vol, veh/h	14	36	35	5	36	9	16	47	4	10	34	5
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	18	45	44	6	45	11	20	59	5	13	43	6
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	200	175	46	216	175	61	49	0	0	64	0	0
Stage 1	71	71	-	101	101	_	_	-	-	-		-
Stage 2	129	104	_	115	74	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	_	4.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	_	-	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518		3.318	2.218	-	_	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	759	718	1023	740	718	1004	1558	-	_	1538	-	_
Stage 1	939	836	-	905	811	_	-	-	_	-	_	_
Stage 2	875	809	_	890	833	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	0.0	007		0.70	000			_	_		_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	702	702	1023	663	702	1004	1558	-	_	1538	_	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	702	702	-	663	702	-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 1	927	828	_	893	800	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Stage 2	806	798	-	798	826	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.1			10.3			1.8			1.5		
HCM LOS	В			В			1.0			1.5		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NRD	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
	1558	INDI	NDI		1538	וטנ	אוטכ					
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-			-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	0.013 7.3	-	-	0.132 0.085 10.1 10.3	7.4	-	-					
HCM Lane LOS		0	-			0	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	A	Α	-		A	Α	-					
HOIVI YOUT WITHE (J(VEN)	0	-	-	0.5 0.3	0	-	-					

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	6.1												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR		NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4				4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	11	45	3	3	41	12		10	57	3	10	43	14
Future Vol, veh/h	11	45	3	3	41	12		10	57	3	10	43	14
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #		0	-	-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80		80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	14	56	4	4	51	15		13	71	4	13	54	18
Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			M	linor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	66	0	0	60	0	0		188	160	58	189	154	59
Stage 1	-	-	_	-	-	_		86	86	_	66	66	-
Stage 2	-	-	_	-	-	_		102	74	_	123	88	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	_		7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-		6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-		6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1536	-	-	1544	-	-		772	732	1008	771	738	1007
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-		922	824	-	945	840	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-		904	833	-	881	822	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1536	-	-	1544	-	-		709	723	1008	704	729	1007
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-		709	723	-	704	729	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-		914	817	-	936	837	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-		829	831	-	794	815	-
Approach	EB			WB				NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	1.4			0.4				10.6			10.3		
HCM LOS				3. 1				В			В		
NAC and Law of NAC and NAC and Law	NDI1	EDI	EDT	EDD WDI	WDT	WDD	2DI 1						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR WBL	WBT	WBR S							
Capacity (veh/h)	730	1536	-	- 1544	-	-	769						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.009	-	- 0.002	-	-	0.109						
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.6	7.4	0	- 7.3	0	-	10.3						
HCM Lane LOS	В	A	Α	- A	Α	-	В						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.4	0	-	- 0	-	-	0.4						

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	3.6											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	39	13	14	11	21	51	12	180	9	35	303	49
Future Vol, veh/h	39	13	14	11	21	51	12	180	9	35	303	49
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None .	-	-	None .	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	44	15	16	12	24	57	13	202	10	39	340	55
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	722	686	368	696	708	207	396	0	0	212	0	0
Stage 1	447	447	300	234	234	207	370	-	-	212	-	-
Stage 2	275	239	_	462	474	_	_		_	_		
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	_	_	4.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	0.22	6.12	5.52	0.22	7.12	_	_	7.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	_	_	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	342	370	677	356	360	833	1163	_	_	1358	_	_
Stage 1	591	573	-	769	711	-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 2	731	708	_	580	558	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	701	700		000	000			_	_		_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	290	352	677	324	342	833	1163	_	_	1358	_	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	290	352	-	324	342	-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 1	583	552	_	759	702	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Stage 2	649	699	-	531	537	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	18.3			13.2			0.5			0.7		
HCM LOS	C			В			0.0			0.7		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NRR I	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1163	INDI	NUN	344 530	1358	301	אטוע					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.012	-	-	0.216 0.176		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.1	0	-	18.3 13.2	7.7	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	o. 1 A	A	-	C B	7.7 A	A	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	Α.	-	0.8 0.6	0.1	Α .	_					
1101VI 73111 701116 Q(VCII)	U	-	-	0.0 0.0	0.1	-	-					

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	5.1											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	LDL	4	LDIX	VVDL	4	VVDIX	INDL	4	NDIX	JDL	4	JUIN
Traffic Vol, veh/h	5	16	36	9	23	9	27	33	1	19	57	33
Future Vol, veh/h	5	16	36	9	23	9	27	33	1	19	57	33
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-
Veh in Median Storage, #		0	_	-	0	_	_	0	-	_	0	_
Grade, %	_	0	_	-	0	_	_	0	-	_	0	_
Peak Hour Factor	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	7	22	49	12	32	12	37	45	1	26	78	45
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	295	274	101	308	295	46	123	0	0	47	0	0
Stage 1	153	153	-	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	142	121	-	188	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	657	633	954	644	616	1023	1464	-	-	1560	-	-
Stage 1	849	771	-	884	796	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	861	796	-	814	754	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	602	605	954	574	589	1023	1464	-	-	1560	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	602	605	-	574	589	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	827	757	-	861	775	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	795	775	-	736	740	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.1			11.1			3.3			1.3		
HCM LOS	В			В			0.0			1.0		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NIRD	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
		NDI	NDK			וטט	אוטכ					
Capacity (veh/h)	1464	-	-	786 645	1560	-	-					
HCM Control Dolay (c)	0.025	-	-	0.099 0.087		-	-					
HCM Long LOS	7.5	0	-	10.1 11.1	7.3	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	A 0.1	Α	-	B B 0.3 0.3	A 0.1	А	-					
HOW FOUT WITHE LA (VEH)	U. I	-	-	0.5 0.3	U. I	-	-					

Intersection Int Delay, s/veh	5.5											
•		EDT		14/01	WDT		NDI	NDT	NDD	0.01	ODT	000
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	4.4	4		10	4	4.0	0	4	0	-	4	0
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	16	62	12	38	12	3	51	3	5	91	3
Future Vol, veh/h	16	16	62	12	38	12	3	51	3	5	91	3
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	_ 0	_ 0	_ 0	0	_ 0	_ 0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	23	23	90	17	55	17	4	74	4	7	132	4
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	270	236	134	290	236	76	136	0	0	78	0	0
Stage 1	149	149	-	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	121	87	_	205	151	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	_	_	4.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	_	_	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	683	665	915	662	665	985	1448	_	_	1520	_	_
Stage 1	854	774	-	923	824	-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 2	883	823	_	797	772	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	000	020						_	_		_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	624	660	915	577	660	985	1448	_	_	1520	_	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	624	660	-	577	660	-	-	_	_	.020	_	_
Stage 1	851	770	_	920	822	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Stage 2	807	821	-	694	768	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
				11			0.4			0.4		
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS	10.4 B			В			0.4			0.4		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1448	-	-	799 685	1520	-	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.003	-	-	0.171 0.131	0.005	-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.5	0	-	10.4 11	7.4	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	Α	Α	-	В В	Α	Α	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.6 0.5	0	-	-					

Intersection								
Int Delay, s/veh	2.6							
Movement	WBL	WBR		NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥			eî			ર્ન	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	67	44		217	53	35	320	
Future Vol, veh/h	67	44		217	53	35	320	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-		-	_	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92		92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	73	48		236	58	38	348	
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	689	265		0	0	293	0	
Stage 1	265	-		-	_	-	_	
Stage 2	424	-		-	_	-	_	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22		-	_	4.12	_	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-		-	_	-	_	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-		-	_	-	_	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318		-	_	2.218	_	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	412	774		-	_	1269	_	
Stage 1	779	_		_	_	-	-	
Stage 2	660	_		_	_	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				_	_		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	397	774		_	_	1269	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	397	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	779	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	636	-		-	-	-	-	
•								
Approach	WB			NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	14.7			0		0.8		
HCM LOS	В							
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1	SBL	SBT				
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 492	1269	-				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.245	0.03	-				
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 14.7	7.9	0				
HCM Lane LOS	-	- B	Α	A				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 1	0.1	-				
HOW FOUT FOUTE Q(VEIT)	-	- 1	0.1	_				

Interception							
Intersection Int Delay, s/veh	2.2						
•		EDD	NDI	NDT	CDT	CDD	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	¥	45	40	र्स	1	47	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	22	15	12	35	94	17	
Future Vol, veh/h	22	15	12	35	94	17	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #		-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	24	16	13	38	102	18	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	175	111	121	0	-	0	
Stage 1	111		-	-	_	-	
Stage 2	64	_	_	_	_	_	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	_	_	_	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	0.22	7.12	_	_	_	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	_	_	_	_	_	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218				
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	815	942	1467	_	_	_	
Stage 1	914	742	1407	_	_	_	
Stage 2	959	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %	737	-	-	-	-	-	
	000	042	1467	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	808	942	140/	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	808	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	914	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	950	-	-	-	-	-	
A	ED		ND		CD		
Approach Delegation	EB		NB 1.0		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.4		1.9		0		
HCM LOS	А						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn1	SBT SBR				
•							
Capacity (veh/h)	1467	- 857					
HCM Cantral Palay (a)	0.009	- 0.047					
HCM Long LOS	7.5	0 9.4					
HCM Lane LOS	A	A A					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	- 0.1					

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	5.7											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	65	43	66	9	8	40	11	277	9	33	244	20
Future Vol, veh/h	65	43	66	9	8	40	11	277	9	33	244	20
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized			None			None .	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	71	47	73	10	9	44	12	304	10	36	268	22
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	712	690	279	746	697	309	290	0	0	314	0	0
Stage 1	352	352	217	334	334	-	270	-	-	314	-	-
Stage 2	360	338	_	412	363	_	_			_		
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	_	_	4.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	0.22	6.12	5.52	-	1.12	_	_	1.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518		3.318	2.218	_	_	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	347	368	760	330	365	731	1272	_	_	1246	_	_
Stage 1	665	632	-	680	643	-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 2	658	641	_	617	625	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %								_	-		_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	309	351	760	259	348	731	1272	_	-	1246	_	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	309	351	-	259	348	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	658	610	_	673	636	_	-	_	-	-	_	-
Stage 2	603	634	-	497	603	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	20.9			13.1			0.3			0.9		
HCM LOS	C C			В			0.5			0.7		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NIRD	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
	1272	NDI	NDK		1246	וטכ	אטוע					
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.01	-	-	415 507 0.461 0.124		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.9	0	-	20.9 13.1		0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	7.9 A	A	-	C B	8 A	A	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	A	-	2.4 0.4	0.1	А	-					
HOW FOUT FOUND (VEH)	U	-	-	Z. 4 U.4	U. I	-	-					

Intersection	/ 0											
Int Delay, s/veh	6.2											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WI	BL WB1	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4	•		4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	14	36	35		5 36		16	51	4	13	37	5
Future Vol, veh/h	14	36	35		5 36	12	16	51	4	13	37	5
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0 (0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Sto	p Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		- () -	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		- () -	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	;	30 80	08	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2		2 2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	18	45	44		6 45	5 15	20	64	5	16	46	6
Major/Minor	Minor2			Mino	r1		Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	218	191	49		32 191	66	53	0	0	69	0	0
Stage 1	82	82	49	10			55	U	U	09	-	U
Stage 2	136	109	-	1:			-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.			4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	0.22	7. 6.			4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6. ⁻			-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.5			2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	738	704	1020		23 704		1553	-	-	1532	-	-
•	926	827	1020		00 807		1000	-	-	1002	-	-
Stage 1 Stage 2	867	805	-	8.			-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %	007	603	-	0	0 022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	678	687	1020	4	16 687	998	1553	-	-	1532	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	678	687	1020				1003	-	-	1532	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	914		-				-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1		818 795	-	88			-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	795	795	-	78	00 010) -	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			W	'B		NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.2			10	.4		1.7			1.7		
HCM LOS	В				В							
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NRR I	EBLn1WBL	n1 SBI	. SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1553	INDI	NUN		34 1532		JUN					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.013	-	-		0.011 0.011		-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	-	10.2 10			-					
HCM Lane LOS	7.3 A	A	-	10.2 TC B	.4 7.2 B <i>F</i>		-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	A	-		.3 (-					
HOW FOUT FOUND (VEH)	U	-	-	0.5	.ي (, -	-					

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	5.1											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	11	45	3	3		12	10	65	3	10	54	14
Future Vol, veh/h	11	45	3	3		12	10	65	3	10	54	14
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #		0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	14	56	4	4	51	15	13	81	4	13	68	18
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	242	211	76	239	218	83	85	0	0	85	0	0
Stage 1	101	101	-	108		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	141	110	_	131	110	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12		6.22	4.12	_	_	4.12	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12		-	-	_	_	-	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12		_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518		3.318	3.518		3.318	2.218	_	_	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	712	686	985	715		976	1512	-	_	1512	-	-
Stage 1	905	811	-	897		-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Stage 2	862	804	_	873		_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	_		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	651	674	985	658	668	976	1512	-	_	1512	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	651	674	-	658		-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Stage 1	897	804	_	889		_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Stage 2	787	797	-	802		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.9			10.6			0.9			0.9		
HCM LOS	В			В			0.7			0.7		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NIRD	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
	1512	INDI	NDK			JUI	אטוע					
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	680 716 0.108 0.098		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	0.008 7.4	-	-			0	-					
J ()	_	0	-	10.9 10.6		0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	A	Α	-	B B		А	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.4 0.3	0	-	-					

Intersection								
Int Delay, s/veh	1							
Movement	WBL	WBR		NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥			₽			सी	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	25	16		349	33	22	272	
Future Vol, veh/h	25	16		349	33	22	272	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92		92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	27	17		379	36	24	296	
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	740	397		0	0	415	0	
Stage 1	397	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	343	-		-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22		-	-	4.12	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-		-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-		-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318		-	-	2.218	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	384	652		-	-	1144	-	
Stage 1	679	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	719	-		-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	374	652		-	-	1144	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	374	-		-	_	-	_	
Stage 1	679	-		-	_	-	_	
Stage 2	701	-		-	-	-	-	
-								
Approach	WB			NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.9			0		0.6		
HCM LOS	В							
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1	SBL	SBT				
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 449 1	1144	-				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.099 0	.021	-				
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 13.9	8.2	0				
HCM Lane LOS	-	- B	Α	Α				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.3	0.1	-				
. ,								

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	1.2						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	W			र्स	4		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	8	6	7	70	49	11	
Future Vol, veh/h	8	6	7	70	49	11	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	9	7	8	76	53	12	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	150	59	65	0	-	0	
Stage 1	59	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	91	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	_	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	_	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	_	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	842	1007	1537	-	-	_	
Stage 1	964	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	933	-	-	_	-	_	
Platoon blocked, %				_	-	_	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	838	1007	1537	_	-	_	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	838	-	-	_	-	_	
Stage 1	964	-	-	_	-	_	
Stage 2	928	-	-	_	-	_	
3							
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.1		0.7		0		
HCM LOS	Α						
	•••						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn1	SBT SBR				
Capacity (veh/h)	1537	- 903					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.005	- 0.017					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0.017					
HCM Lane LOS	7.4 A	A A					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	- 0.1					
110/VI 70(II 70(IIC Q(VCII)	J	0.1					

4343 Cox Road Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

File Name: Jefferson at 10th - AM


Site Code : 00000002 Start Date : 9/14/2016

Page No : 1

Counted By: Lee Weather: Clear Equipment ID: 4792

Groups Printed- Cars + Trucks

								Gr	oups r	<u>rintea- (</u>	<u>cars +</u>	Trucks	<u> </u>								
		10	th Str	eet			E Jef	ferson	Street			10	th Str	eet			E Jef	ferson	Street		
		Sc	outhbo	und			W	estbou	ınd			No	orthboo	und			Е	astbou	ınd		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00 AM	0	20	2	0	22	1	1	0	0	2	0	12	2	0	14	0	0	4	0	4	42
07:15 AM	3	28	2	0	33	3	1	2	0	6	4	19	1	0	24	0	0	1	0	1	64
07:30 AM	4	27	5	0	36	3	1	2	0	6	1	30	1	0	32	1	4	1	0	6	80
07:45 AM	11	57	6	0	74	2	1	1	0	4	1	23	1	0	25	2	4	2	0	8	111
Total	18	132	15	0	165	9	4	5	0	18	6	84	5	0	95	3	8	8	0	19	297
08:00 AM	5	51	6	0	62	8	2	2	0	12	1	34	3	0	38	0	3	2	0	5	117
08:15 AM	7	52	9	0	68	21	6	2	0	29	4	39	0	0	43	5	4	8	0	17	157
08:30 AM	8	58	9	0	75	9	6	4	0	19	1	31	6	0	38	4	1	3	0	8	140
08:45 AM	5	75	8	0	88	2	5	2	0	9	2	29	2	0	33	4	4	6	0	14	144
Total	25	236	32	0	293	40	19	10	0	69	8	133	11	0	152	13	12	19	0	44	558
Grand Total	43	368	47	0	458	49	23	15	0	87	14	217	16	0	247	16	20	27	0	63	855
Apprch %	9.4	80.3	10.3	0		56.3	26.4	17.2	0		5.7	87.9	6.5	0		25.4	31.7	42.9	0		
Total %	5	43	5.5	0	53.6	5.7	2.7	1.8	0	10.2	1.6	25.4	1.9	0	28.9	1.9	2.3	3.2	0	7.4	



4343 Cox Road Glen Allen, Virginia 23060


File Name: Jefferson at 10th - PM

Site Code : 00000001 Start Date : 9/13/2016

Page No : 1

Counted By: Lee Weather: Clear Equipment ID: 4791

								Gr	oups P	rinted- (Cars +	Trucks	3								
		10	th Str	eet			E Jef	ferson	Street			10	Oth Str	eet			E Jef	ferson	Street		
		So	uthbo	und			W	estboι	ınd			No	orthbo	und			Е	astbou	ınd		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App. Total	Int. Total
04:00 PM	5	32	2	0	39	7	4	3	0	14	0	40	3	0	43	16	8	5	0	29	125
04:15 PM	5	45	3	0	53	3	3	3	0	9	1	43	1	0	45	6	2	8	0	16	123
04:30 PM	3	33	8	0	44	10	6	7	0	23	2	44	0	0	46	13	8	12	0	33	146
04:45 PM	6	41	4	0	51	9	2	3	0	14	3	47	5	0	55	10	6	9	0	25	145
Total	19	151	17	0	187	29	15	16	0	60	6	174	9	0	189	45	24	34	0	103	539
05:00 PM	2	47	6	0	55	14	3	3	0	20	2	63	3	0	68	21	10	15	0	46	189
05:15 PM	2	60	7	0	69	5	1	2	0	8	0	66	0	0	66	11	6	12	0	29	172
05:30 PM	1	60	8	0	69	9	1	0	0	10	2	57	2	0	61	18	7	13	0	38	178
05:45 PM	1	47	4	0	52	6	3	2	0	11	5	56	0	0	61	7	5	4	0	16	140
Total	6	214	25	0	245	34	8	7	0	49	9	242	5	0	256	57	28	44	0	129	679
Grand Total	25	365	42	0	432	63	23	23	0	109	15	416	14	0	445	102	52	78	0	232	1218
Apprch %	5.8	84.5	9.7	0		57.8	21.1	21.1	0		3.4	93.5	3.1	0		44	22.4	33.6	0		
Total %	2.1	30	3.4	0	35.5	5.2	1.9	1.9	0	8.9	1.2	34.2	1.1	0	36.5	8.4	4.3	6.4	0	19	



Ramey Kemp & Associates 4343 Cox Road Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Counted By:

Burns Service, Inc.

File Name: Charlottesville(Jefferson and 11th) AM Peak


Site Code:

Start Date : 9/14/2016

Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars +

			th Stre			Jefferson Street Westbound							1th Str								
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00 AM	3	4	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	12
07:15 AM	2	5	0	0	7	1	2	0	0	3	2	1	3	0	6	2	1	1	1	5	21
07:30 AM	1	5	1	1	8	1	2	1	0	4	0	3	4	0	7	3	5	0	0	8	27
07:45 AM	1	4	0	1	6	4	5	1	0	10	0	3	0	0	3	8	1	1_	0	10	29
Total	7	18	1	2	28	6	10	2	0	18	4	7	7	0	18	14	7	3	1	25	89
08:00 AM	6	3	2	0	11	1	4	3	0	8	0	5	6	3	14	3	4	3	3	13	46
08:15 AM	12	25	0	1	38	1	6	3	3	13	0	3	11	2	16	6	1	1	1	9	76
08:30 AM	12	11	1	1	25	0	7	2	0	9	0	6	3	3	12	5	2	0	0	7	53
08:45 AM	0	6	2	4	12	1	4	0	0	5	0	10	5	1	16	10	4	1	0	15	48
Total	30	45	5	6	86	3	21	8	3	35	0	24	25	9	58	24	11	5	4	44	223
Grand Total	37	63	6	8	114	9	31	10	3	53	4	31	32	9	76	38	18	8	5	69	312
Apprch %	32.5	55.3	5.3	7		17	58.5	18.9	5.7		5.3	40.8	42.1	11.8		55.1	26.1	11.6	7.2		
Total %	11.9	20.2	1.9	2.6	36.5	2.9	9.9	3.2	1	17	1.3	9.9	10.3	2.9	24.4	12.2	5.8	2.6	1.6	22.1	



Ramey Kemp & Associates 4343 Cox Road Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Counted By:

Burns Service, Inc.

File Name: Charlottesville(Jefferson and 11th) PM Peak


Site Code:

Start Date : 9/14/2016

Page No : 1

Group	s Prir	ited-	Cars	+

			1th Stre			Jefferson Street Westbound							1th Str								
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
04:00 PM	2	2	1	0	5	3	7	0	0	10	1	3	2	1	7	3	6	1	1	11	33
04:15 PM	2	7	2	1	12	3	5	0	0	8	2	2	1	1	6	3	4	3	0	10	36
04:30 PM	0	7	1	1	9	2	8	1	0	11	1	10	2	0	13	6	9	2	0	17	50
04:45 PM	1	7	2	1	11	3	8	1	0	12	0	8	2	1	11	8	7	4	1	20	54
Total	5	23	6	3	37	11	28	2	0	41	4	23	7	3	37	20	26	10	2	58	173
05:00 PM	3	10	1	1	15	3	6	3	0	12	3	9	6	2	20	11	8	5	1	25	72
05:15 PM	1	8	5	0	14	0	4	0	0	4	0	12	5	1	18	7	9	2	0	18	54
05:30 PM	2	8	0	0	10	1	6	3	0	10	1	5	6	0	12	3	13	0	0	16	48
05:45 PM	1	6	1	2	10	1	4	2	0	7	0	5	2	0	7	3	7	2	0	12	36
Total	7	32	7	3	49	5	20	8	0	33	4	31	19	3	57	24	37	9	1	71	210
Grand Total	12	55	13	6	86	16	48	10	0	74	8	54	26	6	94	44	63	19	3	129	383
Apprch %	14	64	15.1	7		21.6	64.9	13.5	0		8.5	57.4	27.7	6.4		34.1	48.8	14.7	2.3		
Total %	3.1	14.4	3.4	1.6	22.5	4.2	12.5	2.6	0	19.3	2.1	14.1	6.8	1.6	24.5	11.5	16.4	5	8.0	33.7	



Burns Service Inc.

1202 Langdon Terrace Drive Raleigh, NC, 27615

File Name: charlottesville(little high and 11th) 14 hour count

Site Code:

Start Date : 5/10/2017

Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks 11th Street Little High Street 11th Street Little High Street														,			
								et			Street		L				
			bound			West	bound		L	North	bound			East	bound		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	App. Total	Int. Total
06:00	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
06:15	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
06:30	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
06:45	0	<u>1</u>	0	1	0	3	0	3	0	<u>3</u>	0	<u>3</u>	0	0	0	0	8
Total	0	į	U	1	0	3	U	3	0	4	U	4	0	U	U	U	0
07:00	0	4	0	4	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	8
07:15	1	8	0	9	2	5	0	7	Ö	4	Ö	4	1	1	Ö	2	22
07:30	2	10	0	12	2	6	0	8	0	5	0	5	1	3	0	4	29
07:45	0	8	3	11	5	7	1	13	1	1	1_	3	6	5	0	11	38
Total	3	30	3	36	9	19	1	29	2	11	1	14	8	10	0	18	97
00.00							•	40		_		•		•	_	0.4	
08:00	0 2	11 27	3 0	14	1	8 10	3 3	12	0	5 7	1	6	16	3 5	5	24	56 92
08:15 08:30	0	21 24	3	29 27	4 2	4	2	17 8	2	6	1 0	8 8	28 9	5 5	5 4	38 18	61
08:45	1	8	0	9	4	14	3	21	1	5	1	7	5	2	1	8	45
Total	3	70	6	79	11	36	11	58	3	23	3	29	58	15	15	88	254
09:00	2	5	3	10	0	4	1	5	1	7	1	9	0	5	1	6	30
09:15	1	8	1	10	0	5	0	5	0	9	2	11	2	3	1	6	32
09:30	0	8	0	8	1	4	1	6	0	2	1	3	1	3	1	5	22
09:45	2	10	1 5	13	3	7	0	9 25	0	9	<u>0</u> 4	9	3	4	<u>4</u> 7	11	42
Total	5	31	5	41) 3	20	2	25		27	4	32	6	15	,	28	126
10:00	1	6	0	7	1	5	2	8	0	4	1	5	1	3	2	6	26
10:15	0	6	1	7	1	4	0	5	1	7	2	10	0	2	3	5	27
10:30	2	8	0	10	2	3	0	5	0	9	1	10	1	2	1	4	29
10:45	1	4	1_	6	2	8	0	10	1	7	1_	9	0	4	0	4	29
Total	4	24	2	30	6	20	2	28	2	27	5	34	2	11	6	19	111
						_				_							
11:00 11:15	2	6 6	0	8 7	2 0	2 4	0 0	4 4	2 2	7 4	1	10 9	0 2	4 1	0 2	4 5	26
11:15	0	5	0	5	0	2	0	2	1	10	ა 1	12	1	2	1	4	25 23
11:45	1	7	2	10	1	3	0	4		5	1	7	2	5	1	8	29
Total	4	24	2	30	3	11	0	14	6	26	6	38	5	12	4	21	103
12:00	1	6	2	9	4	6	0	10	1	8	1	10	2	12	4	18	47
12:15	3	4	1	8	1	6	0	7	0	17	3	20	3	5	2	10	45
12:30	1	11	1	13	2	8	0	10	0	12	0	12	1	5	1	7	42
12:45	3 8	<u>5</u> 26	<u>0</u>	38	7	23	2	5 32	1 2	10 47	1 5	12 54	2 8	6 28	<u>3</u> 10	11 46	36 170
Total	0	20	4	30	,	23	2	32	2	47	5	34	0	20	10	40	170
13:00	0	10	0	10	2	3	0	5	1	8	0	9	2	3	0	5	29
13:15	2	24	3	29	2	5	Ö	7	3	10	1	14	11	9	2	22	72
13:30	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	5
13:45	2	11	0	13	2	7	0	9	1	8	0	9	3	5	1	9	40
Total	4	46	3	53	6	17	0	23	5	27	1	33	16	18	3	37	146
14.00		7	3	10	2	2	1	e	4	_	0	6	0	4	0	4	20
14:00 14:15	2	7 6	0	12 7	0	3 2	1	6 3	1 1	5 10	0	11	4	4 7	1	12	28 33
14:30	2	7	2	11	0	1	Ö	1	Ö	4	4	8	2	4	1	7	27
14:45	3	6	0	9	3	1	0	4	0	8	1	9	0	3	1	4	26
Total	8	26	5	39	5	7	2	14		27	5	34	6	18	3	27	114
15:00	0	9	3	12	2	5	1	8	1	6	2	9	2	9	1	12	41
15:15	3	7	3	13	1	5	3	9	0	5	0	5	1	4	1	6	33
15:30	1	8	1	10	1	11	0	12	0	10	3	13	8	8	7	23	58
15:45 Total	0 4	32	9	10 45	6	8 29	7	13 42	2	<u>9</u> 30	1 6	11 38	1 12	3 24	11	6 47	40 172
iotai	, -	32	3	40	, ,	23	,	72		30	U	50	12	27		71	112
16:00	2	7	3	12	1	6	0	7	0	10	2	12	1	5	1	7	38
16:15	2	4	3	9	1	5	1	7	0	6	2	8	2	4	5	11	35
16:30	2	2	1	5	1	6	1	8	1	5	2	8	1	8	1	10	31
16:45	1	12	1_	14	2	6	0	8	1	16	1_	18	0	5	2	7	47
Total	7	25	8	40	5	23	2	30	2	37	7	46	4	22	9	35	151
47.00	A	40	2	40	۱ ^		4	-	4	40	2	15		7	4	4.4	1 40
17:00	4	10	2	16	0	6	1	7	1	12	2	15	0	7	4	11	49

Burns Service Inc.

1202 Langdon Terrace Drive Raleigh, NC, 27615

File Name: charlottesville(little high and 11th) 14 hour count

Site Code:

Start Date : 5/10/2017

Page No : 2
roups Printed- Cars + - Trucks

	Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks 11th Street Little High Street 11th Street Little High Street																
		11th \$	Street			Little Hig	ah Stre	et		11th	Street						
		South	bound				bound			North	bound						
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	App. Total	Right	Thru	bound Left	App. Total	Int. Total
17:15	4	7	2	13	6	20	2	28	1	15	4	20	2	8	2	12	73
17:30	4	8	4	16	3	7	0	10	0	11	2	13	1	22	2	25	64
17:45	1	10	4	15	1	4	1	6	0	11	0	11	1	9	0	10	42
Total	13	35	12	60	10	37	4	51	2	49	8	59	4	46	8	58	228
	'																
18:00	0	5	0	5	1	5	0	6	1	6	0	7	1	12	0	13	31
18:15	0	2	0	2	1	3	1	5	0	7	3	10	1	6	0	7	24
18:30	0	3	1	4	0	4	1	5	0	2	0	2	0	13	1	14	25
18:45	0	2	2	4	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	3	0	5	0	5	14
Total	0	12	3	15	3	13	2	18	2	16	4	22	2	36	1	39	94
19:00	0	1	1	2	3	4	1	8	0	6	0	6	0	7	1	8	24
19:15	0	0	1	1	0	8	0	8	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	3	13
19:30	0	2	0	2	1	1	1	3	0	3	0	3	0	10	1	11	19
19:45	1	2	0	3	2	6	0	8	0	2	2	4	0	2	1	3	18
Total	1	5	2	8	6	19	2	27	0	12	2	14	0	22	3	25	74
BREAK																	
Grand Total	64	387	64	515	80	277	37	394	31	363	57	451	131	277	80	488	1848
Apprch %	12.4	75.1	12.4		20.3	70.3	9.4		6.9	80.5	12.6		26.8	56.8	16.4		
Total %	3.5	20.9	3.5	27.9	4.3	15	2	21.3	1.7	19.6	3.1	24.4	7.1	15	4.3	26.4	
Cars +	64	386	64	514	80	277	37	394	31	363	57	451	131	277	80	488	1847
% Cars +	100	99.7	100	99.8	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.9
Trucks	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
% Trucks	0	0.3	0	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1

4343 Cox Road Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

File Name: Driveways - AM

Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 9/14/2016

Page No : 1


Counted By: Dean

Weather: Clear

Equipment ID: 4233

Site
Page
Sta

Groups Printed- Unshifted East Jefferson St ENTER 10th Street ENTER East Jefferson St EXIT 10th Street EXIT Southbound Westbound Northbound Eastbound Start Time Right Thru Left Peds App. Total Int. Total BREAK * 07:15 AM 07:30 AM 07:45 AM Total 08:00 AM 08:15 AM 08:30 AM 08:45 AM Total Grand Total Apprch % 83.3 16.7 22.2 77.8 24.1 75.9 22.2 16.7 53.7 Total % 7.4 7.4 18.5 3.7 3.7 40.7




4343 Cox Road Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

File Name: Driveways - PM

Counted By: Dean Site Code : 00000000
Weather: Clear Start Date : 9/13/2016
Equipment ID: 4233 Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

	Е	ast Je	ffersor	η St E	(IT	10th Street EXIT						East Jefferson ENTER						10th Street ENTER						
		Sc	uthbo	und		Westbound					Northbound													
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total			
04:00 PM	1	0	0	0	1	6	0	3	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	13			
04:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	9			
04:30 PM	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	7			
04:45 PM	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	0	8	12			
Total	3	0	0	0	3	17	0	6	0	23	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	10	0	14	41			
05:00 PM	0	0	1	0	1	11	0	1	0	12	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	5	0	6	20			
05:15 PM	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	5			
05:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	5	8			
05:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3			
Total	0	0	2	0	2	19	0	2	0	21	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	9	0	12	36			
Grand Total	3	0	2	0	5	36	0	8	0	44	1	0	1	0	2	7	0	19	0	26	77			
Apprch %	60	0	40	0		81.8	0	18.2	0		50	0	50	0		26.9	0	73.1	0					
Total %	3.9	0	2.6	0	6.5	46.8	0	10.4	0	57.1	1.3	0	1.3	0	2.6	9.1	0	24.7	0	33.8				



Ramey Kemp & Associates 4343 Cox Road Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

File Name: Charlottesville(Jefferson and Driveway#3) PM Peal

Site Code:


Counted By:

Burns Service, Inc.

Start Date : 9/14/2016

Groups F	rinted-	Cars +
----------	---------	--------

		Driveway #3				Jefferson Street							Jefferson Street								
		So	uthbou	ınd			Westbound			Northbound				Eastbound							
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
04:00 PM	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
04:15 PM	4	0	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	8
04:30 PM	2	0	2	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	7
04:45 PM	1	0	4	0	5	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Total	8	0	8	0	16	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	25
05:00 PM	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	5
05:15 PM	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	5
05:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
05:45 PM	3	0	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Total	5	0	5	0	10	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	17
Grand Total	13	0	13	0	26	8	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	42
Apprch %	50	0	50	0		100	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	100	0		
Total %	31	0	31	0	61.9	19	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	19	



Ramey Kemp & Associates

4343 Cox Road Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Counted By:

File Name: Charlottesville(Water and City Walk) AM Peak Site Code:


Burns Service, Inc.

Start Date : 9/14/2016

Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Çars + - Bikes

		City Walk Way						Water Street Water Street													
		Sc	uthbo	und			Westbound				Northbound						Ę	astbou	nd		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00 AM	5	0	6	4	15	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	7	32
07:15 AM	9	0	4	4	17	0	11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	0	9	37
07:30 AM	11	0	5	1	17	5	9	0	1	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	6	38
07:45 AM	8	0	6	1	15	1	17	0	1	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	7	41
Total	33	0	21	10	64	6	47	0	2	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	8	0	29	148
08:00 AM	19	0	10	1	30	2	19	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	6	57
08:15 AM	11	0	9	4	24	1	16	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	48
08:30 AM	12	0	4	2	18	3	19	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	0	10	50
08:45 AM	17	0	6	7	30	1	26	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	14	71
Total	59	0	29	14	102	7	80	0	0	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	3	0	37	226
Grand Total	92	0	50	24	166	13	127	0	2	142	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	11	0	66	374
Apprch %	55.4	0	30.1	14.5		9.2	89.4	0	1.4		0	0	0	0		0	83.3	16.7	0		
Total %	24.6	0	13.4	6.4	44.4	3.5	34	0	0.5	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	14.7	2.9	0	17.6	
Cars +	92	0	50	16	158	13	127	0	2	142	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	11	0	65	365
% Cars +	100	0	100	66.7	95.2	100	100	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	98.2	100	0	98.5	97.6
Bikes	0	0	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	9
% Bikes	0	0	0	33.3	4.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	0	0	1.5	2.4



Ramey Kemp & Associates

4343 Cox Road Glen Allen, Virginia 23060


Counted By: Burns Service, Inc. File Name: Charlottesville(Water and City Walk) PM Peak

Site Code:

Start Date : 9/14/2016

Grou	ps Printed-	Cars + -	Bikes

		Crouper mite									Director						$\overline{}$			1	
		,	/ Walk \	,			Water Street										ater Str		,		
		<u>Sc</u>	outhbou	<u>und</u>	'		W	/estbou	<u>und</u>			<u>N</u> (<u>orthbol</u>	<u>und</u>			E	astbou	<u>ind</u>	'	<u> </u>
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
04:00 PM	3	0	3	2	8	10	7	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5	0	15	40
04:15 PM	0	0	1	2	3	9	5	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	2	0	17	34
04:30 PM	0	0	2	7	9	3	7	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5	0	19	38
04:45 PM	3	0	3	4	10	4	9	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	10	2	34	57
Total	6	0	9	15	30	26	28	0	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	22	2	85	169
05:00 PM	5	0	1	1	7 '	7	8	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	9	0	36	58
05:15 PM	6	1	2	8	17	9	12	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	11	0	31	69
05:30 PM	4	0	5	6	15	11	13	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	8	4	34	73
05:45 PM	8	0	6	1_	15	6	4	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	4	0	29	54
Total	23	1	14	16	54	33	37	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	0	94	32	4	130	254
Grand Total	29	1	23	31	84	59	65	0	0	124	0	0	0	0	0	0	155	54	6	215	423
Apprch %	34.5	1.2	27.4	36.9		47.6	52.4	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	72.1	25.1	2.8	1	'
Total %	6.9	0.2	5.4	7.3	19.9	13.9	15.4	0	0	29.3	0	00	0	0	0	0	36.6	12.8	1.4	50.8	
Cars +	29	1	23	17	70	59	65	0	0	124	0	0	0	0	0	0	155	54	4	213	407
% Cars +	100	100	100	54.8	83.3	100	100	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	100	66.7	99.1	96.2
Bikes	0	0	0	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	16
% Bikes	0	0	0	45.2	16.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33.3	0.9	3.8



Burns Service Inc.

1202 Langdon Terrace Drive Raleigh, NC, 27615

File Name: Shenandoah Joe Ped Count

Site Code:

Start Date : 4/26/2017

	Into	Shenandoa	h Joe	Out o	f Shenando	ah Joe	Into			
		Westbound			Northbound	d		Eastbound		
Start Time	Thru	Left	App. Total	Right	Left	App. Total	Right	Thru	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00	0	4	4	4	0	4	2	0	2	10
07:15	0	6	6	6	4	10	1	0	1	17
07:30	0	7	7	5	1	6	1	0	1	14
07:45	0	5	5	9	0	9	1	0	1	15
Total	0	22	22	24	5	29	5	0	5	56
	i			1						
08:00	0	8	8	3	0	3	0	0	0	11
08:15	0	10	10	8	2	10	3	0	3	23
08:30	0	14	14	10	1	11	3	1	4	29
08:45	0	5	5	8	4	12	2	0	2	19_
Total	0	37	37	29	7	36	8	1	9	82
	i									
Grand Total	0	59	59	53	12	65	13	1	14	138
Apprch %	0	100		81.5	18.5		92.9	7.1		
Total %	0	42.8	42.8	38.4	8.7	47.1	9.4	0.7	10.1	
Cars +	0	59	59	53	12	65	13	1	14	138
% Cars +	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Burns Service Inc.

1202 Langdon Terrace Drive Raleigh, NC, 27615

File Name: Shenandoah Joe Ped Count Door #2

Site Code:

Start Date : 4/26/2017

					ı uy	0110 .				
			G	roups Printe	ed- Cars + -	Trucks				
	Into	Shenandoa	ıh Joe	Out o	f Shenando	ah Joe	Into :	Shenandoa	h Joe	
		Southbound	d		Westbound	ı		Northbound	t l	
Start Time	Thru	Left	App. Total	Right	Left	App. Total	Right	Thru	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00	0	2	2	0	2	2	4	0	4	8
07:15	0	3	3	6	4	10	3	0	3	16
07:30	0	3	3	4	0	4	4	0	4	11
 07:45	0	2	2	5	3	8	5	0	5	15
Total	0	10	10	15	9	24	16	0	16	50
08:00	0	2	2	2	6	8	6	0	6	16
08:15	0	4	4	3	1	4	2	0	2	10
08:30	0	4	4	7	4	11	6	0	6	21
 08:45	0	1	1	7	4	11	5	0	5	17
Total	0	11	11	19	15	34	19	0	19	64
Grand Total	0	21	21	34	24	58	35	0	35	114
Apprch %	0	100		58.6	41.4		100	0		
Total %	0	18.4	18.4	29.8	21.1	50.9	30.7	0	30.7	
Cars +	0	21	21	34	24	58	35	0	35	114
 % Cars +	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	0	100	100
Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Burns Service Inc.

1202 Langdon Terrace Drive Raleigh, NC, 27615

File Name: Milli Coffee Roasters Ped Count

Site Code:

Start Date : 4/26/2017

Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars +

	Int	to Milli Coffe	ee		nto Milli Coff	ee	Ou	ıt of Milli Co	ffee	
		<u>Southboung</u>		Northbound						
Start Time	Right	Thru	App. Total	Thru	Left	App. Total	Right	Left	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	0	0	0	0	7	7	3	0	3	10
07:30	0	0	0	0	4	4	3	0	3	7
07:45	1	0	1	0	7	7	3	0	3	11_
Total	1	0	1	0	18	18	9	0	9	28
08:00	1	0	1	0	7	7	1	0	1	9
08:15	3	0	3	0	4	4	6	0	6	13
08:30	2	0	2	0	10	10	4	0	4	16
08:45	0	0	0	0	4	4	11	0	11	15_
Total	6	0	6	0	25	25	22	0	22	53
Grand Total	7	0	7	0	43	43	31	0	31	81
Apprch %	100	0		0	100		100	0		
Total %	8.6	0	8.6	0	53.1	53.1	38.3	0	38.3	



RAMEY KEMP Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

Multi-Way Stop Warrants

Project Name	East Jefferson Street Apartments
Project/File #	16147
Scenario	Existing 2017

Intersection Information									
Major Street (E/W Road)	Little High Street	Minor Street (N/S Road)	11th Street						
Analyzed with	1 approach lane	Analyzed with	1 Approach Lane						
Total Approach Volume	966 vehicles	Total Approach Volume	884 vehicles						
Total Ped/Bike Volume	0 crossings	Total Ped/Bike Volume	0 crossings						
Right turn reduction of	0 percent applied	Right turn reduction of	0 percent applied						

No high speed or isolated community reduction applied to the Multi-Way Stop Warrant thresholds.

dition A - Traffic Signal Warrant							
Condition Satisfied?	Not Satisfied						
Criteria*	Traffic Signal Warranted & Justified						


^{*} Multi-way stop control may be used as an interim measure that can be installed quickly to control traffic while arrangements are being made for the installation of the traffic control signal.

dition B - Crash Experience	
Condition Satisfied?	Not satisfied
Required values reached for less than 4 correctable crashes	
Criteria - Crash Experience	5 or more correctable crashes in 12-month period

Condition C - Intersection Volume & Delay	
Condition Satisfied?	Not Satisfied
Required values reached for	0 hours & sec. average delay/veh
Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr)	300 for any 8 hours of an average day
Criteria - Minor Street (total vol-veh, ped, & bikes/hr)	200 for the same 8 hours of an average day
Criteria - Delay (average sec/veh)	30 during the highest hour

Condition D - Combination Volume, Crash Experience, & Delay	
Condition Satisfied?	Not Satisfied
Required values reached for	0 hours, less than 4 crashes, & sec. average delay/veh
Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr)	240 for any 8 hours of an average day
Criteria - Minor Street (total vol-veh, ped, & bikes/hr)	160 for the same 8 hours of an average day
Criteria - Crash Experience	4 or more correctable crashes in 12-month period
Criteria - Delay (average sec/veh)	24 during the highest hour





CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT SERVICES STAFF REPORT TO THE ENTRANCE CORRIDOR REVIEW BOARD (ERB)

ENTRANCE CORRIDOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPROPRIATENESS

DATE OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING: March 13, 2018

Project Name: 912 East High Street

Planner: Jeff Werner, AICP **Applicant:** Justin Shimp

Applicant's Representative: Justin Shimp **Applicant's Relation to Owner:** Engineer

Application Information

Property Street Address: 912 East High Street **Property Owner:** Nine Twelve Land Company

Tax Map/Parcel #: 530271000

Total Square Footage/Acreage Site: 0.218 acres

Comprehensive Plan (Land Use Plan) Designation: Mixed Use

Current Zoning Classification: Downtown North Corridor with Entrance Corridor (EC)

Overlay

Entrance Corridor Overlay District: §34-307(a)(10) (East High Street)

Current Usage: Office Building

Background

Because the proposed renovations will significantly change the appearance of the building, staff determined that the ERB should review the application. The building is currently red brick, with GAF slateline shingles, and a standing seam metal roof canopy. The building is visible from East High Street.

Applicant's Request

The applicant is requesting approval of a certificate of appropriateness to renovate the existing building.

The general proposal is to:

- Paint the building white (Sherwin-Williams SW 7012 Creamy)
- Remove the existing canopy

- Add an awning over the entrance
- Screen the ground mechanical units

Standard of Review

The Planning Commission serves as the entrance corridor review board (ERB) responsible for administering the design review process in entrance corridor overlay districts. This development project requires a site plan, and therefore also requires a certificate of appropriateness from the ERB, pursuant to the provisions of §34-309(a)(3) of the City's Zoning Ordinance. The ERB shall act on an application within 60 days of the submittal date, and shall either approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application. Appeal would be to City Council.

Standards for considering certificates of appropriateness:

In conducting review of an application, the ERB must consider certain features and factors in determining the appropriateness of proposed construction, alteration, etc. of buildings or structures located within an entrance corridor overlay district. Following is a list of the standards set forth within §34-310 of the City Code:

§34-310(1): Overall architectural design, form, and style of the subject building or structure, including, but not limited to: the height, mass and scale;

Staff Analysis: The height, mass and scale of the building are not changing.

§34-310(2): Exterior architectural details and features of the subject building or structure; The existing canopy on the east elevation of the building will be demolished, and an awning will be added above the existing door.

Staff Analysis: The demolition of the canopy is appropriate.

§34-310(3): Texture, materials and color of materials proposed for use on the subject building or structure;

The proposed materials/colors consist of:

- Paint the building white (Sherwin-Williams SW 7012 Creamy)
- Screen the ground mechanical units

Staff Analysis: The proposed materials are within the guidelines. It is important to look if the building color is harmonious among the rest of the structures in the entrance corridor.

§34-310(4): Design and arrangement of buildings and structures on the subject site;

The footprint is unchanged.

Staff Analysis: The design is straightforward.

§34-310(5): The extent to which the features and characteristics described within paragraphs (1)-(4), above, are architecturally compatible (or incompatible) with similar features and characteristics of other buildings and structures having frontage on the same EC street(s) as the subject property.

Staff Analysis: The height, mass and scale as viewed from the corridor are appropriate for an urban structure in this location.

§34-310(6): Provisions of the Entrance Corridor Design Guidelines.

Relevant sections of the guidelines include:

Section 1 (Introduction)

The Entrance Corridor design principles are expanded below:

• Design For a Corridor Vision

New building design should be compatible (in massing, scale, materials, colors) with those structures that contribute to the overall character and quality of the corridor. Existing developments should be encouraged to make upgrades consistent with the corridor vision. Site designs should contain some common elements to provide continuity along the corridor. New development, including franchise development, should complement the City's character and respect those qualities that distinguish the City's built environment.

• Preserve History

Preserve significant historic buildings as well as distinctive architecture from more recent periods. Encourage new contemporary design that integrates well with existing historic buildings to enhance the overall character and quality of the corridor.

• Facilitate Pedestrian Access

Encourage compact, walkable developments. Design pedestrian connections from sidewalk and car to buildings, between buildings, and between corridor properties and adjacent residential areas.

• Maintain Human Scale in Buildings and Spaces

Consider the building scale, especially height, mass, complexity of form, and architectural details, and the impact of spaces created, as it will be experienced by the people who will pass by, live, work, or shop there. The size, placement and number of doors, windows, portals and openings define human scale, as does the degree of ground-floor pedestrian access.

• Preserve and Enhance Natural Character

Daylight and improve streams, and retain mature trees and natural buffers. Work with topography to minimize grading and limit the introduction of impervious surfaces. Encourage plantings of diverse native species.

•. Create a Sense of Place

In corridors where substantial pedestrian activity occurs or is encouraged, or where mixed use and multibuilding projects are proposed, one goal will be creating a sense of place. Building arrangements, uses, natural features, and landscaping should contribute, where feasible, to create exterior space where people can interact.

•. Create an Inviting Public Realm

Design inviting streetscapes and public spaces. Redevelopment of properties should enhance the existing streetscapes and create an engaging public realm.

• Create Restrained Communications

Private signage and advertising should be harmonious and in scale with building elements and landscaping features.

• Screen Incompatible Uses and Appurtenances:

Screen from adjacent properties and public view those uses and appurtenances whose visibility may be incompatible with the overall character and quality of the corridor, such as: parking lots, outdoor storage and loading areas, refuse areas, mechanical and communication equipment, Where feasible, relegate parking behind buildings. It is not the intent to require screening for utilitarian designs that are attractive, and/or purposeful.

• Respect and Enhance Charlottesville's Character

Charlottesville seeks new construction that reflects the unique character, history, and cultural diversity of this place. Architectural transplants from other locales, or shallow imitations of historic architectural styles, for example, are neither appropriate nor desirable. Incompatible aspects of franchise design or corporate signature buildings must be modified to fit the character of this community.

<u>Section 2 (Streetscape)</u>

No changes are proposed to existing street landscaping.

Staff Analysis: The streetscape design is appropriate.

Section 3 (Site):

There are three site changes that are proposed, the demolition of the canopy, the addition of an awning above the entrance, and the screening of the ground mechanical units.

Staff Analysis: The proposed site changes are appropriate.

Section 4 (Buildings):

Pertinent Guidelines are:

• In Charlottesville, common building materials are brick, wood or stucco siding, and standing-seam metal roofs. Stone is more commonly used for site walls than building walls.

Staff Analysis: While the applicant is not rebuilding anything, it should be noted that in Charlottesville brick is a common building material, but painted brick is not.

Section 5 (Individual Corridors):

East High Street Vision

The southeast side of High Street from Long Street to the light at Meade Avenue shares similar characteristics with the Long Street corridor. Properties here have potential to be redeveloped at an urban scale with shallow setbacks, higher density, and mixed uses. The natural character of the river should be preserved, and riverfront properties may incorporate the river as a site amenity. Future infill and redevelopment on the northwest side of High Street from Riverdale Drive to Locust Avenue and on the southeast side of High Street from Meade Avenue to 10th Street should complement the smaller scale of the abutting residential neighborhoods on either side. The retail areas of this part of the corridor will continue to provide basic service-business functions until redeveloped into a mix of uses including residential. This area may be considered for nearby offsite or shared parking in the future, due to the small parcel sizes and convenience to transit and the downtown area. From Locust Avenue to Market Street there will be opportunities for denser development. The area surrounding Martha Jefferson Hospital is a potential historic district. A pedestrian environment should be encouraged along the entire corridor with sidewalks, landscaping and transit stops.

SUB-AREA C 9th Street from High to Market Street:

Ninth Street between High and Market Streets delineates the northern edge of the central downtown area. Gas stations are located at both ends of the corridor. Early-twentieth-century residences converted to professional use for either the adjacent court complex or Martha Jefferson Hospital are intermingled with offices and banks of more recent construction.

<u>Streetscape</u>: Mixed-use, mixed-scale, mixed setback, concrete median, 4 lanes, overhead utilities, cobrahead lights, concrete sidewalks.

<u>Site</u>: Parking in front of several structures, large trees on private sites, some edge landscaping, mixed private site lighting. Tree planting and consistent sidewalks in this area have started to create a more pedestrian-oriented environment.

Public Comments Received

No public comments have been received regarding the Entrance Corridor application.

Staff Recommendations

Staff recommends that the ERB discuss the changes to the building and whether the painted brick is appropriate within the guidelines and this specific entrance corridor.

Suggested Motion

I move to approve the Entrance Corridor Certificate of Appropriateness application for the 912 East High Street with the following modifications:

- 1. Do not paint the brick
- 2.



Entrance Corridor Review Application (ECECEIVED Certificate of Appropriateness

Please Return To:
City of Charlottesville
Department of Neighborhood Development Services
P.O. Box 911, City Hall
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902

FEB 2 0 2018

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Please submit one (1) hard copy and one (1) digital copy of application form and all attachments.

Please include application fee as follows: New construction project \$375; Additions and other projects requiring ERB approval \$125; Administrative approval \$100.

Make checks payable to the City of Charlottesville.

The Entrance Corridor Review Board (ERB) meets the second Tuesday of the month. Deadline for submittals is Tuesday 3 weeks prior to next ERB meeting by 3:30 p.m.


Telephone (434) 970-3130

Owner Name Nino twill Land company Project Name/Description Painting Building Project Street Address 912 E. High St	N N
Applicant Information Address: 201 E. Main St. Ste M (Narlottesville VA Email: Justin C Shimp-Engineering.co Phone: (W)(C)	Signature of Applicant I hereby attest that the information I have provided is, to the best of my knowledge, correct. Signature Date Print Name Date
Property Owner (if not applicant) Address: Email: Phone: (W)(C)	Property Owner Permission (if not applicant) I have read this application and hereby give my consent to its submission. Signature Date
Description of Proposed Work (attach separate narra 400 Ramine Existing Canapa. Attachments (see reverse side for submittal requirem Sample 400 Babar Aster Pushes.	Print Name Date tive if necessary): Paint Building White nents): Sharman Williams Sw7012 Creamy
For Office Use Only Received by: S. Bounter Fee paid: \$125 \(\frac{12}{22}\) Cash/Ck. # VISA Date Received: \(\frac{2}{20}\) 2018 Revised 2016 \(\frac{18}{20}\) - 0034	Approved/Disapproved by: Date: Conditions of approval:



RECEIVED

FE 2 3 2018



CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PLANNING COMMISSION

PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION: SPECIAL USE PERMIT

Author of Memo: Brian Haluska, Principal Planner

Date of Meeting: March 13, 2018


RE: Dairy Central (946 Grady Avenue)

Background

Ashley Davies of Williams Mullen; acting as agent for Dairy Holdings, LLC has submitted a special use permit for a mixed-use development at 946 Grady Avenue. The request is for additional height and residential density.

The site plan proposes multiple new buildings with 260 residential units and 11,358 square feet of commercial retail space. The project also shows 471 parking spaces. The property is further identified on City Real Property Tax Map 31 Parcel 60. The site is zoned CC (Central City District Corridor) with an Entrance Corridor Overlay Zone. The property is approximately 4.35 acres.

Vicinity Map



Preliminary Analysis

Reason for Special Use Permit

The applicant is requesting a special use permit for additional height and density.

The maximum height permitted by right in the Central City zoning district is 55 feet, with an additional 25 feet permitted by special use permit. The applicant shows a maximum building height of 65 feet.

The maximum by-right residential density in the Central City corridor for a mixed-use project is 43 dwelling units per acre, with 120 units per acre permitted by special use permit. The applicant is requesting density of 60 dwelling units an acre.

Questions for Discussion

• Would the massing and scale of the project create a negative impact to the surrounding area as a result of the additional height requested?

The proposed buildings would be a maximum 65 feet tall, and would be adjacent to low-density residential structures along West Street that are typically two stories tall.

• Would the increase in density result in a negative impact to the surrounding area as a result of an increased demand on services?

The proposed project would have a maximum density of 60 dwelling units an acre. The by-right limit along Preston Avenue is 43 dwelling units per acre. The site abuts a residential area with a typical density of between 5 and 10 dwelling units per acre.

Attachments

Applicant Submission Packet

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE ENTRANCE CORRIDOR REVIEW BOARD STAFF REPORT

ALOTTES LE LA SONIA - MANAGEMENT DE LA SONIA -

Special Use Permit Recommendation

Property Street Address: 946 Grady Avenue

Zoning: Central City Corridor with Entrance Corridor Overlay

Tax Parcel: 310060000 Site Acreage: 4.35 acres

Date of Hearing: March 13, 2018 Application Number: SP18-00002

Staff report prepared by: Brian Haluska, Principal Planner

Relevant Code Section: Sec. 34-157(7) When the property that is the subject of the application for a special use permit (SUP) is within a design control district, city council shall refer the application to the Board of Architectural Review (BAR) or Entrance Corridor Review Board (ERB), as may be applicable, for recommendations as to whether the proposed use will have an adverse impact on the district, and for recommendations as to reasonable conditions which, if imposed, that would mitigate any such impacts. The BAR or ERB, as applicable, shall return a written report of its recommendations to the city council.

Background: This site is currently occupied by the historically designated Monticello Dairy Building. The applicant is requesting a SUP to increase the residential density of the subject property, from its current (187) units maximum (43 DUA) to a (260) units maximum (60 DUA), although the corresponding site plan only calls for (245) units. The proposed development would increase the maximum permitted height on the site from the current by-right maximum (50') to a proposed (65') maximum.

The site has been divided into four proposed phases. The historically designated dairy building is contained in Phase 1, and is subject to BAR review. The applicant presented Phase 1 to the BAR for preliminary discussion in November, in conjunction with a final site plan submission on that portion of the property. The remaining three phases are outside the bounds of the Individually Protect Property designation, and are thus subject to Entrance Corridor Review.

Discussion and Recommendations: Before City Council takes action to permit the proposed use, they must consider the ERB's opinion whether there are any adverse impacts to the entrance corridor (EC) district that could be mitigated with conditions. A special use permit is an important zoning tool that allows City Council to impose reasonable conditions to make a use more acceptable in a specific location, and to "protect the welfare, safety and convenience of the public."

In staff opinion, the proposed SUP request for additional density and height will not have an adverse impact on the EC district. The added density does not impact the building visually; and the additional height will comply with the Entrance Corridor guidelines for Building Mass, Scale and Height; especially in light of the width of the adjacent public right-of-way.

The required entrance corridor review will address visually important elements, including the landscape plan, building materials and type of windows.

Suggested Motions: I move to find that the proposed special use permit to allow additional density and height at 946 Grady Avenue will not have an adverse impact on the Preston Park Avenue Entrance Corridor district.



CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

SPECIAL USE PERMIT JANUARY 23, 2018

DAIRY CENTRAL - PHASE 2, 3, AND 4
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA

SUBMITTED JANUARY 23, 2018

LIST OF DRAWINGS


ARCHITECTURE

Cover	1
Zoning Map	2
Existing Site + Context	3-4
Context Photos	5-7
Phasing Diagrams	8-10
Building Bird's Eye Perspectives	11-13
Building Perspectives	14-15
Proposed Plans	16-19
Proposed Elevations	20-24
LANDSCAPE	
Landscape Site Plan	1
CIVIL	
SUP Conceptual Plan Phase Two	1
SUP Conceptual Plan Phase Three/Four	2



ZONING MAP

MONTICELLO DAIRY - PHASE 2, 3 AND 4 | 946 GRADY AVENUE | CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA



ZONE: CENTRAL CITY CORRIDOR "CC"

SITE AREA: 4.35 ACRES

ALLOWED BUILDING HEIGHT:

BY-RIGHT: 35' - 50'

SUP: 80'

STREETWALL:

45' MAX. WITH 10' SETBACK ALONG 70% OF THE LENGTH OF STREETWALL OF FACADE

SETBACKS:

PRIMARY STREET: 0' - 15' LINKING STREET: 5' - 20'

DENSITY:

MIXED USE: 25% GFA NON-RESIDENTIAL

RESIDENTIAL

BY-RIGHT: 43 DUA

SUP: 120 DUA


KEY:

CENTRAL CITY CORRIDOR "CC"


R-1S: LOW-DENSITY RESIDENTIAL

B-3: NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL

EXISTING SITE & CONTEXT



EXISTING SITE & CONTEXT



GRADY + PRESTON AVENUE CONTEXT



1. AERIAL VIEW OF THE SITE FROM THE NORTH-WEST



3. AERIAL VIEW OF THE SITE FROM THE NORTH-EAST



2. AERIAL VIEW OF THE SITE FROM THE NORTH



4. DAIRY BUILDING FROM GRADY AVENUE + WOOD STREET

10TH STREET CONTEXT PHOTOS




5. PARKING LOT AT REAR OF DAIRY



6. LOOKING SOUTH ALONG 10TH STREET



7. HOUSES ALONG 10TH STREET



8. HOUSE ALONG 10TH STREET



9. HOUSE ALONG 10TH STREET



10. HOUSE ALONG 10TH STREET



11. 10TH STREET + WEST STREET LOOKING NORTH



12. 10TH STREET + WEST STREET LOOKING WEST

WEST STREET CONTEXT PHOTOS




13. LOOKING WEST AT FUTURE WOOD ST.



16. WAREHOUSE AND EXISTING REAR OF DAIRY



14. WEST STREET SIDEWALK



17. WEST STREET AT 10TH STREET




15. LOOKING WEST TOWARD 10TH STREET




18. EXISTING REAR WING OF DAIRY

PHASING DIAGRAMS: PHASE ONE (APPROVED BY B.A.R. ON 01.17.18)



PHASING DIAGRAMS: PROPOSED PHASE TWO




Phase 2 Unit Mix	
Level	Total Units
Level 1	33
Level 2	44
Level 3	44
Level 4	44
Level 5	10

Total Units*	171-176

*Note: Range of units because types may vary.

Totals		
Total GSF	202,305	
Bldg. Height	60'-0" - 65'-0"	

PHASING DIAGRAMS: PHASES THREE + FOUR



Phase 4 Garage + Retail	
Retail GSF	8,000-10,000
Parking GSF	104,000
Total GSF	114,000

Phase 3 Residential	
Total GSF	61,000
Bldg. Height	60'-0" - 65'-0"
Total Units	60-75

*See building elevation sheets for building heights


BUILDING BIRD'S EYE PERSPECTIVE (LOOKING NORTH-WEST)






BUILDING BIRD'S EYE PERSPECTIVE (LOOKING NORTH-EAST)






BUILDING BIRD'S EYE PERSPECTIVE (LOOKING NORTH-EAST)






BUILDING PERSPECTIVE AT WEST STREET






BUILDING PERSPECTIVE ON 10TH STREET AT WEST STREET






LEVEL 1 PLAN








LEVELS 2-4 TYPICAL PLAN




LEVEL 5 PLAN






PARKING PLAN



OVERALL SOUTH ELEVATION



PROPOSED OVERALL SOUTH ELEVATION @ WEST STREET
SCALE: 1"= 40'-0"


NOTE:

-PHASE TWO MEASURING POINT IS BASED ON AVERAGE CURB HEIGHT ALONG 10TH STREET, NW.

-10TH STREET, NW IS THE PRIMARY STREET FOR PHASE TWO.

-PHASE THREE MEASURING POINT IS BASED ON AVERAGE CURB HEIGHT ALONG WEST STREET, NW.

-WEST STREET, NW IS THE PRIMARY STREET FOR PHASE THREE.




SOUTH ELEVATION



**NOTE: GROUND-LEVEL FLOOR-TO-FLOOR MAY VARY FROM 11'-0" TO 14'-0", AFFECTING BUILDING HEIGHT FROM 60'-0" TO 65'-0".

NOTE:

-PHASE TWO MEASURING POINT IS BASED ON AVERAGE CURB HEIGHT ALONG 10TH STREET, NW.




WEST ELEVATION



(1)

NOTE

-PHASE TWO MEASURING POINT IS BASED ON AVERAGE CURB HEIGHT ALONG 10TH STREET, NW.



NORTH ELEVATION




(1)

NOTE

-PHASE TWO MEASURING POINT IS BASED ON AVERAGE CURB HEIGHT ALONG 10TH STREET, NW.





EAST ELEVATION




**NOTE: GROUND-LEVEL FLOOR-TO-FLOOR MAY VARY FROM 11'-0" TO 14'-0", AFFECTING BUILDING HEIGHT FROM 60'-0" TO 65'-0".

(1)


NOTE


-PHASE TWO MEASURING POINT IS BASED ON AVERAGE CURB HEIGHT ALONG 10TH STREET, NW.











CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT SERVICES STAFF REPORT



PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION: SPECIAL USE PERMIT & ENTRANCE CORRIDOR

DATE OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING: March 14, 2018

Project Planner: Heather Newmyer, AICP

Date of Staff Report: March 1, 2018 **Project Name:** Gallery Court Hotel

Property Street Address: 140 Emmet St N **Tax Map/Parcel #:** Tax Map 8, Parcel 4

Current Zoning Classification: Urban Corridor Mixed Use (URB), Entrance Corridor Overlay

Comprehensive Plan (Land Use Plan): Public or Semi-Public

Property Owner: Vipul Patel (Incaam Hotels)

Applicant's Representative: Daniel Hyer, P.E. (Line + Grade Civil Engineering)

RE: Gallery Court Hotel – Redevelopment of lot 140 Emmet St (former Excel Inn &

Suites)

Background

Vipul Patel, owner of property addressed 140 Emmet St North ("Subject Property"), is seeking to redevelop the Subject Property with a new boutique hotel to replace the longstanding hotel (Excel Inn &Suites) that was lost to a fire on May 4, 2017. Originally named the Gallery Court Motor Hotel, the former hotel dates back to the 1950's. The hotel hosted Dr. Marin Luther King Jr in 1963 when the Civil Rights leader was invited by Robert F. Kennedy to Charlottesville for a lecture at the University. For more background information, please see the applicant's preliminary project proposal narrative (Attachment 1). The applicant has also included a Preliminary Site Exhibit (Attachment 2), Preliminary Gallery Court Hotel Rendering and Preliminary Floor Layout (Attachments 3 & 4). It is the applicant's desire to discuss with the Planning Commission the proposed preliminary plans and to obtain feedback that will inform their planning process prior to moving forward with formal submittals.

The preliminary proposal calls for a boutique hotel comprised of:

• Building Size: 74,605 gross square feet (GSF)


• Height: Seven (7) Stories

• Rooms: 72


• Parking: Structured containing 92 spaces

The Subject Property has road frontage on Emmet St North, is surrounded by the University to the west and south, and is bordered by the CSX Railroad to the north. The Subject Property is zoned Urban Corridor Mixed Use District (URB) and falls within an Entrance Corridor Overlay.

Vicinity Map



2016 Aerial



Zoning Map



Magenta: URB, Light Yellow: R-1, Light Blue Cross-Hatch: Entrance Corridor Overlay

2013 Comp Plan



Yellow: Low Density Residential, Purple: Mixed Use, Light Blue: Public or Semi-Public, Hatched Area: University (Not Subject to City of Charlottesville municipal authority)

Preliminary Analysis

The Subject Property is located within one of the City's Entrance Corridors, is in close proximity to the University of Virginia as well as the 14 acre-site that will house the future redevelopment by UVA once their Ivy Corridor planning process is complete, and is an area that experiences high volumes of vehicular and pedestrian traffic. Because of the factors mentioned, how the Subject Property's redevelopment creates a sense of place and tailors its design to the pedestrian experience is important.

In addition, the Subject Property's location is of importance in the City's Smart Scale Emmet Streetscape Project, a planning process that kicked off in February 2018.

The **Emmet Streetscape Project** is for the design of streetscape improvements along Emmet Street from the intersection of University Avenue and Ivy Road to Arlington Boulevard. The streetscape improvements include: landscaping with street trees, intersection improvements for better ADA and pedestrian access, bike lanes and a shared use path, consolidated bus stops/optimized bus shelters, and a shared use path connection under the CSX railroad tracks.

The shared use path connection under the CSX railroad tracks will be determined through City-CSX railroad coordination and will either be on the east (Subject Property's side) or west side of Emmet St N. Should the connection run along the east side of Emmet St N (the Subject Property's side), the City will need to coordinate with the Subject Property as the shared use path will run adjacent to the Subject Property.

City staff has met with the owner/applicant and applicant's representative to have preliminary discussions regarding the project. City staff has made the owner aware of the Emmet Streetscape Project, its scope and the future coordination efforts. (Please note: Regardless of if the path will run along the west or east side of Emmet St N, the owner will be involved in the Emmet Streetscape Project's ongoing public process and has been invited to sit on the Steering Committee). Staff wants to highlight the Gallery Court Hotel Design Team is aware of the Emmet Streetscape Project potentially locating the shared use path along the east side of Emmet Street and has expressed it is their intent to cooperate with the City and make reasonable accommodations for the path.

In addition, City staff has passed along the Streets That Work Plan and the Entrance Corridor Guidelines to inform their planning process should they move forward with the formal special use permit request. It should be noted the Preliminary Site Exhibit (Attachment 2) depicts a seven (7) foot sidewalk and four (4) foot curbside buffer with future street trees; both street elements conform to the *Mixed Use A Street Typology* design parameters found in Streets That Work (Emmet St N is categorized as *Mixed Use A*).

Based off of the Subject Property's current zoning (URB, Entrance Corridor Overlay), the Gallery Court Hotel preliminary proposal will require:

- 1) **Special Use Permit** The preliminary proposal calls for a 7-story building that will exceed the maximum height allowed by-right in the URB Zoning District. The maximum height allowed in the URB District is sixty (60) feet; however, per <u>Sec. 34-757</u>, up to eighty (80) feet is allowed in this zoning district via a special use permit.
 - a. Per <u>Sec. 34-157(7)</u>, the Entrance Corridor Review Board (ERB) is to provide a recommendation to City Council regarding if the SUP request would have an adverse impact to the district, and for recommendations as to reasonable conditions which, if imposed, that would mitigate such impacts.
- 2) Entrance Corridor Review The Subject Property falls within the Corridor 1 (Route 29 North from the Corporate Limits to Ivy Road); Sub Area C (Barracks Rd to Ivy Rd).

Staff highlights a few items taken directly from the Vision Statement for Planning Commission's discussion including: this area has the potential to become more of an urban boulevard with greater pedestrian activity, mixed use projects are to front on Emmet St N and the magnolia street trees along Emmet should be retained. See Attachment 7 for more detail on the description and vision of this area found within the Entrance Corridor Guidelines. To access the full Entrance Corridor Guidelines, see Attachment 8.

a. *Should the SUP be approved* - Per <u>Sec. 34-309(c)</u>, development requiring a site plan within an Entrance Corridor requires that a **Certificate of Appropriateness** (**COA**) **be obtained.**

See the Preliminary Site Exhibit (Attachment 2) and Preliminary Gallery Court Hotel Rendering (Attachment 3). When meeting with the applicant, Preservation and Design planning staff made the following suggestion to the applicant specific to their preliminary rendering: Consider enhancing the pedestrian experience along the structure parking portion of the front building wall. This can be done by adding additional plantings at ground level or looking into potential green wall for that side of the building.

Lastly, one of the preliminary meetings held with the applicant was considered a formal preapplication meeting for the special use permit request complying with Sec. 34-41(b)(1). The meeting was held on January 8, 2018 (See Pre-Application Verification Form (Attachment 5)). Should the applicant formally submit a special use permit request application, staff has requested in addition to general application requirements, per Sec. 34-41(d):

- Project Proposal Narrative (Sec. 34-41(d)(1))
- Comprehensive Plan Analysis (Sec. 34-41(d)(2))
- Other Information Traffic Analysis/Streets That Work Narrative (Sec. 34-41(d)(9))

Questions/Topics for Discussion

- Given the Subject Property's prominent location in a City Entrance Corridor and in a high traffic volume area, discuss the pedestrian experience providing feedback on what is proposed. Concerns? Suggestions?
- What is some initial feedback the Planning Commission can provide on the preliminary hotel rendering, site exhibit, and floor layout (Attachments 2-4) in light of Entrance Corridor guidelines (Attachments 7-8) specific to this area?
 - What is the initial reaction to the applicant's proposed massing and scale?
 Suggestions? Concerns?
- Discuss the proposed special use permit request in light of the following:
 - Upon initial review, do any of the Planning Commissioners foresee adverse impacts including but not limited to: traffic or parking congestion, noise, massing and scale of project.
 - O Does the Planning Commission recommend any mitigations to the proposed building mass due to the requested increase in building height?
 - o Is this project harmonious with surrounding area?
 - o Does this use conform to the Land Use Map and future vision for this area?

Attachments

- 1. Applicant Preliminary Project Proposal Narrative dated February 20, 2018
- 2. Preliminary Site Exhibit dated February 20, 2018
- 3. Preliminary Gallery Court Hotel Rendering received February 20, 2018
- 4. Preliminary Floor Layout dated February 20, 2018
- 5. Pre-Application Verification Form January 10, 2018
- 6. Corridor 1 (Route 29 North from the Corporate Limits to Ivy Road); Sub Area C (Barracks Rd to Ivy Rd) Entrance Corridor Excerpt
- 7. Entrance Corridor Guidelines: http://www.charlottesville.org/departments-and-services/departments-h-z/neighborhood-development-services/development-ordinances/entrance-corridor-review-board-erb
- 8. Sec. 34-157 Special Use Permit General Standards of Issuance

THE GALLERY COURT HOTEL

140 Emmet Street North, Charlottesville, VA 22903

TO: Heather Newmyer, City Planner – City of Charlottesville

Neighborhood Development Services 610 East Market Street, Charlottesville, VA 22902

FROM: Vipul Patel

Incaam Hotels

140 Emmet Street North, Charlottesville, VA 22903

DATE: February 20, 2018

RE: The Gallery Court Hotel -

A boutique hotel redevelopment at the site of Excel Inn & Suites

Planning Commission Workshop on March 13, 2018

Parcel ID: 080004000

THE GALLERY COURT HOTEL

General Description:

This project is best described as a redevelopment of an existing hotel which was lost to fire on May 04, 2017. The hotel property has deep and longstanding roots in Charlottesville dating back to 1950's: originally named the Gallery Court Motor Hotel with an adjoining Howard Johnson's Restaurant. The hotel has had a long and successful life due to the convenient location adjacent to the intersection of Emmet St & Ivy Rd (State Routes 29 & 250) as well as the University of Virginia Grounds. As an interesting historic insight: the hotel in 1963 was the host to Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. when the Civil Rights leader was invited by Robert F. Kennedy to Charlottesville for a lecture at the University.

In short: this redevelopment project seeks to replace the lost building with a new boutique hotel comprised of 74,605 Square Feet, a 7-story structure that will house 72 standard and suite rooms and 92 effective parking spaces in structured parking. The parcel is bound by Emmet Street (East), C&O RR (North) and the University of Virginia (West and South). The total parcel area is 0.574 acres.

Design for a Corridor Vision:

The building is designed in an architectural language consistent with recent buildings built on the University of Virginia Grounds including the materials, scale, mass and color. The exterior building facades are predominately stone, brick, stucco and painted aluminum windows and shall be broken down into a scale compatible with buildings in the nearby vicinity. To maintain a human scale to the development the overall mass of the building has been broken into smaller blocks. The corner tower feature and the first three floors in a façade of stone recall the historic buildings of Charlottesville. The window features of the first three floors visually conceal the parking structure. The upper three floors have a native brick veneer. A tall frieze in light stucco color provide a cap to the building as a recollection of traditional buildings. The hotel is consistent with the City's architectural character and respect of the qualities that have made Charlottesville a world class city.

Preserve History:

The original hotel, now left in ruins, shall not to be restored as a result of this redevelopment project. However, the name of the hotel shall create the verbal connection to the original hotel.

Facilitate Pedestrian Access:

The site design shall facilitate pedestrian access to the building by virtue of the compactness and simplicity of accessing the hotel from the enclosed parking structure. Patrons will not need to leave the parking structure to enter the hotel. The wayfinding from parking space to hotel will be apparent and clearly indicated for those who have entered the hotel site.

Maintain Human Scale in Buildings and Spaces:

A low-rise mass in the front of the building and the entry Porte-cochere makes the building scale appropriate at the pedestrian level. Walkway along with the property facilitates the proposed pedestrian walkways concept by the city. The open area on the site will be landscaped to enhance the natural character of the site, and to facilitate human interaction. The façade treatment to the parking floors and the windows will conceal unwanted views of the parking deck.

Preserve and Enhance Natural Character:

The existing site is 99% impervious cover. The proposed redevelopment plan anticipates reducing the impervious cover close to 93% impervious cover. And, of this reduced impervious cover, much of it will be devoted to urban stormwater practices and landscaping adjacent to the Emmet Street – thus contributing to a sense of place (see below). In addition to the reduction in runoff associated with impervious areas and associated runoff reduction strategies, the proposed work shall provide street trees to provide shade for pedestrians as well as to promote the stormwater benefits such as tree canopy interception.

Create a Sense of Place:

The portion of the Emmet Street Corridor where this project is located experiences a high volume of pedestrian traffic – specifically UVA students walking between Grounds and their dorms/residences (Lambeth Field Apartments). The proposed work seeks to enhance the pedestrian experience in several ways. First, the portion of the site fronting on Emmet Street shall be designed in general conformance with the City's Streets that Work Design Guide. According to this guiding document this portion of Emmet Street falls into the Category of Mixed Use A. Though, it is noteworthy to express that in most areas Mixed Use A street typologies contain a raised, landscaped center median and this portion of Emmet Street does not. That said, according to guidance this street frontage shall provide a 7-foot pedestrian sidewalk and a 4' planted verge (curbside buffer). Both elements shall be provided in conformance with this published guidance.

It is noteworthy to express that the Gallery Court Hotel Design Team is aware of the City of Charlottesville's Plans to provide a shared use path along this portion of the Emmet Street corridor. As such, we would like to express that we intend to cooperate with the City to the greatest extent practicable and will make reasonable accommodations for this path.

Furthermore, this project shall devote a pedestrian access easement to the City as a result of the 7' wide sidewalk which falls within the parcel boundary.

Finally, the sense of place will be enhanced by the incorporation of an urban stormwater system which shall be introduced along the sidewalk within a trapezoidal buffer space between the sidewalk and the building façade. The design intent of this stormwater system shall be aesthetically attractive and well planted with a diverse, yet intentional, palate of native species. The intent is to create a stormwater management experience that visually connects the passer-by with an opportunity to interact with the engineered and visually designed stormwater feature.

Create an Inviting Public Realm:

For the reasons described above (Create a Sense of Place) we anticipate the public realm will feel inviting.

Create Restrained Communication:

The signage associated with the hotel will be of appropriate scale and in aesthetic harmony with the building itself.

Screen Incompatible Uses and Appurtenances:

As described previously, the parking garage shall be concealed by means of the provided landscape elements and the selected building materials which shall make the parking deck visually unobtrusive. Based on the unique nature of this site, the refuse storage will be contained within the building envelope and rolling trash containers will be wheeled nearer to the street edge for pickup. The design intent is such that even in their street-side position, the containers will be screened from view for the people who pass the site.

Respect and Enhance Charlottesville's Character:

What started as a mere pass-through of Charlottesville in 1981 ended with a conversation between my father and the good-hearted owners George & Nell Eby and Herbert Monte Jr. of the University Lodge Motel (formerly Gallery Court Motor Hotel). Negotiations were completed on April 14, 1981, and as the saying goes: the rest is history.


In retrospect, the University Lodge Motel was much more than livelihood for my family. The ownership and operation of this family business was our real-life American Dream. And despite inevitable hardships, owning and operating a mom-and-pop motel gave us purpose and vision for the future—the motel was our silver lining (not to mention the added bonus of being next door to Thomas Jefferson's University didn't hurt).

Though the name has changed throughout the years (University Lodge Motel, Budget Inn, and finally Excel Inn & Suites), the motel has been my lifelong passion. My parents quickly put down roots in Charlottesville, and chose it as the place to raise their family. While attending Charlottesville High, class of '84—sharing a lunch table with Boyd Tinsley and all—I became fully involved in the day-to-day operations of the motel


After completing my degrees at Roanoke College and the VCU Medical College of Virginia, followed by a brief career in pharmacy, my wife and I made a conscious decision to commit to the hotel/motel business full time. In 1996, we took full control of operations from my parents, since then expanding to properties in Staunton, VA, Orange, VA and Belle Vernon, PA.


The fire of May 4th was devastating beyond words. Though we are profoundly grateful that there was no harm done to our staff or guests, we never imagined losing Excel Inn & Suites entirely. We are thankful to the City Fire, City Police and others for their valiant efforts. Our hearts were shattered, and this continues to be a difficult time for my family. However, we find comfort in knowing that this is not the end, but the opportunity for a new beginning. Though rumors persisted that we plan to sell or have sold the property to UVA and/or other developers, I can unequivocally state that that new beginning is the redevelopment of our boutique hotel: *The Gallery Court Hotel*. Towards this endeavor, I am proud to have on my team Neil Bhatt and Asim Kumar of Nbj Architecture and Daniel Hyer of Line + Grade with others to follow.

Charlottesville has meant the world to my family and me—not only for its rich history, strong sense of community, incredible restaurants, and natural beauty—but for the opportunities it has provided us. Charlottesville has given my children a world-class education in the halls of Albemarle High, and later at the University of Virginia. And, it's offered my wife and me the ability to create the career we've always dreamed of. We continue to love and cherish this town and all it has to offer and promise that we are here to stay.









A Professional Limited Company
Grove Park Square
11537-B Nuckols Road
Glen Allen, Virginia 23059
(804) 273-9811
fax: (804) 273-9843


ARCHITECTURE

A DEVELOPMENT BY INCAAM 140, INC.

THE GALLERY COURT HOTEL

PROPOSED SECOND FLOOR PLAN

ARCHITECT'S PROJECT NUMBER: 20171009
SCHEMATIC DESIGN



AProfessional Limited Company

A Professional Limited Company

Grove Park Square
11537-B Nuckols Road
Glen Allen, Virginia 23059
© (804) 273-9811
fax: (804) 273-9843


A DEVELOPMENT BY INCAAM 140, INC.

ARCHITECT'S PROJECT NUMBER: 20171009

SCHEMATIC DESIGN


THE GALLERY COURT HOTEL


PROPOSED
THIRD FLOOR PLAN



COURT HOTEL

PROPOSED
FOURTH -SIXTH
FLOOR PLAN





A Professional Limited Company

A Professional Limited Company

Grove Park Square
11537-B Nuckols Road
Glen Allen, Virginia 23059

(804) 273-9811
fax: (804) 273-9843

ARCHITECTURE inited Company

A DEVELOPMENT E INCAAM 140, INC.

ARCHITECT'S PROJECT NUMBER: 20171009

SCHEMATIC DESIGN

GALLERY COURT HOTEL


PROPOSED SEVENTH FLOOR PL

A105

PERMIT DOCUMENTS

Architect's Project Num
2011003

File Name:
x-plan





City of Charlottesville

Pre-Application Meeting Verification

Project Name.	Gallery Caret Hotel
Pre-Application Meeting Date:10,1018	
Applicant's Representative: View Parel (owner)	, Daniel Hyer (Project Engineer)
Planner: Heather Newmyer	
Other City Officials in Attendance:	
Marty Silman, City Engineer	
Brennen Duncan Traffic Engineer	
Amanda Panoy Bicycle Pedesteian Cordinator	
Camie Mess, Acting Theseconation & Design Plan	eL_
Chais Shodd, Assistant City Engineer	
The following items will be required supplemental information for this application and	
must be submitted with the completed application package:	
1. Teaffic Analyss Streets That week Naposative - Sec 34-410 (d)(9)	د
2. Comprehensive Man Analysis Sec. 34-41 (d)(2)	
3. Project Phaposal Analysis Sec 34-41(d)(1)	
4.	
5	
Planner Signature:	

B. Corridor 1: Route 29 North from the corporate limits to Ivy Road

Recommended General Guidelines

- · Mid Scale
- Mixed-use
- On site/shared parking
- Consolidation of smaller parcels
- Upgrade existing building and site elements

Guidelines Specific to the Zoning

(URB) Urban Corridor: The intent of the Urban Corridor district is to continue the close-in urban commercial activity that has been the traditional development patterns in these areas. Development in this district is both pedestrian and autooriented, but is evolving to more of a pedestrian center development pattern. The regulations provide for both a mixture of uses or single use commercial activities. It encourages parking located behind the structure and development of a scale and character that is respectful to the neighborhoods and University uses adjacent.

- Height regulation:
 1 to 5 stories; recommend 2 to 4 stories.
- Setbacks:

Primary street frontage: 5 feet minimum; 30 feet maximum, recommend 5 to 10 feet.

Linking street frontage: 5 feet minimum; 20 feet maximum.

Side and Rear, adjacent to any low-density residential district: 10 feet, minimum.

Side and Rear, adjacent to any other zoning district: none required.

Buffer regulations:
 Adjacent to any low-density residential district, side and rear buffers (S-2 type) shall be required, 5 feet, minimum.

SUB-AREA C: BARRACKS ROAD TO IVY ROAD

Description

North of Arlington Boulevard, this sub-area is dominated by the very successful Barracks Road Shopping Center on the west side and University offices on the east. Between Arlington Boulevard and Ivy Road, two motels, a hotel, two restaurants, and other University related structures predominate. The University has a new sports arena on Massie Road and plans to develop a new arts center on the northwest corner of Emmet Street at Ivy Road. A new pedestrian bridge over Emmet Street, between the existing CSX railroad bridge and Massie Road, has also been constructed to connect the Central Grounds to the North Grounds.

Streetscape: Overhead utilities, 4 lanes, grass median, cobra-head lights, row of magnolia street trees along shopping center, heavily landscaped wooded edge, pedestrian and railroad bridges, University planted street trees at southern end, creek bed plantings.

Site: Parcels dominated by front site parking with buildings to rear, monument signs, concrete and brick retaining walls.

Buildings: Franchise retail buildings, shopping center, landscaped slope to east with elevated University-related office structures, multi-family residential, restaurants, motels,

and University offices in former commercial buildings. Heights vary from 1 to 4 stories, and there is a variety of architectural scales, forms and materials.

Recent past: bank buildings on the northwest corner of Emmet Street and Arlington Boulevard and on the southwest corner of Emmet Street and Barracks Road.

Vision

Emmet Street has the potential to become more of an urban boulevard, with lively pedestrian activity and a greater mix and integration of uses. Both Barracks Road Shopping Center and Meadowbrook Shopping Center may redevelop with retail, office, hotels, housing, and structured parking. The attractive magnolia street trees along Emmet Street should be retained and new landscaping added to the streetscape as redevelopment occurs. There are opportunities for unified landscaping along the corridor that would help enhance the pedestrian connection. If possible, character-defining architecture should be incorporated into redevelopment plans. As the University redevelops its property on the southern end of the sub-area, including the University Arts Center, there may be opportunities to include student housing and community-related facilities in mixeduse projects that front on Emmet Street.

Sec. 34-157. - General standards for issuance.

- (a) In considering an application for a special use permit, the city council shall consider the following factors:
 - (1) Whether the proposed use or development will be harmonious with existing patterns of use and development within the neighborhood;
 - (2) Whether the proposed use or development and associated public facilities will substantially conform to the city's comprehensive plan;
 - (3) Whether proposed use or development of any buildings or structures will comply with all applicable building code regulations;
 - (4) Whether the proposed use or development will have any potentially adverse impacts on the surrounding neighborhood, or the community in general; and if so, whether there are any reasonable conditions of approval that would satisfactorily mitigate such impacts. Potential adverse impacts to be considered include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:
 - Traffic or parking congestion;
 - b. Noise, lights, dust, odor, fumes, vibration, and other factors which adversely affect the natural environment:
 - c. Displacement of existing residents or businesses;
 - d. Discouragement of economic development activities that may provide desirable employment or enlarge the tax base;
 - e. Undue density of population or intensity of use in relation to the community facilities existing or available;
 - f. Reduction in the availability of affordable housing in the neighborhood;
 - g. Impact on school population and facilities;
 - h. Destruction of or encroachment upon conservation or historic districts;
 - i. Conformity with federal, state and local laws, as demonstrated and certified by the applicant; and,
 - i. Massing and scale of project.
 - (5) Whether the proposed use or development will be in harmony with the purposes of the specific zoning district in which it will be placed;
 - (6) Whether the proposed use or development will meet applicable general and specific standards set forth within the zoning ordinance, subdivision regulations, or other city ordinances or regulations; and
 - (7) When the property that is the subject of the application for a special use permit is within a design control district, city council shall refer the application to the BAR or ERB, as may be applicable, for recommendations as to whether the proposed use will have an adverse impact on the district, and for recommendations as to reasonable conditions which, if imposed, that would mitigate any such impacts. The BAR or ERB, as applicable, shall return a written report of its recommendations to the city council.
- (b) Any resolution adopted by city council to grant a special use permit shall set forth any reasonable conditions which apply to the approval.

(9-15-03(3); 11-21-05; 2-21-06)

City of Charlottesville Neighborhood Development Services MEMORANDUM



"A World Class City" www.charlottesville.org

TO: Planning Commission

FROM: Alex Ikefuna, NDS Director

DATE: March 6, 2018

SUBJECT: Hydraulic-29 Small Area Plan – Transportation Phase Update

Background

The City of Charlottesville and Albemarle County expressed interest in a joint Small Area Plan to address land use and transportation issues in the Hydraulic-Route 29 Intersection Area. Because of the inter-jurisdictional interests, the City, County and the Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization (TJMPO) in partnership with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), expressed a mutual interest in establishing an agreeable framework for coordinating and providing planning and engineering studies necessary to provide a Transportation and Land Use Development Plan for this geographic area. The main area of study includes the Route 29 Hydraulic, Route 250 Bypass and Hillsdale highway intersections and surrounding that directly influence current and future traffic, bicycle and pedestrian travel patterns within this portion of the Route 29 Solutions Program encompassing approximately 600 acres; 300 acres in the City and 300 acres in the County. The area is bounded by Greenbrier Drive/Whitewood Road in the North, US Highway 250 in the South, Meadow Creek in the East and North Berkshire Road in the West.

The project has two phases. The first phase of the project is the land use element which was completed last fall and has already been presented to the Planning Commission. The second phase of the Small Area Plan is the preliminary engineering that addresses transportation needs for the project area.

The land use element was prepared by Kimley-Horn consulting firm and the transportation and engineering component by Baker International.

Land Use Element – Key Vision Statements

- *Strong Sense of Place* (Create great streets and connected public spaces; establish an authentic urban form)
- *Vibrant, Dynamic Economy* (A vibrant mixed-use destination for business; integrate a variety of housing and affordability options)
- Equitable. Environmentally Sustainable Community (Promote housing within the core area; Create a multi-modal development system; Plan for environmentally sustainable stormwater management practices)

• Connected by an Efficient, Multi-Modal Transportation Network, enhanced transit service; safe options for crossing Route 29; (Improved pedestrian and bicycle facilities; better neighborhood connectivity to the core area.)

Transportation Elements

- US 29 and Hydraulic Road Intersection Improvement (3 potential scenarios)
- Hydraulic Road and District Avenue Roundabout
- Hydraulic Road and Hillsdale Drive Roundabout
- Zan Road Grade Separation-Connection over Route 29.
- Angus Road Grade Separated Intersection with right turn only access and signalized US 29 South Bound U-Turn.
- Hillsdale Drive Connection to Holiday Drive
- Relocation of West Bound US 250 Ramps to Hillsdale Drive Extension.
- Extend East Bound US 250 left-turn lane at Hydraulic

There are three potential scenarios proposed for the US 29 and Hydraulic Intersection improvement; one of which will be selected.

<u>Scenario 1</u>: This scenario has three options: a) pedestrian crossing over US Route 29, unsignalized pedestrian crossing, barrier at pedestrian crossing and shared-use path/sidewalk; b) pedestrian tunnels under Right-Turn Ramps, pedestrian crossing under US Route 29, and shared-use path/sidewalk; and c) pedestrian ramp system, unsignalized pedestrian crossing, barrier at pedestrian crossing and shared-use path/sidewalk. Estimated Cost: \$29 - \$35 million (does not include Right of Way acquisition)

Scenario 2: At-grade pedestrian crossing, signalized pedestrian crossing, dedicated bike lanes and shared-use path/sidewalk. Estimated cost: \$9.5 - \$12 million (does not include Right of Way acquisition)

<u>Scenario 3</u>: Grade-separated roundabout, bike/pedestrian accommodations, roundabout approach and shared-use path/sidewalk. Estimated cost: \$40 - \$48 million (does not include Right of Way acquisition).

<u>Community Engagement Process:</u> Several Advisory Panel and community meetings have been held to present information and solicit input that guide the development of the resulting plans.

Next Steps: The transportation plan will be presented to the Planning Commission in April, at its regular monthly meeting and will include a request to formally endorse the land use and transportation plans. This will subsequently go to the City Council for consideration.

The City intends to partner with the Thomas Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Organization and Albemarle County in submitting application for Smart Scale funding to implement the intersection improvement this summer.

All related information on the process can be accessed at: www.route 29 solutions.org.